

Implications of new physics in $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda_c \ell \bar{\nu}_\ell$ decay processes

Aishwarya Bhatta* and Rukmani Mohanta

University of Hyderabad, Hyderabad 500046, India

* aish.bhatt@gmail.com

16th International Workshop on Tau Lepton Physics (TAU2021)
Online, September 27 - October 1, 2021
doi:[10.21468/SciPostPhysProc.16](https://doi.org/10.21468/SciPostPhysProc.16)

Abstract

Several indications of lepton non universality ratios, $R(D^*)$, $R(J/\Psi)$ and the measurements on hadronic and τ longitudinal polarizations in $b \rightarrow c \ell \bar{\nu}_\tau$ processes have attracted a lot of attentions. By considering the most general effective Lagrangian, we carry out a model independent analysis of the semileptonic Λ_b decays, to inspect the nature of new physics. We constraint the new physics parameter space by using the chi square fitting. We study the implications of constrained new couplings on the observable such as branching fractions, forward-backward asymmetries, lepton non universality parameter and Λ_c and lepton longitudinal polarization fractions of the decay modes.



Copyright A. Bhatta and R. Mohanta.

This work is licensed under the Creative Commons

[Attribution 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Published by the SciPost Foundation.

Received 2022-02-22

Accepted 2025-03-06

Published 2025-07-14

doi:[10.21468/SciPostPhysProc.16.012](https://doi.org/10.21468/SciPostPhysProc.16.012)



Check for updates

1 Introduction

Fascinating hints of distinctness between the standard measured data and the Standard Model (SM) prognostications have been noticed in B decays by many experimental collaborations [1, 2]. Those observations can be treated as indirect substantiation of physics beyond the SM which have drawn awareness by the scientific community in recent years. Amid these decays, the charged-current modes are of special concern. Regardless of being a semileptonic $b \rightarrow c \tau \bar{\nu}$ channel, which are taking at tree-level in the SM, various experiments have announced substantial tensions in the branching fraction ratios ($\mathcal{B}$) with $\ell = e$ or μ [3–6]

$$R_{D^{(*)}} = \frac{\text{Br}(\bar{B} \rightarrow \bar{D}^{(*)} \tau \bar{\nu}_\tau)}{\text{Br}(\bar{B} \rightarrow \bar{D}^{(*)} l \bar{\nu}_l)}, \quad (1)$$

$$R_{J/\psi} = \frac{\text{Br}(B_c \rightarrow J/\psi \tau \bar{\nu}_\tau)}{\text{Br}(B_c \rightarrow J/\psi l \bar{\nu}_l)}. \quad (2)$$

These fractions are especially explorations of New Physics (NP) due to the abandonment of incertitude inherent in idiomatic $\mathcal{B}$ predictions. In contrast, at LHCb nearly 20% from the total number of produced hadrons are Λ_b baryon, because of that the research of Λ_b becomes quite enthralling presently. We explore the existence of lepton universality violation in tune with the baryon decays $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda_c l \bar{\nu}_l$ to confirm the effect and the presence of NP in the B sector.

Table 1: Catalogue of measured lepton non-universality variables.

LNU parameters	Experimental value	SM prediction	Deviation
R_D	$0.391 \pm 0.041 \pm 0.028$	0.300 ± 0.008	1.9σ
R_{D^*}	$0.316 \pm 0.016 \pm 0.010$	0.252 ± 0.003	3.3σ
$R_{J/\psi}$	$0.71 \pm 0.17 \pm 0.184$	0.289 ± 0.01	2σ

2 Theoretical framework and observables

2.1 Effective Lagrangian

The constructive Lagrangian related with $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda_c l \bar{\nu}_l$ decay processes, referred by the quark transition $b \rightarrow c l \bar{\nu}_l$ is [7]

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} = -\frac{4G_F}{\sqrt{2}} V_{cb} \left\{ V_R \bar{l}_L \gamma_\mu \nu_L \bar{c}_R \gamma^\mu b_R + (1 + V_L) \bar{l}_L \gamma_\mu \nu_L \bar{c}_L \gamma^\mu b_L \right. \\ \left. + S_R \bar{l}_R \nu_L \bar{c}_L b_R + S_L \bar{l}_R \nu_L \bar{c}_R b_L \right\} + \text{h.c.} \quad (3)$$

In the existence of NP, the differential decay distribution for $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda_c l \bar{\nu}_l$ process with respect to $\cos \theta$ and q^2 is given as [8]

$$\frac{d\Gamma}{dq^2} = \frac{G_F^2 |V_{qb}|^2 q^2 \sqrt{\lambda}}{2^{10} \pi^3 M_{B_1}^3} \left(1 - \frac{m_l^2}{q^2} \right)^2 \left[A_1 + \frac{m_l^2}{q^2} A_2 + 2A_3 + \frac{1}{4} A_4 + \frac{4m_l}{\sqrt{q^2}} (A_5 + A_6) + A_7 \right]. \quad (4)$$

The helicity amplitudes in terms of the various form factors and the NP couplings are given as [8]. After consolidating out $\cos \theta$ in Eqn. (4), we get the q^2 reliant differential decay rate. Some other engrossing parameters are shown below, apart from the branching ratios, in these decay modes.

