Reweighting the quark Sivers function with STAR jet data

Carlo Flore^{1*}, Maria Elena Boglione^{2,3}, Umberto D'Alesio^{4,5}, Josè Osvaldo Gonzalez-Hernandez^{2,3}, Francesco Murgia⁵ and Alexei Prokudin^{6,7}

 Université Paris-Saclay, CNRS, IJCLab, 91405 Orsay, France
 Dipartimento di Fisica Teorica, Università di Torino, Via P. Giuria 1, Torino, I-10125, Italy
 INFN, Sezione di Torino, Via P. Giuria 1, Torino, I-10125, Italy
 Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Cagliari, Cittadella Universitaria, I-09042 Monserrato (CA), Italy
 INFN, Sezione di Cagliari, Cittadella Universitaria, I-09042 Monserrato (CA), Italy
 INFN, Sezione di Cagliari, Cittadella Universitaria, I-09042 Monserrato (CA), Italy
 Division of Science, Penn State University Berks, Reading, Pennsylvania 19610, USA
 Theory Center, Jefferson Lab, 12000 Jefferson Avenue, Newport News, Virginia 23606, USA

$\star \ carlo.flore@ijclab.in2p3.fr$



Proceedings for the XXVIII International Workshop on Deep-Inelastic Scattering and Related Subjects, Stony Brook University, New York, USA, 12-16 April 2021 doi:10.21468/SciPostPhysProc.8

Abstract

The Bayesian reweighting procedure is applied for the first time to a TMD distribution, the quark Sivers function extracted from SIDIS data. By exploiting the recent published single spin asymmetry data for the inclusive jet production in $p^{\uparrow}p$ collisions from the STAR collaboration at RHIC, we show how such a procedure allows to incorporate the information contained in the new data set, without the need of re-fitting, and to explore a much wider *x* region compared to SIDIS measurements. The reweighting method is also extended to the case of asymmetric errors, and the results show a significant improvement on the knowledge of the quark Sivers function.

Copyright C. Flore *et al*. This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. Published by the SciPost Foundation.

Received 29-07-2021	Check for update
Accepted 01-03-2022	
Published 11-07-2022	
doi:10.21468/SciPostPhysProc.8	3.034

1 Introduction

The three-dimensional structure of nucleons can be described in terms of Transverse Momentum Dependent (TMD) quark and gluon distributions. At leading twist, among the eight independent quark TMDs, the Sivers function f_{1T}^{\perp} [1, 2] is one of the most studied and plays a seminal role. It is a genuine TMD distribution that encodes the correlation between the transverse polarization of the nucleon and the intrinsic transverse momentum of the quarks inside the nucleon. At variance with unpolarized TMD PDFs, it is also expected to be process dependent, changing sign when probed in Semi-Inclusive DIS (SIDIS) and Drell-Yan (DY) processes [3,4]. A non-zero Sivers function is also an indirect signal of nonvanishing parton orbital angular momentum.

Here, we report on the findings of Ref. [5], where we applied, for the first time, a reweighting procedure to a TMD density.

2 Formalism

The quark Sivers function is usually extracted from the SIDIS azimuthal asymmetries $A_{UT}^{\sin(\phi_h - \phi_S)} = F_{UT}^{\sin(\phi_h - \phi_S)}/F_{UU} = C \left[f_{1T}^{\perp Q} D_1^q \right] / C \left[f_1^q D_1^q \right]$. At the same time, its corresponding effect could be responsible for the transverse single-spin asymmetries (SSAs) measured in $p^{\uparrow}p \rightarrow \text{jet } X$ processes. At variance with SIDIS processes, for which we detect two separate energy scales $(Q_2 \gg Q_1 \sim \Lambda_{\text{QCD}})$, in this latter class of reactions only a single, hard scale is measured. Although in principle such single-scale processes are described within the collinear twist-3 approach [6], one can also use some alternative, phenomenological approaches such as the generalized parton model (GPM) [7–9] and its color gauge invariant version (CGI-GPM) [10–13]. Within these effective models, a factorized formulation in terms of TMDs is assumed as a starting point. In the GPM, the Sivers function is considered to be the same as extracted in SIDIS measurements, and no sign-change effect is taken into account. The sign change is recovered in the CGI-GPM, by including initial and final state interactions within a one-gluon exchange approximation. In the spirit of testing the compatibility of the extraction of the Sivers function from SIDIS data, we analyzed the recent SSA data for inclusive jet production in *pp* collisions from the STAR Collaboration at RHIC [14], within the GPM and CGI-GPM approaches.

