

New 2– and 3–loop heavy flavor corrections to unpolarized and polarized deep-inelastic scattering¹

Jakob Ablinger¹, Johannes Blümlein^{2*}, Abilio De Freitas², Marco Saragnese², Carsten Schneider¹ and Kay Schönwald³

1 Johannes Kepler University Linz, Research Institute for Symbolic Computation (RISC), Altenberger Straße 69, A-4040, Linz, Austria 2 Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron DESY, Platanenallee 6, D-15738 Zeuthen, Germany 3 Institut für Theoretische Teilchenphysik Campus Süd, Karlsruher Institut für Technologie (KIT), D-76128 Karlsruhe, Germany

★ Johannes.Bluemlein@desy.de



Proceedings for the XXVIII International Workshop on Deep-Inelastic Scattering and Related Subjects, Stony Brook University, New York, USA, 12-16 April 2021 doi:10.21468/SciPostPhysProc.8

Abstract

A survey is given on the new 2- and 3-loop results for the heavy flavor contributions to deep-inelastic scattering in the unpolarized and the polarized case. We also discuss related new mathematical aspects applied in these calculations.

© Ocopyright J. Ablinger et al. This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. Published by the SciPost Foundation.

Received 20-07-2021 Accepted 25-03-2022 Published 14-07-2022 doi:10.21468/SciPostPhysProc.8.137



Introduction 1

The scaling violations of deep-inelastic structure functions provide a precise way to measure the strong coupling constant $\alpha_s(M_Z)$ [1–3]. This requires to calculate their Q^2 dependence both due to the massless and massive contributions at highest precision. Moreover, the structure functions also allow the precise measurement of the charm quark mass, m_c , [4]. At future facilities, like the EIC [5] or the LHeC [6, 7], operating at high luminosity, unpolarized and polarized structure functions can be measured at high precision to supplement and extend the present deep-inelastic world data. The massless QCD corrections are available to 3-loop order [8-16]. In the case of massive corrections, analytic results at the 3-loop level can currently only be obtained in the asymptotic approximation, $Q^2 \gg m_q^2$, with Q^2 the virtuality of the process and m_q the heavy quark mass, cf. [17], which, however, are accurate to ~ 1% for the structure function $F_2(x, Q^2)$ in the heavy quark region of smaller values of x for $Q^2/m_q^2 \gtrsim 10$

¹Also contribution to the Proceedings of RADCOR 2021, Tallahassee, FL, May 2021.

already. This region should be chosen also to avoid higher twist effects, requiring at least the cuts $W^2 > 15 \text{ GeV}^2$, $Q^2 > 10 \text{ GeV}^2$, [18].

After having obtained a series of Mellin moments for massive 3–loop operator matrix elements (OMEs) in 2009 [19, 20] the systematic calculation of the different OMEs contributing in the unpolarized and polarized case for the massive Wilson coefficients and the OMEs contributing to the matching conditions in the variable flavor number scheme (VFNS) has been started for the single mass [10, 21–34] and the 2–mass contributions [35–38].

At 2–loop order the unpolarized and polarized non–singlet and pure singlet contributions have been calculated for the full kinematic region and analytic results have been derived for the power corrections in Refs. [17, 39–42] to the structure functions F_2 , F_L and g_1 .

The proceedings has the following structure. In Section 2 we describe the status of the calculation of the massive 3–loop OMEs and discuss the calculation methods used in Section 3. Recent results in the single mass and 2–mass cases are reported in Sections 4 and 5. Section 6 contains the conclusions.