2.2 Observables

- Forward-backward asymmetry parameter [10]:

$$A_{FB}(q^2) = \left(\int_{-1}^0 \frac{d^2\Gamma}{dq^2 d\cos\theta_l} d\cos\theta_l - \int_0^1 \frac{d^2\Gamma}{dq^2 d\cos\theta_l} d\cos\theta_l \right) \bigg/ \frac{d\Gamma}{dq^2}. \quad (5)$$

- Longitudinal hadron polarization asymmetry parameter [10]:

$$P_L^{\Lambda_c}(q^2) = \frac{d\Gamma^{\lambda_c=1/2}/dq^2 - d\Gamma^{\lambda_c=-1/2}/dq^2}{d\Gamma/dq^2}. \quad (6)$$

- Lepton non-universality parameter [10]:

$$R_{\Lambda_c} = \frac{\text{Br}(\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda_c \tau^- \bar{\nu}_\tau)}{\text{Br}(\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda_c l^- \bar{\nu}_l)}, \quad l = e, \mu. \quad (7)$$




Figure 1: Constraints on various new coefficients obtained from χ^2 fitting. Here red, blue and green colours represent the 1σ , 2σ and 3σ contours and the black dots represent the best-fit values.

3 Constraints on new couplings

After assembling the expressions for all the interesting observables in presence of NP, we perform the χ^2 fitting to obtain the values of the new coefficients, from the observables $R_{D^{(*)}}$, $R_{J/\psi}$ and $\text{Br}(B_c^+ \rightarrow \tau^+ \nu_\tau)$ [9], where χ^2 is defined as

$$\chi^2(X) = \sum_i \frac{(\mathcal{O}_i^{\text{th}}(X) - \mathcal{O}_i^{\text{Expt}})^2}{(\Delta \mathcal{O}_i^{\text{Expt}})^2 + (\Delta \mathcal{O}_i^{\text{SM}})^2}. \quad (8)$$

Here $\Delta \mathcal{O}_i^{\text{SM}}$ and $\Delta \mathcal{O}_i^{\text{Expt}}$ are respectively the SM and experimental uncertainties of the parameters. $\mathcal{O}_i^{\text{th}}(X)$ are the total theoretical predictions for the observables with $X(=V_{L,R}, S_{L,R})$ as the new Wilson coefficients and $\mathcal{O}_i^{\text{Expt}}$ represent the corresponding measured central values.

Table 2: Predicted best-fit values of new Wilson coefficients with the $\chi^2_{\min}/\text{d.o.f}$ and pull values.

New Wilson coefficients	Best-fit values	$\chi^2_{\min}/\text{d.o.f}$	Pull
$(\text{Re}[V_L], \text{Im}[V_L])$	$(-1.223, 1.035)$	1.151	2.982
$(\text{Re}[V_R], \text{Im}[V_R])$	$(-0.0044, -0.3283)$	1.145	2.984
$(\text{Re}[S_L], \text{Im}[S_L])$	$(0.965, 0)$	4.213	1.663
$(\text{Re}[S_R], \text{Im}[S_R])$	$(-0.694, -0.777)$	2.175	2.616

Table 3: The predicted values of branching ratios, forward backward asymmetry, longitudinal hadron polarization asymmetry and lepton non-universality parameters of $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda_c \tau^- \bar{\nu}_\tau$ processes in the SM and in the presence of $V_{L,R}$ and $S_{L,R}$ coefficients.

Observables	SM prediction	Values for V_L, V_R coupling	Values for S_L, S_R coupling
$\text{Br}(\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ \tau^- \bar{\nu}_\tau)$	1.76×10^{-2}	$4.83 \times 10^{-2}, 2.25 \times 10^{-2}$	$1.96 \times 10^{-2}, 2.05 \times 10^{-2}$
A_{FB}	-0.09	-0.09, -0.15	-0.11, -0.01
$P_L^{\Lambda_c}$	-0.795	-0.795, -0.441	-0.782, -0.437
R_{Λ_c}	0.352	0.965, 0.452	0.393, 0.411

4 Results and discussion

In the Table:3 we show the numerical results for Λ_b decay with third generation leptons in the concluding state. We convey the differential branching ratio in Fig. 2, forward-backward asymmetry in Fig. 3, longitudinal hadron polarization in Fig. 4 and lepton non-universality in Fig. 5 for $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda_c \tau^- \bar{\nu}_\tau$ process with respect to q^2 . In these figures, the blue dashed lines represent the SM contribution, the red, blue, green and yellow bands are due to the presence of V_L, V_R, S_L and S_R NP coefficients respectively. The branching ratios of $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda_c \tau^- \bar{\nu}_\tau$ deviate significantly from their corresponding SM values due to the NP contribution. For the forward-backward asymmetry and hadron polarization asymmetry, we found no deviation from SM results in the presence of V_L but for V_R, S_L and S_R it give significant contributions to NP. For lepton non-universality parameter, We observe that all the coefficients show some deviation from SM, but the NP contribution coming from the V_L coupling has significant impact on R_{Λ_c} [10].

