Disentangling sub-GeV dark matter from the diffuse supernova neutrino background using Hyper-Kamiokande

Sandra Robles

Theoretical Particle Physics and Cosmology Group, Department of Physics, King's College London, Strand, London, WC2R 2LS, UK

sandra.robles@kcl.ac.uk



14th International Conference on Identification of Dark Matter Vienna, Austria, 18-22 July 2022 doi:10.21468/SciPostPhysProc.12

Abstract

The upcoming Hyper-Kamiokande (HyperK) experiment is expected to detect the Diffuse Supernova Neutrino Background (DSNB). This requires to ponder all possible sources of background. Sub-GeV dark matter (DM) which annihilates into neutrinos is a potential background that has not been considered so far. We simulate DSNB and DM signals, as well as backgrounds in the HyperK detector. We find that DM-induced neutrinos could indeed alter the extraction of the correct values of the parameters of interest for DSNB physics. Since the DSNB is an isotropic signal, and DM originates primarily from the Galactic centre, we show that this effect could be alleviated with an on-off analysis.

Copyright S. Robles. This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. Published by the SciPost Foundation. Received 08-09-2022 Accepted 11-11-2022 Published 05-07-2023 doi:10.21468/SciPostPhysProc.12.065

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Signal and Background	2
	2.1 Background	2
	2.2 Dark Matter signal	3
	2.3 DSNB flux	3
3	Results	4
4	Conclusion	6
Re	eferences	6

1 Introduction

The Diffuse Supernova Neutrino Background (DSNB) is a steady state neutrino flux from all past core-collapse supernovae in the Universe. This quasi-thermal flux of O(10 MeV) is determined by the effective temperature of the neutrinos emitted by the proto-neutron star and the supernova rate, which is related to the star formation rate (SFR). The DSNB has not been discovered yet, but it will be in the reach of the next generation neutrino telescopes, DUNE, JUNO and Hyper-Kamiokande (HyperK).

Depending on the specific model the DSNB flux is expected to peak around 5 MeV and produce events below 50 MeV. However, above 30 MeV atmospheric neutrinos overwhelm the DSNB signal and below ~ 16 MeV spallation products become an insurmountable background. In fact, the HyperK Design Report (DR) [1] assumes an energy window of $\sim 16 - 30$ MeV for DSNB analyses. On the other hand, it has been pointed out that HyperK will have sensitivity to thermal dark matter (DM) annihilating into neutrinos in a similar energy range [2]. Therefore, we pose the question whether or not neutrinos from DM annihilation can contribute a significant background to DSNB searches. If so, is the DM signal sizeable enough to lead to incorrect inferences about the parameters of interest for DSNB physics, effective neutrino temperature and SFR? We show that this is indeed the case [3].

The paper is organised as follows. In section 2 we outline the calculation of the DSNB and DM signals, as well as discuss sources of background. Our results are presented in section 3 and concluding remarks are given in section 4.

2 Signal and Background

We generate events for signal and background for 10 years of running time, using the fluxes calculated in this section and our original detector simulation [2], which is based on GENIE neutrino Monte Carlo event generator v3.0.4a [4,5], the detector geometry was implemented using the ROOT geometry package [6].

2.1 Background

Main backgrounds for DSNB searches include atmospheric neutrinos, invisible muons and spallation products. For atmospheric neutrinos, we use the FLUKA flux below 100 MeV [7], and the 4 dimensional HKKM11 flux [8] above 100 MeV. Note that atmospheric neutrinos with $E_{\nu} \geq 100$ MeV also contribute to the background in the energy window for DSNB searches, once events are binned in the final energy of the lepton $E_{\rm kin}$ [2]. In the absence of angular information for the FLUKA flux, we assume that all their energy bins have the same angular distribution as that of the HKKM11 flux at 100 MeV [2]. Both fluxes were oscillated using nuCraft [9] and the Preliminary Earth Reference Model [10], with neutrino parameters from the Particle Data Group [11], assuming a normal mass ordering as in ref. [12].