The SSA for inclusive jet production in polarized pp collisions is defined as

$$A_N \equiv \frac{d\sigma^{\uparrow} - d\sigma^{\downarrow}}{d\sigma^{\uparrow} + d\sigma^{\downarrow}} \equiv \frac{d\Delta\sigma}{2d\sigma}.$$
 (1)

In the CGI-GPM approach, numerator and denominator of the asymmetry are given by [10]:

$$d\Delta\sigma^{\text{CGI-GPM}} = \frac{2\alpha_s^2}{s} \sum_{a,b,c,d} \int \frac{dx_a \, dx_b}{x_a \, x_b} \, d^2 \mathbf{k}_{\perp a} \, d^2 \mathbf{k}_{\perp b} \left(-\frac{k_{\perp a}}{M_p}\right) f_{1T}^{\perp a}(x_a, k_{\perp a}) \cos\varphi_a$$

$$\times f_{b/p}(x_b, k_{\perp b}) H_{ab \to cd}^{\text{Inc}} \, \delta(\hat{s} + \hat{t} + \hat{u}) \,,$$
(2)

$$d\sigma = \frac{\alpha_s^2}{s} \sum_{a,b,c,d} \int \frac{dx_a dx_b}{x_a x_b} d^2 \mathbf{k}_{\perp a} d^2 \mathbf{k}_{\perp b} f_{a/p}(x_a, k_{\perp a}) f_{b/p}(x_b, k_{\perp b}) H^U_{ab \to cd} \,\delta(\hat{s} + \hat{t} + \hat{u})\,, \quad (3)$$

where α_s is the strong coupling constant, *s* is the *pp* center-of-mass energy, and \hat{s} , \hat{t} , \hat{u} are the usual Mandelstam variables for the partonic subprocess $ab \rightarrow cd$. Moreover, $f_{b/p}(x_b, k_{\perp b})$ is the unpolarized TMD distribution for parton *b*. Notice that in a leading-order approach the jet is identified with the final parton *c*. Finally, $H_{ab\rightarrow cd}^{\text{Inc}}$'s are the perturbatively calculable hard scattering functions, that can be found in Ref. [10] for the case when $a = q, \bar{q}$. The GPM expressions are obtained from Eq. (2) by simply replacing $H_{ab\rightarrow cd}^{\text{Inc}}$ with the standard unpolarized partonic cross sections, $H_{ab\rightarrow cd}^{U}$.

2.1 The reweighting procedure

In order to assess the impact of the new STAR data on the extraction of the Sivers function, we adopt a reweighting procedure. Such a technique has been already used in the context of usual collinear PDFs [15–18], but so far it has never been applied to a TMD density.

Sci Post

In brief, the reweighting procedure works as follows. Let us consider a model for a TMD depending on a set of parameters $a = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ with prior probability distribution $\pi(a)$. Defining the χ^2 for a specific set of data y as:

$$\chi^{2}[a, y] = \sum_{i,j=1}^{N_{dat}} (y_{i}[a] - y_{i}) C_{ij}^{-1} (y_{j}[a] - y_{j}), \qquad (4)$$

one finds the best fit a_0 , by usual χ^2 minimization, that renders a minimum value χ_0^2 . The uncertainty on the extracted TMD is then calculated by generating $k = 1, \dots, N_{\text{set}}$ Monte Carlo (MC) sets a_k^1 . Each of these sets have a corresponding $\chi_k^2[a_k, y]$ (calculable using Eq. (4)) within a certain tolerance: $\chi_k^2 \in [\chi_0^2 : \chi_0^2 + \Delta \chi^2]$. By using Bayes theorem, one calculates the posterior density given the data:

$$\mathcal{P}(\boldsymbol{a}|\boldsymbol{y}) = \frac{\mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{y}|\boldsymbol{a})\,\pi(\boldsymbol{a})}{Z}\,,\tag{5}$$