5 Conclusion

We have performed a model independent analysis of baryonic $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda_c \tau^- \bar{\nu}_\tau$ decay process by considering the generalized effective Lagrangian in the presence of new physics. Using the allowed parameter space, we estimated the branching ratios, forward-backward asymmetry, longitudinal hadron polarization and LNU parameter. To conclude, we have explored the effect of individual complex $V_{L,R}$ and $S_{L,R}$ couplings on the angular observables and found profound deviation from the standard model results due to the presence of these NP couplings.

Acknowledgments

AB would like to thank R. Mohanta for helpful discussions.

Funding information This work is supported by DST-INSPIRE(DST), Government of India, Ministry of Science and Technology for financial support through grant No. DST/INSPIRE Fellowship/2017/IF170644.




Figure 2: The q^2 variation of branching ratio of $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda_c \tau \bar{\nu}_\tau$ process.




Figure 3: The q^2 variation of forward-backward asymmetry of $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda_c \tau \bar{\nu}_\tau$ process.




Figure 4: The q^2 variation of hadron polarization asymmetry of $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda_c \tau \bar{\nu}_\tau$ process.




Figure 5: The q^2 variation of lepton non-universality of $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda_c \tau \bar{\nu}_\tau$ process.

References

- [1] A. Pich, *Flavour anomalies*, Proc. Sci. **350**, 078 (2019), doi:[10.22323/1.350.0078](https://doi.org/10.22323/1.350.0078).
- [2] S. Bifani, S. Descotes-Genon, A. Romero Vidal and M.-H. Schune, *Review of lepton universality tests in B decays*, J. Phys. G **46**, 023001 (2018), doi:[10.1088/1361-6471/aaf5de](https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6471/aaf5de).
- [3] BaBar collaboration: J. P. Lees et al., *Evidence for an excess of $\bar{B} \rightarrow D^{(*)} \tau^- \bar{\nu}_\tau$ decays*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**, 101802 (2012), doi:[10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.101802](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.101802).
- [4] S. Hirose et al., *Measurement of the τ lepton polarization and $R(D^*)$ in the decay $\bar{B} \rightarrow D^* \tau^- \bar{\nu}_\tau$ with one-prong hadronic τ decays at Belle*, Phys. Rev. D **97**, 012004 (2018), doi:[10.1103/PhysRevD.97.012004](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.97.012004).
- [5] LHCb collaboration: R. Aaij et al., *Test of lepton flavor universality by the measurement of the $B^0 \rightarrow D^{*-} \tau^+ \nu_\tau$ branching fraction using three-prong τ decays*, Phys. Rev. D **97**, 072013 (2018), doi:[10.1103/PhysRevD.97.072013](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.97.072013).
- [6] Y. Amhis et al., *Averages of b-hadron, c-hadron, and τ -lepton properties as of 2018*, Eur. Phys. J. C **81**, 226 (2021), doi:[10.1140/epjc/s10052-020-8156-7](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-020-8156-7).
- [7] Y. Sakaki, R. Watanabe, M. Tanaka and A. Tayduganov, *Testing leptoquark models in $\bar{B} \rightarrow D^{(*)} \tau \bar{\nu}$* , Phys. Rev. D **88**, 094012 (2013), doi:[10.1103/PhysRevD.88.094012](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.88.094012).
- [8] S. Shivashankara, W. Wu and A. Datta, *$\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda_c \tau \bar{\nu}_\tau$ decay in the Standard Model and with new physics*, Phys. Rev. D **91**, 115003 (2015), doi:[10.1103/PhysRevD.91.115003](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.91.115003).
- [9] P. Biancofiore, P. Colangelo and F. De Fazio, *Anomalous enhancement observed in $B \rightarrow D^{(*)} \tau \bar{\nu}_\tau$ decays*, Phys. Rev. D **87**, 074010 (2013), doi:[10.1103/PhysRevD.87.074010](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.87.074010).
- [10] A. Bhatta and R. Mohanta, *Delving into new physics in semileptonic $b \rightarrow c \tau \bar{\nu}$ transitions*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **38**, 2350148 (2023), doi:[10.1142/s0217751x23501488](https://doi.org/10.1142/s0217751x23501488).