2 Status of the massive OME calculations

In the leading twist approximation deep-inelastic structure functions have the representation

$$F_{2,L}(x,Q^2) = \sum_{i,q} \mathbb{C}_{2,L}^{(i)}\left(x, \frac{Q^2}{\mu^2}, \frac{m_q^2}{\mu^2}\right) \otimes f_{(i)}(x,\mu^2), \tag{1}$$

with \otimes the Mellin convolution. A corresponding relation holds for the polarized structure function $g_1(x, Q^2)$. Here $\mathbb{C}^{(i)}$ denotes the Wilson coefficient related to the parton density $f_{(i)}$, with μ the factorization scale and m_q the heavy quark masses, $m_q = m_c, m_b, x$ denotes the Bjorken variable. The Wilson coefficients can be decomposed into the massless, C, and massive contributions, H,

$$\mathbb{C}_{2,L}^{(i)}\left(x,\frac{Q^2}{\mu^2},\frac{m_q^2}{\mu^2}\right) = C_{2,L}^{(i)}\left(x,\frac{Q^2}{\mu^2}\right) + H_{2,L}^{(i)}\left(x,\frac{Q^2}{\mu^2},\frac{m_q^2}{\mu^2}\right).$$
(2)

At large scales Q^2 the heavy flavor Wilson coefficients have the representation [17]

$$H_{2,L}^{(i)}\left(x, \frac{Q^2}{\mu^2}, \frac{m_q^2}{\mu^2}\right) = \sum_{j,q} C_{(j),2,L}\left(x, \frac{Q^2}{\mu^2}\right) \otimes A^{ij}\left(x, \frac{m_q^2}{\mu^2}\right).$$
(3)

The leading order (LO) heavy flavor corrections were calculated for neutral and charged current interactions in [43–52] and numerically at next-to-leading order (NLO) in [53–57]. Analytic results at NLO have been obtained in complete form for the non–singlet and pure singlet corrections in [17, 39–41] and in the asymptotic case $Q^2 \gg m_q^2$ in Refs. [17, 27, 39, 58–63].

At 3-loop order only results in the asymptotic case have been calculated at present. In the single mass case the unpolarized OMEs for all OMEs $\propto N_F$ and the complete results for $A_{qq,Q}^{NS}$, $A_{qg,Q}$, $A_{qq,Q}^{PS}$, $A_{gq,Q}^{PS}$, $A_{gq,Q}^{PS}$, $A_{gq,Q}^{PS}$, $A_{gq,Q}^{PS}$, $A_{gq,Q}^{PS}$, $A_{gq,Q}^{PS}$, have been calculated in Refs. [10, 21, 22, 25, 64] and those $\propto T_F^2$ for $A_{gg,Q}$ in [23]. All logarithmic corrections were computed in [26]. For the pure N_F contributions and both in the non–singlet case and for $A_{gq,Q}$ the OMEs can be expressed by harmonic sums [65, 66] or harmonic polylogarithms [67] only. In the pure singlet case also generalized harmonic sums [68, 69] contribute. In *z* space harmonic polylogarithms of argument *z* do not

²In the non-singlet case we have also calculated the OMEs for transversity.

span the result, unless one allows also for the argument 1-2z. For the T_F^2 terms of $A_{gg,Q}$ also nested finite binomial sums contribute, leading to root–valued iterated integrals [70]. Rather involved binomial structures also occur in the case of A_{Qg} [71]. The OME A_{Qg} also contains iterative non–iterative integrals [72], containing complete elliptic integrals. The first order factorizing contributions to A_{Qg} have been calculated in [31]. Phenomenological predictions in the non–singlet case have been given for the the structure functions xF_3 and $F_L^{W^+-W^-}(x,Q^2)$ and $F_2^{W^+-W^-}(x,Q^2)$ in [28,30].

and $F_2^{W^+-W^-}(x,Q^2)$ in [28,30]. In the polarized case single mass contributions have been computed for the OMEs $A_{qq,Q}^{NS}$, $A_{qg,Q}$, $A_{qg,Q}^{PS}$, A_{Qq}^{PS} and $A_{gq,Q}$ in Refs. [22, 32–34] and for all logarithmic contributions in [33]. Here the same mathematical structures as in the unpolarized case contribute. Phenomenological predictions in the non–singlet case for $g_1(x,Q^2)$ were given in [29].

The 2–mass corrections contributing from 3–loop order onward were calculated in the unpolarized case for $A_{qq,Q}^{NS}$, $A_{qg,Q}$ in [35], $A_{gg,Q}$ [36] and A_{Qq}^{PS} [35] and analogously in the polarized case [34,37,38,38]. The analytic results in *z* space can be expressed by iterative integrals over root–valued letters, also parameterized by the mass ratio m_c^2/m_b^2 .

