

Tau lepton averages by the HFLAV group

Alberto Lusiani

Scuola Normale Superiore, Pisa
INFN, Sezione di Pisa

alberto.lusiani@sns.it



*The 17th International Workshop on
Tau Lepton Physics (TAU2023)
Louisville, USA, 4-8 December 2023
doi:10.21468/SciPostPhysProc.17*

Abstract

We document some features of the preliminary draft of the tau lepton averages for the incoming HFLAV report. We include the tau mass and lifetime averages, and a fit of measurements of tau branching fraction measurements. Elaborations of these results provide lepton universality tests and determination of $|V_{us}|$ with tau measurements.



Copyright A. Lusiani.

This work is licensed under the Creative Commons

[Attribution 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Published by the SciPost Foundation.

Received 2024-06-01

Accepted 2025-01-06

Published 2025-07-23

doi:10.21468/SciPostPhysProc.17.001



Check for
updates

1 Introduction

The preliminary draft of the tau section of the HFLAV report includes the averages of the tau lifetime and of the tau mass, and a fit of measurements of the tau branching fractions. The tau lifetime average replicates one reported in the 2022 edition and 2023 update of the Review of Particle Physics [1] (PDG 2023). The average of the tau mass uses 10 τ mass measurements, including the recent Belle II measurement [2], which is not yet included in PDG 2023. The fit has $\chi^2/\text{d.o.f.} = 7.3/9$, corresponding to a confidence level $\text{CL} = 61\%$, and reports $m_\tau = 1776.96 \pm 0.09 \text{ MeV}$. We report more details on the tau branching fractions fits and further elaborations to test the Standard Model lepton universality and to compute $|V_{us}|$ in the following sections.

2 Tau branching fractions fit

We perform an equality-constrained fit of tau branching fractions measurements following the procedures mentioned in the 2021 HFLAV report [3]. This edition of the fit differs from the 2021 one because we take into account the uncertainty on an external input, $\mathcal{B}(a_1^- \rightarrow \pi^- \gamma)$, which is used in the constraint equations that describe related measurements, according to

our assumptions that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{B}(\tau^- \rightarrow a_1^- \nu_\tau) &= \mathcal{B}(\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^- \nu_\tau (\text{ex.} K^0, \omega)) + \mathcal{B}(\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- 2\pi^0 \nu_\tau (\text{ex.} K^0)) \\ &\quad + \mathcal{B}(\tau^- \rightarrow a_1^- (\pi^- \gamma) \nu_\tau),\end{aligned}$$

neglecting the observed but negligible branching fractions to other modes, and that

$$\mathcal{B}(\tau^- \rightarrow a_1^- (\pi^- \gamma) \nu_\tau) = \mathcal{B}(\tau^- \rightarrow a_1^- \nu_\tau) \cdot \mathcal{B}(a_1^- \rightarrow \pi^- \gamma).$$

In the other constraints, uncertainties on external inputs (mostly η , ω and ϕ branching fractions) are smaller in relative size, and negligible when compared with the typical uncertainties on the tau branching fractions. In the fit, an additional floating nuisance parameter describes $\mathcal{B}(a_1^- \rightarrow \pi^- \gamma)$, and an associated χ^2 term accounts for its ALEPH estimate of 0.0021 ± 0.0008 [4]. The current procedure is preferable to the past use the ALEPH estimate of $\mathcal{B}(\tau^- \rightarrow a_1^- (\pi^- \gamma) \nu_\tau)$, which depended on $\mathcal{B}(a_1^- \rightarrow \pi^- \gamma)$ and on the ALEPH measurements of $\mathcal{B}(\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^- \nu_\tau (\text{ex.} K^0, \omega))$ and $\mathcal{B}(\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- 2\pi^0 \nu_\tau (\text{ex.} K^0))$.

The fit uses 170 τ branching fractions and ratios measurements to optimize 137 fit parameters subject to 91 constraints. When considering just the τ branching fractions, omitting the nuisance fit parameters, the fit has $\chi^2/\text{d.o.f.} = 134/124$, corresponding to a confidence level CL = 24.7%.