where $\mathcal{L}(y|a)$ is the likelihood and $Z \equiv \mathcal{P}(y)$ is the evidence. Following Refs. [15, 17, 18], we adopt an exponential form of the likelihood, with weights:

$$w_k(\chi_k^2) = \frac{\exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\chi_k^2[a_k, y]\right\}}{\sum_i w_i}$$
(6)

that can be used to calculate expectation values and variances of an observable $\mathcal{O}[\mathbf{a}_k]$ as $\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{O}] \simeq \sum_k w_k \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{a}_k)$, $\mathbb{V}[\mathcal{O}] \simeq \sum_k w_k (\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{a}_k) - \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{O}])^2$ respectively. Such quadratic forms render only symmetric uncertainties, and to properly take into account non Gaussian distributions, we extend this method calculating asymmetric uncertainties. In what follows, the median is used at central value, and the asymmetric errors are given at 2σ confidence level (CL).

New data y_{new} will change the weights $w_k \to w_k^{\text{new}} \left(\chi_k^2 + \chi_{\text{new},k}^2 \right)$ and so the posterior densities will vary, indicating the impact of such new data on the extracted TMD.

3 Results

We apply the Bayesian reweighting procedure of Section 2.1 to the following quark Sivers function parametrization, extracted in Ref. [20] from $N_{dat}^{SIDIS} = 220$ datapoints²:

$$\Delta^{N} f_{q/p^{\uparrow}}(x,k_{\perp}) = \frac{4M_{p}k_{\perp}}{\langle k_{\perp}^{2} \rangle_{S}} \Delta^{N} f_{q/p^{\uparrow}}^{(1)}(x) \frac{e^{-k_{\perp}^{2}/\langle k_{\perp}^{2} \rangle_{S}}}{\pi \langle k_{\perp}^{2} \rangle_{S}} \,. \tag{7}$$

Here, q = u, d, and $\Delta^N f_{q/p^{\uparrow}}^{(1)}(x)$ is the Sivers first k_{\perp} -moment:

$$\Delta^{N} f_{q/p^{\uparrow}}^{(1)}(x) = \int d^{2} \mathbf{k}_{\perp} \frac{k_{\perp}}{4M_{p}} \Delta^{N} f_{q/p^{\uparrow}}(x, k_{\perp}) \equiv -f_{1T}^{\perp(1)q}(x) = N_{q} (1-x)^{\beta_{q}}.$$
(8)

As new evidence, we consider the recent STAR data [14], that have a wide coverage in $x_F = 2P_L/\sqrt{s} \in [0.1:0.6]$. We stress that such a region is complementary to SIDIS measurements, and can give important information on the poorly constrained large-*x* behavior of the Sivers function. Notice also that, as these data are referred to electromagnetic jets, we select the

¹In Ref. [5], we generated $N_{\text{set}} = 2 \cdot 10^5$ MC sets adopting a Markov-Chain MC procedure with Metropolis-Hastings algorithm [19].

²The corresponding $\Delta \chi^2$ for N = 5 parameters at 2σ CL is $\Delta \chi^2 = 11.31$.

subset of data with photon multiplicity $n_{\gamma} > 2$, as it is not contaminated by single photon or π^0 production contributions.

In Fig. 1 we show the results of the reweighting procedure for the A_N predictions at STAR kinematics in the GPM (left, red) and the CGI-GPM (right, green) approaches. The grey hatched curves and bands are relative to the predictions based on SIDIS data only, while the solid colorful ones are the reweighted curves, dubbed as "SIDIS+jet". Although the predictions from SIDIS already describe the data within large uncertainties, the reweighted curves show a good improvement and reduced errors.



Figure 1: Results for the reweighting procedure from SIDIS and A_N jet data in the GPM (left) and CGI (right) formalisms, compared with STAR measurements [14] at $\sqrt{s} = 200 \text{ GeV}$ (upper panels) and $\sqrt{s} = 500 \text{ GeV}$ (lower panels). Uncertainty bands are at 2σ CL. The results before (hatched grey bands) and after (solid red/green bands) reweighting are shown.