Because of the missing hierarchy between m_c^2 and m_b^2 , one has to decouple both heavy quark effects together in a variable flavor number scheme [59], generalizing the single-mass variable flavor number scheme [35, 73].

Further calculations concern the missing terms for the OMEs $A_{gg,Q}$ in the single mass case and for A_{Qg} in the single and 2–mass case. For A_{Qg} there is a first phenomenological representation in [74] based on only five Mellin moments calculated by us in Ref. [19, 20].

3 Calculation of the 3–loop OMEs

A survey on the calculation methods for the 3–loop massive OMEs has been given in Ref. [75]. Massive OMEs contain, beyond the usual Feynman rules, those for the twist–2 local operators, cf. [19,20]. To apply integration-by-parts (IBP) techniques [76,77] one needs to resum these operators into propagators [78]. In the topological simple cases we perform the calculation of the 3–loop integrals directly, using hypergeometric techniques [79–86]. More complex integrals are calculated using the method of first order factorizing differential and difference equations [71, 87]. From the IBP reductions one obtains systems of differential equations which can be mapped into systems of difference equations, allowing to calculate a large number of Mellin moments for the master integrals and the OMEs by using the method of guessing [89–91] allows to find the difference equations for the respective color and zeta factors

of the different OMEs. The number of these moments in the case of $A_{Qg}^{(3)}$ is very large. Already for the T_F^2 terms one needs ~ 7500 moments [31].

If the difference equations obtained factorize to first order, the difference ring techniques [92–104] implemented in the package Sigma [105, 106] are sufficient to find the final solution in Mellin N space. The z space solutions can be obtained by the techniques implemented in the package HarmonicSums [65–67, 69, 70, 107–117] in terms of iterated integrals over certain alphabets, which are found algorithmically. All massive 3–loop OMEs except those in the single and 2–mass case of $A_{Qg}^{(3)}$ belong to this class and have been solved by now. In the following we describe some recent results in calculating single and 2–mass contri-

In the following we describe some recent results in calculating single and 2–mass contributions at the 2– and 3–loop level.

Sci Post



Figure 1: The ratios of the power expanded structure function to the complete structure function, $R_{2,q}^{(1)}$ (left) and $R_{L,q}^{(1)}$ (right), as a function of $\chi = 1/\kappa = Q^2/m_q^2$ for different values of z gradually improved with κ suppressed terms. Dotted lines: asymptotic result; dashed lines: $O(m_q^2/Q^2)$ improved; solid lines : $O((m_q^2/Q^2)^2)$ improved. From Ref. [41].

4 Single mass contributions

We consider first the successive inclusion of power corrections in m_q^2/Q^2 to the asymptotic result for the heavy flavor contributions to the structure functions $F_2(x, Q^2)$ and $F_L(a, Q^2)$ at NLO. The power corrections are of clear importance for F_L , since the pure asymptotic terms describe the heavy flavor corrections for $Q^2/m_q^2 \gtrsim 800$ only. Also in the case of F_2 for larger values of z an improved description is obtained. The results for the pure–singlet contribution to the polarized structure function $g_1(x, Q^2)$ [42] are similar. The inclusion of the power corrections in the pure–singlet case in the unexpanded expressions leads to new iterative integrals over a corresponding alphabet, cf. [41, 42]. Expanding in the ratio m_q^2/Q^2 leads to harmonic polylogarithms again. The situation is simpler in the non–singlet case, where classical polylogarithms with more complicated arguments suffice in the general case, cf. e.g. [40]. Note that the corresponding Wilson coefficients are not the ones given in [17], but need an extension.