3 Tests of lepton universality

Using the tau branching fraction fit results and present world averages for the other quantities [1], we compute the universality tests

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\frac{g_\tau}{g_\mu}\right) &= \sqrt{\frac{\mathcal{B}_{\tau e} \tau_\mu m_\mu^5 f_{\mu e} R_\gamma^\mu R_W^{\mu e}}{\mathcal{B}_{\mu e} \tau_\tau m_\tau^5 f_{\tau e} R_\gamma^\tau R_W^{\tau e}}} = 1.0007 \pm 0.0015, \\ \left(\frac{g_\tau}{g_e}\right) &= \sqrt{\frac{\mathcal{B}_{\tau \mu} \tau_\mu m_\mu^5 f_{\mu e} R_\gamma^\mu R_W^{\mu e}}{\mathcal{B}_{\mu e} \tau_\tau m_\tau^5 f_{\tau \mu} R_\gamma^\tau R_W^{\tau \mu}}} = 1.0026 \pm 0.0015, \\ \left(\frac{g_\mu}{g_e}\right) &= \sqrt{\frac{\mathcal{B}_{\tau \mu} f_{\tau e} R^{\tau e}}{\mathcal{B}_{\tau e} f_{\tau \mu} R^{\tau \mu}}} = 1.0019 \pm 0.0014,\end{aligned}$$

where, for a higher mass lepton h and a lower mass lepton l ,

$$\begin{aligned}f(x) &= 1 - 8x + 8x^3 - x^4 - 12x^2 \ln x, & f_{hl} &= f\left(\frac{m_l^2}{m_h^2}\right), \\ R_\gamma^h &= 1 + \frac{\alpha(m_h)}{2\pi} \left(\frac{25}{4} - \pi^2\right), & R_\gamma^\tau &= 1 - 43.2 \cdot 10^{-4} \text{ [5]}, & R_\gamma^\mu &= 1 - 42.4 \cdot 10^{-4} \text{ [5]}, \\ R_W^{hl} &= 1 + \frac{3}{5} \frac{m_h^2}{M_W^2} + \frac{9}{5} \frac{m_l^2}{M_W^2} \text{ [6–8]}.\end{aligned}$$

By assuming that $g_e = g_\mu$ we compute a synthetic tau electronic branching fraction $\mathcal{B}'_e$ using the fit results of the tau muonic and electronic branching fractions. The universality of the light leptons and tau couplings implies that:

$$\mathcal{B}'_e = \frac{\tau_\tau m_\tau^5 f_{\tau e} R_\gamma^\tau R_W^{\tau e}}{\tau_\mu m_\mu^5 f_{\mu e} R_\gamma^\mu R_W^{\mu e}}. \quad (1)$$




Figure 1: The plot shows the world average of the synthetic tau electronic branching fraction (see Section 3), which represents the electron and muon couplings, the average of the tau lifetime, which is related to the tau coupling, and the prediction of the Standard Model lepton universality, represented by a band whose width is primarily determined by the uncertainty on the tau mass average. The orange band corresponds to the PDG 2023 [1] tau mass average, while the thinner blue band corresponds to the preliminary HFLAV tau mass average, which includes the recent Belle II measurement [2].

$\mathcal{B}'_e$ is a linear function of the tau lifetime, whose slope is known with a precision primarily limited by the uncertainty on the tau mass, which has been improved by the recent Belle II measurement [2]. Figure 1 illustrates the present status of this universality test.

3.1 Measurements of $|V_{us}|$

We proceed like in the previous public HFLAV report [3] to compute $|V_{us}|$ using the inclusive τ branching fraction to strange hadronic final states as [9, 10] and using the ratio of branching fractions $\mathcal{B}(\tau^- \rightarrow K^- \nu_\tau)$ and $\mathcal{B}(\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \nu_\tau)$, without giving details because of space limits.

