High-energy logarithmic corrections to the QCD component of same-sign W-pair production
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Abstract

We describe the calculation of the QCD contribution to same-sign W-pair production, \( pp \rightarrow e^\pm \nu_e \mu^\pm \nu_\mu jj \), resumming all contributions scaling as \( \alpha_s^2 \alpha_s^{2+i} \log^i (\hat{s}/p_t^2) \) [1]. These leading logarithmic contributions are enhanced by typical cuts used for Vector Boson Scattering (VBS) studies. We show that while the cross sections are little affected by these corrections, other more exclusive observables relevant for experimental studies are affected more significantly.

1 Introduction

Vector boson scattering (VBS) represents a key test of the standard model and has been the topic of many experimental and theoretical studies (see e.g. [2] for a recent review). In addition to the electroweak (EW) contribution of interest for such studies, other topologies may contribute to the same final state \( pp \rightarrow VV + 2j \). This includes in particular the QCD contribution which starts at \( \mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2 \alpha_s^2) \). To try to increase the relative importance of the EW contribution compared to the QCD one, analyses typically implement so-called VBS cuts which require a large rapidity separation and/or invariant mass between the leading jets in the event [3–5]. It turns out that this is precisely the region where logarithmic corrections in \( \log(\hat{s}/p_t^2) \) become important and need to be resummed to all orders since in these kinematics the combination \( \alpha_s \log(\hat{s}/p_t^2) \) is not necessarily small. Therefore, while the VBS cuts are effective at increasing the EW fraction, they also make it more difficult to accurately evaluate the remaining QCD contribution. Here we will discuss how these high energy contributions can be resummed to leading logarithmic (LL) accuracy in the HEJ framework.
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2 Construction of the Amplitudes

In this work we focus on same-sign $W$-pair production, $pp \rightarrow W^{\pm}W^{\pm} \rightarrow \geq 2j$, where one $W$

boson decays in the electron channel and the other one in the muon channel. We start with

the leading order (LO) process:

$$q(p_a)Q(p_b) \rightarrow (W_1^+ \rightarrow \ell(p_{\ell_1})\overline{\ell}(p_{\overline{\ell}_1})(W_2^- \rightarrow \ell'(p_{\ell_2})\overline{\ell}'(p_{\overline{\ell}_2}))q'(p_1)Q'(p_2),$$

(1)

with $q$ and $Q$ representing different quark or anti-quark flavours. There are 8 Feynman diag-

grams contributing at this order, corresponding to the possible ways to assign the $W$s to the
different quark lines. To describe the emission of a $W$ boson from a quark line, we define the follow-
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$$j^W_{\mu}(p_1, p_o, p_{\ell}, p_{\overline{\ell}}) = \frac{g_W^2}{2} \left( \frac{1}{(p_2 + p_{\ell})^2 - m_W^2 + i\Gamma_W m_W} \left[ \frac{\bar{u}(-p_{\ell})\gamma_\mu v^-(p_1)}{2} \right] \right) \times \left( \frac{\bar{u}(-p_o)\gamma_\mu(\theta_\ell + \overline{\theta}_\ell + \bar{\theta}_\ell)}{(p_o + p_{\ell} + p_{\overline{\ell}})^2} + \frac{\bar{u}(-p_o)\gamma_\mu(\theta_\ell - \overline{\theta}_\ell - \bar{\theta}_\ell)}{(p_i - p_\ell - p_{\overline{\ell}})^2} \right),$$