The most important calculation for the future consist in the analytic computation of the OME $A_{Qg}^{(3)}$. The terms $\propto N_F$ were calculated in [21]. Based on 1000 Mellin moments all contributions, but the pure rational and ζ_3 terms were calculated, since these can be obtained from difference equations which are factorizing at first order, which is not the case for the former terms, [31]. Based on 8000 moments we obtained the difference equations for all missing terms $\propto T_F^2$. In the T_F -case one will need more moments to find the corresponding difference equations. In the T_F^2 case the difference equations have the following characteristics for degree d and order o:

$T_F^2 C_A$:	(d; o) = (1407; 46)
$T_F^2 C_A \zeta_3$:	(d; o) = (323; 24)
$T_F^2 C_F$:	(d; o) = (654; 27)
$T_F^2 C_F \zeta_3$:	(d; o) = (283; 14).

The first difference equation is more voluminous than the largest occurring in guessing the largest contribution to the 3–loop massless Wilson coefficient in Ref. [91]. For the 3–loop massive form factor, [118], one difference equation of (d, o) = (1324; 55) has been obtained.

The separate analysis of the difference equations for the rational and $\zeta_3 T_F^2$ cases showed that the associated differential equations develop exponential singularities in the region $z \in$ [0, 1], although the complete solution is regular. Indeed, the differential equation for the purely rational term develops a ζ_3 factor asymptotically such that both the singularities cancel. This requires to deal with both difference equations at once, despite the fact, that one can establish them only separately.

Starting from the difference equations in Mellin *N* space one may find Laurent series solutions of the associated differential equations around $z_0 = 1$ up to a finite upper power in *z*. This expansion is possible also around any other regular point $z_0 \in [0, 1]$, [119]. All these expansions have a finite convergence radius and several expansions are necessary to map out the interval $z \in [0, 1]$ in terms of overlapping expansions. In this way one obtains an approximate analytic solution, which may be tuned to any accuracy. Given the fact that also all the other special functions need numerical representations, this approach has the advantage of already obtaining the numerical representation. The generation of a high number of moments for the T_F terms is underway.

In Figure 2 we summarize the different contributions to the currently known charm quark QCD corrections up to 3–loop order to the structure function F_2 at the scale $Q^2 = 100 \text{ GeV}^2$.

5 2–mass contributions

Irreducible 2–mass contributions emerge first at 3–loop order and lead to a change of the variable flavor number scheme [35]. Reducible contributions imply 2–mass contributions already at NLO [73]. In Figure 3 we illustrate the 2–mass effects on the singlet and bottom quark contribution. In the singlet case the effect amounts to 1% and in the case of the *b*-quark distribution of 4–5%.

We have also calculated the $O(\alpha_s^3)$ heavy flavor corrections normalized to the next-tonext-to-next-to leading order (N³LO) flavor non–singlet structure functions $F_2^{NS}(x,Q^2)$ and $g_1^{NS}(x,Q^2)$ in the case of scheme–invariant evolution [122]. Here one considers the evolution

$$F_2^{\rm NS}(x,Q^2) = E_{\rm NS}(x,Q^2,Q_0^2) \otimes F_2^{\rm NS}(x,Q_0^2)$$
(4)



Figure 2: The different single mass heavy flavor contributions to the structure function F_2 at $Q^2 = 100 \text{GeV}^2$. From [120].



Figure 3: The ratio of the 2-mass contributions to the singlet parton distribution $\Sigma(x,Q^2)$ in the on-shell scheme. Dash-dotted line: $Q^2 = 30 \text{ GeV}^2$; Dotted line: $Q^2 = 30 \text{ GeV}^2$; Dashed line: $Q^2 = 100 \text{ GeV}^2$; Dash-dotted line: $Q^2 = 1000 \text{ GeV}^2$; Full line: $Q^2 = 10000 \text{ GeV}^2$. For the PDFs the next-to-next-to leading order (NNLO) variant of ABMP16 with $N_f = 3$ flavors was used [121]. Form [73].

from a starting scale Q_0^2 to Q^2 , where $E_{\rm NS}(x,Q^2,Q_0^2)$ denotes a scheme–invariant evolution operator and the input distribution function $F_2^{\rm NS}(x,Q_0^2)$ is measured experimentally. The evolution operator also depends on the masses m_c and m_b . The results for the starting scale $Q_0^2 = 10 \text{ GeV}^2$ are shown in Figure 4.

These corrections amount to O(1%) and are important in future factorization–scheme invariant measurements from the scaling violations of these structure functions at high luminosity facilities like the EIC or the LHeC.