Invisible muons are atmospheric v_{μ} and \bar{v}_{μ} that produce μ^{-} and μ^{+} , respectively, with kinetic energy below the threshold to produce Cherenkov photons. This muons decay to electrons or positrons that cannot be traced back to their parent neutrinos. We do not simulate this background, we take the expected event distribution from the HyperK DR [1]. Another important background at low energy are radioactive spallation products produced by cosmic ray muons that limit DSNB searches below ~ 16 MeV [1].

The detection channel for DSNB searches at water Cherenkov detectors is the inverse beta decay of electron antineutrinos $\bar{\nu}_e + p \rightarrow e^+ + n$. Since many of the background processes do not have a final state neutron, neutron tagging is a powerful method of background re-

SFR analytic fits	$\dot{ ho}_0$	α	β	γ
Upper	0.0213	3.6	-0.1	-2.5
Fiducial	0.0178	3.4	-0.3	-3.5
Lower	0.0142	3.2	-0.5	-4.5

Table 1: SFR density fits for the Salpeter IMF from ref. [18].

duction. Neutron tagging has been used in DSNB searches since the SuperK-IV analysis [13], relying on the 2.2 MeV photon emitted after a direct neutron is captured by hydrogen. A more efficient method to tag neutrons relies on the addition of gadolinium salt to the water in the detector [14]. This technique allows to significantly reduce the invisible muon background. Similarly, charged current interactions of atmospheric v_e can also be rejected.

2.2 Dark Matter signal

The main source of neutrinos from DM annihilation is the Galactic halo, more specifically the Galactic centre (GC). Following ref. [2], the differential neutrino flux reads

$$\frac{d\Phi_{\nu\Delta\Omega}}{dE_{\nu}} = \frac{\langle \sigma \nu \rangle}{8\pi m_{\nu}^2} J_{\Delta\Omega}(a,z) \frac{dN_{\nu}}{dE_{\nu}}, \qquad (1)$$

where m_{χ} is the DM mass, $\langle \sigma v \rangle$ is the thermally averaged annihilation cross section, $J_{\Delta\Omega}(a,z)$ is the angle-averaged J-factor, and dN_v/dE_v is the neutrino differential energy spectrum. Note that the J-factor is originally defined in galactic coordinates, we performed a coordinate transformation to the horizontal (a,z) coordinates defined at the detector location. This implies tracking the position of the GC in the sky, to account for this we have averaged the J-factor over a 24 hours period as in Appendix B of ref. [2].

A secondary source of neutrinos comes from extragalactic dark matter annihilation, integrated over redshift. This diffuse isotropic neutrino flux is given by [15]

$$\frac{d\Phi_{\nu}}{dE_{\nu}} = \frac{\langle \sigma \nu \rangle}{2} \frac{c}{4\pi H_0} \frac{\Omega_{\text{DM},0}^2 \rho_{c,0}^2}{m_{\chi}^2} \int_0^{z_{up}} dz \frac{(1+G(z))(1+z)^3}{\sqrt{\Omega_{m,0}(1+z)^3 + \Omega_{\Lambda,0}}} \frac{1}{3E_{\nu}} \delta\left[z - \left(\frac{m_{\chi}}{E_{\nu}} - 1\right)\right], \quad (2)$$

where H_0 is the Hubble constant, $\rho_{c,0}$ is the critical density of the Universe at z = 0; $\Omega_{\text{DM},0}$, $\Omega_{m,0}$ and $\Omega_{\Lambda,0}$ are the dark matter, matter (baryonic and DM) and dark energy densities, respectively (in units of $\rho_{c,0}$). The halo boost factor G(z) accounts for the enhancement to the annihilation rate due to DM clustering in halos. It is computed by summing the contribution of all halos, i.e., a single halo contribution is weighted by the halo mass function (HMF). The HMF is calculated using the parametrization in ref. [16], assuming a minimum halo mass M_{min} . Since this value is not well constrained, we consider two extreme cases as in ref. [17], $M_{\text{min}} = 10^{-3} M_{\odot}$ (minimum) and $M_{\text{min}} = 10^{-9} M_{\odot}$ (maximum).