(2)
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Figure 1: Schematic illustration of the current $j^W_\mu(p_1, p_o, p_{\ell}, p_{\overline{\ell}})$ defined in Eq. (2) which describes the production of a $W$ boson from a quark line with an off-shell
gluon (shown as a zigzag line).
Table 1: Cross section obtained at LO+LL accuracy using HEJ2 compared with the result at NLO accuracy, both before and after the additional VBS cuts given in the text.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cross Section (fb)</th>
<th>without VBS cuts, $\sigma_{\text{incl}}$</th>
<th>with VBS cuts, $\sigma_{\text{VBS}}$</th>
<th>$\sigma_{\text{VBS}}/\sigma_{\text{incl}}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$W^+W^+$</td>
<td>$1.428 \pm 0.002$</td>
<td>$0.1219 \pm 0.0004$</td>
<td>$0.0854 \pm 0.0003$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$W^+W^+$</td>
<td>$1.41 \pm 0.05$</td>
<td>$0.12 \pm 0.07$</td>
<td>$0.08 \pm 0.02$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$W^-W^-$</td>
<td>$0.6586 \pm 0.0003$</td>
<td>$0.0402 \pm 0.0001$</td>
<td>$0.0610 \pm 0.0002$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$W^-W^-$</td>
<td>$0.68 \pm 0.02$</td>
<td>$0.04 \pm 0.01$</td>
<td>$0.06 \pm 0.02$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3 Impact of the LL Corrections

We now turn to the HEJ2 predictions adapted to the 13 TeV LHC kinematics. The cuts on the jets and leptons are the same as in a recent CMS analysis of this process [4]. In addition to the inclusive cuts, CMS also considered additional so-called VBS cuts to try to increase the EW/QCD ratio: $m_{j_1j_2} > 500$ GeV, $|\Delta \eta_{j_1j_2}| > 2.5$ and $\max(z_l) < 0.75$, where $z_l$ is the Zeppenfeld variable [12]:

$$z_l = \frac{\eta_l - \frac{1}{2}(\eta_{j_1} + \eta_{j_2})}{|\eta_{j_1} - \eta_{j_2}|}.$$  

In Table 1 we show the comparison of the cross sections obtained with HEJ2 and at NLO using Sherpa [11] with the extension of OpenLoops [13]. We observe a very close agreement between the two calculations, both before and after the VBS cuts. However, this agreement does not hold for less inclusive observables as can be seen for example in Fig. 2 where we show the exclusive jet rates obtained both before and after the additional VBS cuts. In the inclusive case one can observe a steady decrease at each multiplicity. On the other hand, after imposing the VBS cuts, the relative importance of the higher multiplicities is significantly enhanced, with the 2-jet and 3-jet rates being very similar in the HEJ2 predictions, while the 3-jet rate is even larger than the 2-jet one in the NLO calculation. These results show the importance of the higher-order corrections resummed by HEJ2.

From now on we focus on the results with the additional VBS cuts. In Figs. 3 and 4 we show the comparison of the HEJ2 and NLO calculations as a function of the pseudorapidity separation and invariant mass of the leading jets, the transverse momentum of the leading jet and the Zeppenfeld variable. One can see that the good agreement of the HEJ and NLO cross sections does not apply to some distributions such as the transverse momentum of the leading jet and the invariant mass of the leading jets. In these cases the logarithmic corrections give predictions which are substantially larger than those predicted at NLO. On the other hand, the pseudorapidity separation of the leading jets and the Zeppenfeld variable show a close agreement between the two calculations, which indicates that these observables are less sensitive to the logarithmic corrections studied here.

4 Conclusions

We have presented a calculation of the process $pp \rightarrow e^+\nu_e\mu^+\nu_\mu + \geq 2j$ at $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^4, \alpha_s^2 \log^k(s/p_t^2))$, focusing on typical cuts used in VBS analyses. These cuts select regions of the phase space where the high energy logarithms become important, and the HEJ2 exclusive event generator provides a convenient framework to resum these contributions to all orders. While the inclusive cross sections obtained in this approach are very similar compared to those at NLO,
significant differences can be observed in several distributions relevant for experimental studies, such as the exclusive jet rates, the invariant mass of the leading jets and the transverse momentum of the hardest jet. These results show that the logarithmic corrections proportional to $\alpha_s^k \log^k(\delta/p_T^2)$ can be sizeable at the 13 TeV LHC and should be taken into account in order to accurately model the QCD contribution to vector boson scattering.
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