6 Conclusions

Most of the massive 3–loop OMEs have been calculated in the single and 2–mass case. They contribute to the (2–mass) variable flavor number scheme and the heavy flavor Wilson coefficients in unpolarized and polarized deep–inelastic scattering in the asymptotic region $Q^2 \gg m_a^2$.



Figure 4: Left: The relative contribution of the heavy flavor contributions due to c and b quarks to the structure function F_2^{NS} at N³LO; dashed lines: 100 GeV²; dashed-dotted lines: 1000 GeV²; dotted lines: 10000 GeV². Right: The same for the structure function xg_1^{NS} at N³LO. From [122].

All quantities which can be described by first order factorizing difference equations have been computed. For the remaining OMEs the determination of their difference equations is underway. A method has recently been developed that can solve these equations as well. In all the computations extensive use has been made of the methods of arbitrary high Mellin moments, the method of guessing, and of difference ring theory to solve the respective physical problems. In course of these computations a series of different function spaces has been found to perform different intermediary steps of the calculation and to find a minimal analytic representation of the final results.

At 2–loop order analytic results have been derived for the non–singlet and pure–singlet Wilson coefficients in the whole kinematic region. The 2–mass corrections are quantitatively as important as the $O(T_F^2)$ contributions in the single mass case. The $O(T_F)$ contributions to the 3-loop anomalous dimensions have been calculated as by-product of the calculation of the massive OMEs and they agree with the results of previous calculations. The calculation methods have also being applied to higher order massive QED corrections for the initial state radiation to observables in e^+e^- annihilation [123].

Acknowledgments

This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska–Curie grant agreement No. 764850, SAGEX and from the Austrian Science Fund (FWF) grant SFB F50 (F5009-N15).

References

- [1] S. Bethke et al., Workshop on Precision Measurements of α_s , arXiv:1110.0016.
- [2] S. Alekhin, J. Blümlein and S.-O. Moch, α_s from global fits of parton distribution functions, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **31**, 1630023 (2016), doi:10.1142/S0217732316300238.
- [3] S. Moch et al., *High precision fundamental constants at the TeV scale*, arXiv:1405.4781.

- [4] S. Alekhin, J. Blümlein, K. Daum, K. Lipka and S. Moch, *Precise charm-quark mass from deep-inelastic scattering*, Phys. Lett. B **720**, 172 (2013), doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2013.02.010.
- [5] D. Boer et al., *Gluons and the quark sea at high energies: distributions, polarization, tomography*, arXiv:1108.1713.
- [6] P. Agostini et al., The Large Hadron–Electron Collider at the HL-LHC, J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys. 48, 110501 (2021), doi:10.1088/1361-6471/abf3ba.
- [7] J. L. Abelleira Fernandez et al., A Large Hadron Electron Collider at CERN Report on the Physics and Design Concepts for Machine and Detector, J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys. 39, 075001 (2012), doi:10.1088/0954-3899/39/7/075001.
- [8] S. Moch, J. A. M. Vermaseren and A. Vogt, *The three-loop splitting functions in QCD: the non-singlet case*, Nucl. Phys. B 688, 101 (2004), doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2004.03.030.
- [9] A. Vogt, S. Moch and J. A. M. Vermaseren, The three-loop splitting functions in QCD: the singlet case, Nucl. Phys. B 691, 129 (2004), doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2004.04.024.
- [10] J. Ablinger, A. Behring, J. Blümlein, A. De Freitas, A. von Manteuffel and C. Schneider, *The 3-loop pure singlet heavy flavor contributions to the structure function* $F_2(x,Q^2)$ *and the anomalous dimension*, Nucl. Phys. B **890**, 48 (2015), doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2014.10.008.
- [11] S. Moch, J. A. M. Vermaseren and A. Vogt, The three-loop splitting functions in QCD: The helicity-dependent case, Nucl. Phys. B 889, 351 (2014), doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2014.10.016.
- [12] S. Moch, J. A. M. Vermaseren and A. Vogt, On γ5 in higher-order QCD calculations and the NNLO evolution of the polarized valence distribution, Phys. Lett. B 748, 432 (2015), doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2015.07.027.
- [13] J. Ablinger, A. Behring, J. Blümlein, A. De Freitas, A. von Manteuffel and C. Schneider, *The three-loop splitting functions* $P_{qg}^{(2)}$ and $P_{gg}^{(2,N_F)}$, Nucl. Phys. B **922**, 1 (2017), doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2017.06.004.
- [14] A. Behring, J. Blümlein, A. De Freitas, A. Goedicke, S. Klein, A. von Manteuffel, C. Schneider and K. Schönwald, *The polarized three-loop anomalous dimensions* from on-shell massive operator matrix elements, Nucl. Phys. B 948, 114753 (2019), doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2019.114753.
- [15] J. Blümlein, P. Marquard, C. Schneider and K. Schönwald, The three-loop unpolarized and polarized non-singlet anomalous dimensions from off shell operator matrix elements, Nucl. Phys. B 971, 115542 (2021), doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2021.115542.
- [16] J. A. M. Vermaseren, A. Vogt and S. Moch, The third-order QCD corrections to deep-inelastic scattering by photon exchange, Nucl. Phys. B 724, 3 (2005), doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2005.06.020.
- [17] M. Buza, Y. Matiounine, J. Smith, R. Migneron and W. L. van Neerven, *Heavy quark* coefficient functions at asymptotic values $Q \ 2 \gg m \ 2$, Nucl. Phys. B **472**, 611 (1996), doi:10.1016/0550-3213(96)00228-3.