2.3 DSNB flux

The DSNB flux is obtained by redshifting the neutrino spectrum $dN_{\bar{\nu}_e}/dE_{\bar{\nu}_e}$ from a single supernova (SN) according to the core collapse SN rate, which is calculated as the product of the star formation rate (SFR), $\dot{\rho}_{\star}(z)$, and the fraction of stars that end up their life cycles as neutron stars. Thus, the DSNB flux reads

$$\frac{d\Phi_{\bar{\nu}_e}}{dE_{\bar{\nu}_e}} = \frac{c}{H_0} \int_0^{z_{\text{max}}} dz \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Omega_{m,0}(1+z)^3 + \Omega_{\Lambda,0}}} \frac{dN_{\bar{\nu}_e}}{dE'_{\bar{\nu}_e}} (E'_{\bar{\nu}_e}) \dot{\rho}_{\star}(z) \frac{\int_8^{50} \xi(M) dM}{\int_{0.1}^{100} M\xi(M) dM},$$
(3)



Figure 1: Expected DSNB events for the lower (light blue) and upper (hatched green) models with $T_{\tilde{\nu}_e} = 4$ MeV, and the lower SFR fit with $T_{\tilde{\nu}_e} = 6$ MeV (hatched blue). We also show thermal DM-induced events, galactic (cyan) and extragalatic (orange and magenta), for $m_{\chi} = 21$ MeV; as well as backgrounds from atmospheric neutrinos (purple), invisible muons assuming neutron tagging (green shaded), and spallation products (hatched yellow).

where $z_{\text{max}} = 5$, $\xi(M) = M^{-2.35}$ is the Salpeter initial mass function (IMF) [19], and

$$\frac{dN_{\bar{\nu}_e}}{dE'_{\bar{\nu}_e}}(E'_{\bar{\nu}_e}) = \frac{120}{7\pi^4} \frac{E_{\nu}^{tot}}{6} \frac{E_{\bar{\nu}_e}^{'2}}{T_{\bar{\nu}_e}^4} \frac{1}{e^{E'_{\bar{\nu}_e}/T_{\bar{\nu}_e}} + 1},$$
(4)

where $E'_{\bar{\nu}_e} = E_{\bar{\nu}_e}(1+z)$, $T_{\bar{\nu}_e}$ is the effective neutrino temperature outside the star after neutrino mixing, and $E_{\nu}^{tot} \simeq 3 \times 10^{53}$ erg [18] is the total neutrino energy, considering all flavours of neutrinos and antineutrinos. We assumed a continuous broken power-law for the SFR [20], obtained by fitting Hubble and gamma-ray burst data in ref. [18]

$$\dot{\rho}_{\star}(z) = \dot{\rho}_0 \left[(1+z)^{\alpha\eta} + \frac{(1+z)^{\beta\eta}}{(1+z_1)^{(\beta-\alpha)\eta}} + \frac{(1+z)^{\gamma\eta}}{(1+z_1)^{(\beta-\alpha)\eta}(1+z_2)^{(\gamma-\beta)\eta}} \right]^{1/\eta}, \quad (5)$$

where $\dot{\rho}_0$ is a normalisation constant in units $M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-3}$, $\eta \simeq -10$ [20], $z_1 = 1$, $z_2 = 4$, and the logarithmic slopes of the low, intermediate, and high redshift regimes, α , β and γ respectively are given in Table 1.

3 Results

As in the Hyper DR, we consider an analysis window of 16-30 MeV. To determine the impact a potential DM signal would have on DSNB measurements, we consider nine DSNB models corresponding to the three SFR fits in Table 1 (upper, fiducial and lower) with neutrino temperatures $T_{\bar{\nu}_e} = 4$, 6 and 8 MeV, and compare different signals S_i with and without neutrinos from DM annihilation using a test statistic from the profile log-likelihood ratio

$$TS = -2\ln\frac{\mathcal{L}_p(\mathcal{D}_A(S_2)|S_1)}{\mathcal{L}_p(\mathcal{D}_A(S_1)|S_2)},\tag{6}$$

where \mathcal{D}_A is the Asimov dataset [21]. We assume $TS \simeq \chi^2$ to estimate confidence intervals.