We determine $|V_{us}|$ from the branching fraction $\mathcal{B}(\tau^- \rightarrow K^- \nu_\tau)$ using

$$\mathcal{B}(\tau^- \rightarrow K^- \nu_\tau) = \frac{G_F^2}{16\pi\hbar} f_{K^\pm}^2 |V_{us}|^2 \tau_\tau m_\tau^3 \left(1 - \frac{m_K^2}{m_\tau^2}\right)^2 S_{EW}^{\tau h} (1 + \delta_{\tau K}). \quad (2)$$

We use $f_{K^\pm} = 155.7 \pm 0.3 \text{ MeV}$ from the 2023 web update of the FLAG 2021 lattice QCD averages with $N_f = 2+1+1$ [11–16]. The universal short-distance electroweak correction for τ hadronic decays is $S_{EW}^{\tau h} = S_{EW}^{R\tau h} \cdot S_{EW}^{\text{sub,lep}} = 1.01910 \pm 0.00030$, where the radiative correction for the tau spectral functions is $S_{EW}^{R\tau h} = 1.02350 \pm 0.00030$ [6, 17, 18] and the sub-leading universal short-distance correction for the τ leptonic decays is $S_{EW}^{\text{sub,lep}} = 0.9957$ [17]. The long-distance radiative correction for $\mathcal{B}(\tau^- \rightarrow K^- \nu_\tau)$ is $\delta_{\tau K} = (-0.15 \pm 0.57)\%$ [19]. The physical constants G_F and $\hbar$ are taken from CODATA 2018 [20]. We obtain $|V_{us}|_{\tau K} = 0.2224 \pm 0.0017$, which is 2.3σ below the CKM unitarity prediction.

Figure 2 reports our $|V_{us}|$ determinations using the τ branching fractions, compared with two determinations based on kaon data [21] and with the value obtained from $|V_{ud}|$ with CKM-matrix unitarity [21].




Figure 2: $|V_{us}|$ determinations. Ref. [21] has been used for $|V_{us}|_{K\ell 3}$, $|V_{us}|_{K\ell 2}$, $|V_{ud}|$ (from the average of the nuclear decay and neutron decay determinations), and for the $|V_{us}|$ value implied by CKM unitarity.

References

- [1] R. L. Workman et al., *Review of particle physics*, Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys. **08**, 083C01 (2022), doi:[10.1093/ptep/ptac097](https://doi.org/10.1093/ptep/ptac097).
- [2] I. Adachi et al., *Measurement of the τ -lepton mass with the Belle II experiment*, Phys. Rev. D **108**, 032006 (2023), doi:[10.1103/PhysRevD.108.032006](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.108.032006).
- [3] Y. S. Amhis et al., *Averages of b -hadron, c -hadron, and τ -lepton properties as of 2021*, Phys. Rev. D **107**, 052008 (2023), doi:[10.1103/PhysRevD.107.052008](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.107.052008).
- [4] S. Schael et al., *Branching ratios and spectral functions of τ decays: Final ALEPH measurements and physics implications*, Phys. Rep. **421**, 191 (2005), doi:[10.1016/j.physrep.2005.06.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2005.06.007).
- [5] W. J. Marciano and A. Sirlin, *Electroweak radiative corrections to τ decay*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **61**, 1815 (1988), doi:[10.1103/PhysRevLett.61.1815](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.61.1815).
- [6] A. Pich, *Precision tau physics*, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **75**, 41 (2014), doi:[10.1016/j.pnpnp.2013.11.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pnpnp.2013.11.002).
- [7] A. Ferroglia, C. Greub, A. Sirlin and Z. Zhang, *Contributions of the W -boson propagator to μ and τ leptonic decay rates*, Phys. Rev. D **88**, 033012 (2013), doi:[10.1103/PhysRevD.88.033012](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.88.033012).
- [8] M. Fael, L. Mercolli and M. Passera, *W -propagator corrections to μ and τ leptonic decays*, Phys. Rev. D **88**, 093011 (2013), doi:[10.1103/PhysRevD.88.093011](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.88.093011).
- [9] E. Gámiz, J. Prades, M. Jamin, F. Schwab and A. Pich, *Determination of m_s and $|V_{us}|$ from hadronic τ -decays*, J. High Energy Phys. **01**, 060 (2003), doi:[10.1088/1126-6708/2003/01/060](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2003/01/060).
- [10] E. Gámiz, M. Jamin, A. Pich, J. Prades and F. Schwab, *$|V_{us}|$ and m_s from hadronic τ decay*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 011803 (2005), doi:[10.1103/PhysRevLett.94.011803](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.94.011803).