- [18] S. Alekhin, J. Blümlein and S. Moch, Parton distribution functions and benchmark cross sections at next-to-next-to-leading order, Phys. Rev. D 86, 054009 (2012), doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.86.054009.
- [19] I. Bierenbaum, J. Blümlein and S. Klein, *Mellin moments of the heavy flavor contributions to unpolarized deep-inelastic scattering at and anomalous dimensions*, Nucl. Phys. B 820, 417 (2009), doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2009.06.005.
- [20] J. Blümlein, S. Klein and B. Tödtli, $O(\alpha_s^2)$ and $O(\alpha_s^3)$ heavy flavor contributions to transversity at $Q \ 2 \gg m \ 2$, Phys. Rev. D **80**, 094010 (2009), doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.80.094010.
- [21] J. Ablinger, J. Blümlein, S. Klein, C. Schneider and F. Wißbrock, *The* $O(\alpha_s^3)$ *Massive Operator Matrix Elements of* $O(n_f)$ *for the Structure Function* $F_2(x, Q^2)$ *and Transversity*, Nucl. Phys. B **844**, 26 (2011), doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2010.10.021.
- [22] J. Ablinger, A. Behring, J. Blümlein, A. De Freitas, A. Hasselhuhn, A. von Manteuffel, M. Round, C. Schneider and F. Wißbrock, *The 3-Loop Non-Singlet Heavy Flavor Contributions and Anomalous Dimensions for the Structure Function* $F_2(x, Q^2)$ and *Transversity*, Nucl. Phys. B **886**, 733 (2014), doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2014.07.010.
- [23] J. Ablinger, J. Blümlein, A. De Freitas, A. Hasselhuhn, A. von Manteuffel, M. Round and C. Schneider, *The O*($\alpha_s^3 T_F^2$) *Contributions to the Gluonic Operator Matrix Element*, Nucl. Phys. B **885**, 280 (2014), doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2014.05.028.
- [24] J. Ablinger et al., DESY 15–112.
- [25] J. Ablinger, J. Blümlein, A. De Freitas, A. Hasselhuhn, A. von Manteuffel, M. Round, C. Schneider and F. Wißbrock, *The Transition Matrix Element* $A_{gq}(N)$ of the Variable Flavor Number Scheme at $O(\alpha_s^3)$, Nucl. Phys. B **882**, 263 (2014), doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2014.02.007.
- [26] A. Behring, I. Bierenbaum, J. Blümlein, A. De Freitas, S. Klein and F. Wißbrock, *The* logarithmic contributions to the $O(\alpha_s^3)$ asymptotic massive Wilson coefficients and operator matrix elements in deeply inelastic scattering, Eur. Phys. J. C **74**, 3033 (2014), doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-014-3033-x.
- [27] J. Blümlein, A. Hasselhuhn and T. Pfoh, The $O(\alpha_s^2)$ heavy quark corrections to charged current deep-inelastic scattering at large virtualities, Nucl. Phys. B **881**, 1 (2014), doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2014.01.023.
- [28] A. Behring, J. Blümlein, A. De Freitas, A. Hasselhuhn, A. von Manteuffel and C. Schneider, $O(\alpha_s^3)$ heavy flavor contributions to the charged current structure function $xF_3(x,Q^2)$ at large momentum transfer, Phys. Rev. D **92**, 114005 (2015), doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.92.114005.
- [29] A. Behring, J. Blümlein, A. De Freitas, A. von Manteuffel and C. Schneider, *The 3-Loop Non-Singlet Heavy Flavor Contributions to the Structure Function* $g_1(x,Q^2)$ *at Large Momentum Transfer*, Nucl. Phys. B **897**, 612 (2015), doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2015.06.007.
- [30] A. Behring, J. Blümlein, G. Falcioni, A. De Freitas, A. von Manteuffel and C. Schneider, Asymptotic 3-loop heavy flavor corrections to the charged current structure functions $F_L^{W^+-W^-}(x,Q^2)$ and $F_2^{W^+-W^-}(x,Q^2)$, Phys. Rev. D **94**, 114006 (2016), doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.94.114006.