Figure 2: DSNB and DM events binned in the zenith angle. The DM data corresponds to a thermal relic with mass 20 MeV. The left panel compares the lower and fiducial SFR models with $T_{\bar{\nu}_e} = 6$ MeV in the presence of DM-induced galactic and extragalactic neutrino fluxes, while the right panel compares the fiducial and upper SFR DSNB models.

As expected, DM of mass $m_{\chi} \gtrsim 30 \,\mathrm{MeV}$ has no impact on the significance of the DSNB detection, since most of the events fall above the DSNB analysis window. We find that the DM polluting effect is maximal for DM masses between 20 and 25 MeV. For instance, suppose that S_1 in Eq. 6 is given by the lower SFR DSNB model with $T_{\bar{\nu}_1} = 4$ MeV (light blue shaded), plus neutrinos from DM annihilation, galactic (cyan) and extragalactic components (orange and magenta for the minimum and maximum M_{\min} cases, respectively), for thermal DM with $m_{\gamma} = 21$ MeV, see Fig. 1. To form the log-likelihood ratio, we assume that S_2 is a different DSNB model without DM-induced neutrinos. We find that both the upper SFR model with $T_{\bar{\nu}_e} = 4 \,\text{MeV}$ (hatched green) and the lower model with $T_{\bar{\nu}_e} = 6 \,\text{MeV}$ (hatched blue) give equally good best fits, while the correct model would be ruled out at \sim 95% CL. From Fig. 1, note that neutrinos from DM of mass in the 20-25 MeV range do not contribute to the high energy bins, which have a lower statistical weight than those affected by DM pollution. This is because the backgrounds increase with increasing energy. Thus, neutrinos from DM annihilation could indeed lead to quite misleading interpretations of the DSNB dataset. Note that we have assumed that the invisible muon background (green shaded) is significantly reduced by neutron tagging as estimated in the HyperK DR [1], since we found that DSNB model discrimination with statistical significance would be impossible without n-tagging [3].

A workaround to alleviate the effect of a possible DM signal would be the use of angular information in the DSNB analysis. The DSNB signal is isotropic up to statistical fluctuations, while the DM signal originates primarily from the GC. In Fig. 2, we show DM and DSNB events binned in the zenith angle (cos z). As we can see, a simple on-off analysis that distinguishes between positive and negative cos z would be enough to detect the presence of DM and recover the correct DSNB model in the off region for $T_{\tilde{\nu}_e} = 6 \text{ MeV}$. Note, however, that there is a slight degeneracy between models when increasing the neutrino temperature and decreasing the SFR [3]. E.g. if the true DSNB parameters are the upper SFR and $T_{\tilde{\nu}_e} = 6 \text{ MeV}$, then the fiducial SFR model with $T_{\tilde{\nu}_e} = 8 \text{ MeV}$ is also a reasonable good fit. For $T_{\tilde{\nu}_e} = 4 \text{ MeV}$, most of the DSNB events fall below 16 MeV to allow the identification of the correct DSNB model.

4 Conclusion

Next generation neutrino telescopes are expected to be sensitive to both the Diffuse Supernova Neutrino Background (DSNB) and light dark matter (DM) with thermal cross section. We have shown that sub-GeV DM which annihilates predominantly to neutrinos can constitute a sizeable background for DSNB searches. Furthermore, DM of mass in the 20 - 25 MeV range can lead to incorrect inferences about the star formation rate and the effective neutrino temperature, parameters of interests for DSNB physics. Only the use of angular information in the DSNB analysis would enable to disentangle the DSNB and DM signals.

Acknowledgements

Funding information SR was supported by the UK STFC grant ST/T000759/1. SR thanks the Institute for Nuclear Theory at the University of Washington for its hospitality and the Department of Energy for partial support during the completion of this work.