To check the impact on the parameter and χ^2 distributions, we show in Fig. 2 the comparison between the priors from SIDIS and the posteriors after the reweighting. Some comments are in order: 1. the Gaussian width $\langle k_{\perp}^2 \rangle_S$ does not vary much; 2. the β_q parameters, governing the large-*x* behavior of the Sivers function, change, but in a different way when applying the GPM or CGI-GPM formalisms; 3. while the normalization for the *u*-quark Sivers function N_u changes slightly, N_d is smaller in size in the CGI-GPM, but $f_{1T}^{\perp,d}$ is less suppressed at large *x*; 4. the χ^2_{dof} after the reweighting for $N_{dat}^{SIDIS+jet} = 238$ slightly favors the GPM approach. For reference, we address the reader to Table I of Ref. [5].

Looking now at Fig. 3, one can see the impact of the reweighting procedure on the extracted functions. On the left panel, we compare the fitted first k_{\perp} -moments before and after the reweighting in the GPM and CGI-GPM approaches. The uncertainties are reduced in both cases, especially at large x. This appears more evident by looking at the right panel of Fig. 3, where the first moments, normalized to their central values, are plotted. It is then clear that these new STAR data allows to constrain the quark Sivers function at large values of x, a region left unconstrained by current SIDIS measurements.

4 Conclusion

We have presented the first application of the Bayesian reweighting method to a TMD density, the quark Sivers function extracted from SIDIS data. Such a procedure has also been extended to the case of asymmetric uncertainties. The new STAR data allows to improve and extend the knowledge on the Sivers function at large x. Our findings also point to a compatibility between



Figure 2: Parameters and χ^2_{dof} probability densities. Hatched histograms refer to the priors coming from SIDIS data only. Color code is the same as in Fig. 1.



Figure 3: Comparison between the Sivers first k_{\perp} -moments (left) and their values normalized to the corresponding central value (right) from SIDIS data and their reweighted SIDIS+jet counterparts in the GPM (left panels) and CGI-GPM (right panels) framework. In both plots, results for *u*- (upper panels) and *d*-quarks (lower panels) are shown. Bands correspond to a 2σ CL.

SIDIS and inclusive jet data.

A natural extension of this exploratory study will be a global analysis including also A_N data for inclusive pion production. This would allow for a simultaneous reweighting of the Sivers, transversity and Collins functions. We expect as well that forthcoming measurements at COMPASS [21], JLab [22] and the future Electron Ion Collider [23, 24] will play a crucial role in unraveling the nucleon structure in its full complexity.

Acknowledgements

We thank the STAR Collaboration for providing us with the experimental data [14]. We are grateful to Mauro Anselmino for his involvement in the early stages of this work. C.F. is thankful to the Physics Department of Cagliari University for the hospitality and support for his visit during which part of the project was done.

Funding information This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement STRONG-2020 - No 824093 (M.B, U.D., C.F., J.O.G.H., F.M.), by the French CNRS via the IN2P3 project GLUE@NLO and via the IEA GlueGraph (C.F.), by the P2IO Labex via the Gluodynamics project (C.F.), by the National Science Foundation under the Contract No. PHY-2012002 (A.P.), and by the US Department of Energy under contract No. DE-AC05-06OR23177 (A.P.) under which JSA, LLC operates Jefferson Lab, and within the framework of the TMD Topical Collaboration (A.P.).

References

- [1] D. Sivers, Single-spin production asymmetries from the hard scattering of pointlike constituents, Phys. Rev. D **41**, 83 (1990), doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.41.83.
- [2] D. Sivers, *Hard-scattering scaling laws for single-spin production asymmetries*, Phys. Rev. D **43**, 261 (1991), doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.43.261.
- [3] J. C. Collins, *Leading-twist single-transverse-spin asymmetries: Drell–Yan and deep-inelastic scattering*, Phys. Lett. B **536**, 43 (2002), doi:10.1016/S0370-2693(02)01819-1.
- [4] S. J. Brodsky, D. Sung Hwang and I. Schmidt, *Initial-state interactions and single-spin asymmetries in Drell–Yan processes*, Nucl. Phys. B 642, 344 (2002), doi:10.1016/S0550-3213(02)00617-X.
- [5] M. Boglione, U. D'Alesio, C. Flore, J. O. Gonzalez-Hernandez, F. Murgia and A. Prokudin, *Reweighting the Sivers function with jet data from STAR*, Phys. Lett. B 815, 136135 (2021), doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2021.136135.
- [6] L. Gamberg, Z.-B. Kang and A. Prokudin, *Indication on the Process Dependence of the Sivers Effect*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 232301 (2013), doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.232301.
- [7] U. D'Alesio and F. Murgia, Parton intrinsic motion in inclusive particle production: unpolarized cross sections, single spin asymmetries, and the Sivers effect, Phys. Rev. D 70, 074009 (2004), doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.70.074009.
- [8] M. Anselmino, M. Boglione, U. D'Alesio, E. Leader, S. Melis and F. Murgia, General partonic structure for hadronic spin asymmetries, Phys. Rev. D 73, 014020 (2006), doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.73.014020.
- [9] U. D'Alesio and F. Murgia, *Azimuthal and single spin asymmetries in hard scattering processes*, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **61**, 394 (2008), doi:10.1016/j.ppnp.2008.01.001.
- [10] L. Gamberg and Z.-B. Kang, Process dependent Sivers function and implication for single spin asymmetry in inclusive hadron production, Phys. Lett. B 696, 109 (2011), doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2010.11.066.