- [31] J. Bluemlein, J. Ablinger, A. Behring, A. De Freitas, A. von Manteuffel and C. Schneider, *Heavy Flavor Wilson Coefficients in Deep-Inelastic Scattering: Recent Results*, Proc. Sci. 308, 031 (2018), doi:10.22323/1.308.0031.
- [32] J. Ablinger, A. Behring, J. Blümlein, A. De Freitas, A. von Manteuffel, C. Schneider and K. Schönwald, *The three-loop single mass polarized pure singlet operator matrix element*, Nucl. Phys. B **953**, 114945 (2020), doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2020.114945.
- [33] J. Blümlein, A. De Freitas, M. Saragnese, C. Schneider and K. Schönwald, Logarithmic contributions to the polarized $O(\alpha_s^3)$ asymptotic massive Wilson coefficients and operator matrix elements in deeply inelastic scattering, Phys. Rev. D **104**, 034030 (2021), doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.104.034030.
- [34] A. Behring, J. Blümlein, A. De Freitas, A. von Manteuffel, K. Schönwald and C. Schneider, *The polarized transition matrix element* $A_{gq}(N)$ *of the variable flavor number scheme at* $O(\alpha_s^3)$, Nucl. Phys. B **964**, 115331 (2021), doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2021.115331.
- [35] J. Ablinger, J. Blümlein, A. De Freitas, C. Schneider and K. Schönwald, *The two-mass contribution to the three-loop pure singlet operator matrix element*, Nucl. Phys. B 927, 339 (2018), doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2017.12.018.
- [36] J. Ablinger, J. Blümlein, A. De Freitas, A. Goedicke, C. Schneider and K. Schönwald, *The Two-mass Contribution to the Three-Loop Gluonic Operator Matrix Element A*⁽³⁾_{gg,Q}, Nucl. Phys. B **932**, 129 (2018), doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2018.04.023.
- [37] J. Ablinger, J. Blümlein, A. De Freitas, A. Goedicke, M. Saragnese, C. Schneider and K. Schönwald, *The two-mass contribution to the three-loop polarized gluonic operator matrix element* A⁽³⁾_{gg,Q}, Nucl. Phys. B **955**, 115059 (2020), doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2020.115059.
- [38] J. Ablinger, J. Blümlein, A. De Freitas, M. Saragnese, C. Schneider and K. Schönwald, *The three-loop polarized pure singlet operator matrix element with two different masses*, Nucl. Phys. B **952**, 114916 (2020), doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2020.114916.
- [39] M. Buza, Y. Matiounine, J. Smith and W. L. van Neerven, $O(\alpha_s^2)$ corrections to polarized heavy flavour production at $Q_2 \gg m_2$, Nucl. Phys. B **485**, 420 (1997), doi:10.1016/S0550-3213(96)00606-2.
- [40] J. Blümlein, G. Falcioni and A. De Freitas, The Complete $O(\alpha_s^2)$ Non-Singlet Heavy Flavor Corrections to the Structure Functions $g_{1,2}^{ep}(x,Q^2)$, $F_{1,2,L}^{ep}(x,Q^2)$, $F_{1,2,3}^{\nu(\bar{\nu})}(x,Q^2)$ and the Associated Sum Rules, Nucl. Phys. B **910**, 568 (2016), doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2016.06.018.
- [41] J. Blümlein, A. De Freitas, C. G. Raab and K. Schönwald, *The unpolarized two-loop massive pure singlet Wilson coefficients for deep-inelastic scattering*, Nucl. Phys. B 945, 114659 (2019), doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2019.114659.
- [42] J. Blümlein, C. Raab and K. Schönwald, The polarized two-loop massive pure singlet Wilson coefficient for deep-inelastic scattering, Nucl. Phys. B 948, 114736 (2019), doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2019.114736.
- [43] E. Witten, Heavy quark contributions to deep inelastic scattering, Nucl. Phys. B 104, 445 (1976), doi:10.1016/0550-3213(76)90111-5.