References

- [1] K. Abe et al., *Hyper-Kamiokande design report*, (arXiv preprint) doi:10.48550/arXiv.1805.04163.
- [2] N. F. Bell, M. J. Dolan and S. Robles, Searching for Sub-GeV dark matter in the galactic centre using hyper-Kamiokande, J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. 019 (2020), doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2020/09/019.
- [3] N. F. Bell, M. J. Dolan and S. Robles, Dark matter pollution in the diffuse supernova neutrino background, J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. 060 (2022), doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2022/11/060.
- [4] C. Andreopoulos et al., *The GENIE neutrino Monte Carlo generator*, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. A **614**, 87 (2010), doi:10.1016/j.nima.2009.12.009.
- [5] C. Andreopoulos, et al., *The GENIE neutrino Monte Carlo generator: Physics and user manual*, (arXiv preprint) doi:10.48550/arXiv.1510.05494.
- [6] R. Brun and F. Rademakers, *ROOT An object oriented data analysis framework*, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. A **389**, 81 (1997), doi:10.1016/S0168-9002(97)00048-X.
- [7] G. Battistoni, A. Ferrari, T. Montaruli and P. R. Sala, *The atmospheric neutrino flux below 100MeV: The FLUKA results*, Astropart. Phys. **23**, 526 (2005), doi:10.1016/j.astropartphys.2005.03.006.
- [8] M. Honda, T. Kajita, K. Kasahara and S. Midorikawa, Improvement of low energy atmospheric neutrino flux calculation using the JAM nuclear interaction model, Phys. Rev. D 83, 123001 (2011), doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.83.123001.
- [9] M. Wallraff and C. Wiebusch, *Calculation of oscillation probabilities of atmospheric neutrinos using nuCraft*, Comput. Phys. Commun. **197**, 185 (2015), doi:10.1016/j.cpc.2015.07.010.
- [10] A. M. Dziewonski and D. L. Anderson, *Preliminary reference Earth model*, Phys. Earth Planet. Inter. **25**, 297 (1981), doi:10.1016/0031-9201(81)90046-7.

- [11] P. Zyla et al., *Review of particle physics*, Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys. 083C01 (2020), doi:10.1093/ptep/ptaa104.
- [12] N. F. Bell, M. J. Dolan and S. Robles, Searching for dark matter in the Sun using Hyper-Kamiokande, J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. 004 (2021), doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2021/11/004.
- [13] H. al., Supernova Relic with Zhang et Neutrino search neutron super-Kamiokande-IV, tagging at Astropart. Phys. **60**, 41 (2015),doi:10.1016/j.astropartphys.2014.05.004.
- [14] J. F. Beacom and M. R. Vagins, Antineutrino spectroscopy with large water Čerenkov detectors, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 171101 (2004), doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.93.171101.
- [15] J. F. Beacom, N. F. Bell and G. D. Mack, Upper bound on the dark matter total annihilation cross section, Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 231301 (2007), doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.99.231301.
- [16] W. A. Watson, et al., The halo mass function through the cosmic ages, Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc. 433, 1230 (2013), doi:10.1093/mnras/stt791.
- [17] C. A. Argüelles, et al., Dark matter annihilation to neutrinos, Rev. Mod. Phys. 93, 035007 (2021), doi:10.1103/RevModPhys.93.035007.
- [18] S. Horiuchi, J. F. Beacom and E. Dwek, Diffuse supernova neutrino background is detectable in Super-Kamiokande, Phys. Rev. D 79, 083013 (2009), doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.79.083013.
- [19] E. E. Salpeter, The Luminosity function and stellar evolution., Astrophys. J. 121, 161 (1955), doi:10.1086/145971.
- [20] H. Yüksel, M. D. Kistler, J. F. Beacom and A. M. Hopkins, *Revealing the high-redshift star formation rate with gamma-ray bursts*, Astrophys. J. Lett. 683, L5 (2008), doi:10.1086/591449.
- [21] G. Cowan, K. Cranmer, E. Gross and O. Vitells, *Asymptotic formulae for likelihood-based tests of new physics*, Eur. Phys. J. C 71, 1554 (2011), doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-011-1554-0.