- [11] Y. Aoki et al., *FLAG review 2021*, Eur. Phys. J. C **82**, 869 (2022), doi:[10.1140/epjc/s10052-022-10536-1](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-022-10536-1).
- [12] S. Aoki et al., *Flag review 2023 web update* (2023), http://flag.unibe.ch/2021/Media?action=AttachFile&do=get&target=FLAG_2023_webupdate.pdf.
- [13] C. Alexandrou et al., *Ratio of kaon and pion leptonic decay constants with $N_f = 2 + 1 + 1$ Wilson-clover twisted-mass fermions*, Phys. Rev. D **104**, 074520 (2021), doi:[10.1103/PhysRevD.104.074520](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.104.074520).
- [14] R. J. Dowdall, C. T. H. Davies, G. P. Lepage and C. McNeile, *V_{us} from π and K decay constants in full lattice QCD with physical u , d , s and c quarks*, Phys. Rev. D **88**, 074504 (2013), doi:[10.1103/PhysRevD.88.074504](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.88.074504).
- [15] A. Bazavov et al., *Charmed and light pseudoscalar meson decay constants from four-flavor lattice QCD with physical light quarks*, Phys. Rev. D **90**, 074509 (2014), doi:[10.1103/PhysRevD.90.074509](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.90.074509).
- [16] N. Carrasco et al., *Leptonic decay constants f_K , f_D , and f_{D_s} with $N_f = 2 + 1 + 1$ twisted-mass lattice QCD*, Phys. Rev. D **91**, 054507 (2015), doi:[10.1103/PhysRevD.91.054507](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.91.054507).
- [17] M. Davier, S. Eidelman, A. Höcker and Z. Zhang, *Confronting spectral functions from ee annihilation and τ decays: Consequences for the muon magnetic moment*, Eur. Phys. J. C **27**, 497 (2003), doi:[10.1140/epjc/s2003-01136-2](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s2003-01136-2).
- [18] M. Davier, A. Hoecker, A.-M. Lutz, B. Malaescu and Z. Zhang, *Tensions in $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-(\gamma)$ measurements: The new landscape of data-driven hadronic vacuum polarization predictions for the muon $g-2$* , Eur. Phys. J. C **84**, 721 (2024), doi:[10.1140/epjc/s10052-024-12964-7](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-024-12964-7).
- [19] M. A. Arroyo-Ureña, G. Hernández-Tomé, G. López-Castro, P. Roig and I. Rosell, *Radiative corrections to $\tau \rightarrow \pi(K) \nu_\tau [\gamma]$: A reliable new physics test*, Phys. Rev. D **104**, L091502 (2021), doi:[10.1103/PhysRevD.104.L091502](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.104.L091502).
- [20] E. Tiesinga, P. J. Mohr, D. B. Newell and B. N. Taylor, *CODATA recommended values of the fundamental physical constants: 2018*, Rev. Mod. Phys. **93**, 025010 (2021), doi:[10.1103/RevModPhys.93.025010](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.93.025010).
- [21] V. Cirigliano, A. Crivellin, M. Hoferichter and M. Moulson, *Scrutinizing CKM unitarity with a new measurement of the $K_{\mu 3}/K_{\mu 2}$ branching fraction*, Phys. Lett. B **838**, 137748 (2023), doi:[10.1016/j.physletb.2023.137748](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2023.137748).