- [11] U. D'Alesio, L. Gamberg, Z.-B. Kang, F. Murgia and C. Pisano, *Testing the process dependence of the Sivers function via hadron distributions inside a jet*, Phys. Lett. B **704**, 637 (2011), doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2011.09.067.
- [12] U. D'Alesio, F. Murgia, C. Pisano and P. Taels, Probing the gluon Sivers function in $p^{\uparrow}p \rightarrow J/\psi X$ and $p^{\uparrow}p \rightarrow DX$, Phys. Rev. D **96**, 036011 (2017), doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.96.036011.
- [13] U. D'Alesio, C. Flore, F. Murgia, C. Pisano and P. Taels, Unraveling the gluon Sivers function in hadronic collisions at RHIC, Phys. Rev. D 99, 036013 (2019), doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.99.036013.
- [14] J. Adam et al., Measurement of transverse single-spin asymmetries of π^0 and electromagnetic jets at forward rapidity in 200 and 500 GeV transversely polarized proton-proton collisions, Phys. Rev. D **103**, 092009 (2021), doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.103.092009.
- [15] W. T. Giele and S. Keller, Implications of hadron collider observables on parton distribution function uncertainties, Phys. Rev. D 58, 094023 (1998), doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.58.094023.
- [16] R. D. Ball, V. Bertone, F. Cerutti, L. Del Debbio, S. Forte, A. Guffanti, J. I. Latorre, J. Rojo and M. Ubiali, *Reweighting NNPDFs: The W lepton asymmetry*, Nucl. Phys. B 849, 112 (2011), doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2011.03.017.
- [17] N. Sato, J. F. Owens and H. B. Prosper, *Bayesian reweighting for global fits*, Phys. Rev. D 89, 114020 (2014), doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.89.114020.
- [18] U. D'Alesio, C. Flore and A. Prokudin, Role of the Soffer bound in determination of transversity and the tensor charge, Phys. Lett. B 803, 135347 (2020), doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2020.135347.
- [19] S. Chib and E. Greenberg, *Understanding the Metropolis-Hastings Algorithm*, The American Statistician **49**, 327 (1995), doi:10.2307/2684568.
- [20] M. Boglione, U. D'Alesio, C. Flore and J. O. Gonzalez-Hernandez, Assessing signals of TMD physics in SIDIS azimuthal asymmetries and in the extraction of the Sivers function, J. High Energy Phys. 07, 148 (2018), doi:10.1007/JHEP07(2018)148.
- [21] F. Bradamante, *The future SIDIS measurement on transversely polarized deuterons by the COMPASS Collaboration*, Proc. Sci. **346**, 045 (2019), doi:10.22323/1.346.0045.
- [22] J. Dudek et al., *Physics opportunities with the 12 GeV upgrade at Jefferson Lab*, Eur. Phys. J. A 48, 187 (2012), doi:10.1140/epja/i2012-12187-1.
- [23] D. Boer et al., Gluons and the quark sea at high energies: distributions, polarization, tomography, arXiv:1108.1713.
- [24] A. Accardi et al., Electron-Ion Collider: The next QCD frontier, Eur. Phys. J. A 52, 268 (2016), doi:10.1140/epja/i2016-16268-9.