- [44] J. Babcock, D.W. Sivers, and S. Wolfram, Quantum-chromodynamic estimates for heavyparticle production, Phys. Rev. D 18, 162 (1978), doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.18.162.
- [45] M.A. Shifman, A. I. Vainshtein, and V. I. Zakharov, *Remarks on charm electroproduc*tion in quantum chromodynamics, Nucl. Phys. B 136, 157 (1978), doi:10.1016/0550-3213(78)90020-2.
- [46] J.P. Leveille and T.J. Weiler, *Characteristics of heavy quark leptoproduction in QCD*, Nucl. Phys. B 147, 147 (1979), doi:10.1016/0550-3213(79)90420-6.
- [47] M. Glück and E. Reya, Deep inelastic quantum chromodynamic charm leptoproduction, Phys. Lett. B 83, 98 (1979), doi:10.1016/0370-2693(79)90898-0.
- [48] M. Glück, E. Hoffmann, and E. Reya, Scaling violations and the gluon distribution of the nucleon, Z. Phys. C 13, 119 (1982), doi:10.1007/BF01547675.
- [49] M. Glück, S. Kretzer and E. Reya, The strange sea density and charm production in deep inelastic charged current processes, Phys. Lett. B 380, 171 (1996), doi:10.1016/0370-2693(96)00456-X.
- [50] J. Blümlein, A. Hasselhuhn, P. Kovacikova and S. Moch, O(α_s) Heavy Flavor Corrections to Charged Current Deep-Inelastic Scattering in Mellin Space, Phys. Lett. B 700, 294 (2011), doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2011.05.007.
- [51] A. D. Watson, Spin-spin asymmetries in inclusive muon proton charm production, Z. Phys. C 12, 123 (1982), doi:10.1007/BF01548608.
- [52] W. Vogelsang, *The gluonic contribution to* $g_1 p(x,Q^2)$ *in the parton model*, Z. Phys. C **50**, 275 (1991), doi:10.1007/BF01474080.
- [53] E. Laenen, S. Riemersma, J. Smith, W. L. van Neerven, Complete O (α_s) corrections to heavy flavor structure functions in electroproduction, Nucl. Phys. B **392**, 162 (1993), doi:10.1016/0550-3213(93)90201-Y.
- [54] E. Laenen, S. Riemersma, J. Smith et al., O(α_s) corrections to heavy flavor inclusive distributions in electroproduction, Nucl. Phys. B 392, 229 (1993), doi:10.1016/0550-3213(93)90202-Z.
- [55] S. Riemersma, J. Smith, W. L. van Neerven, Rates for inclusive deep inelastic electroproduction of charm quarks at HERA, Phys. Lett. B 347, 143 (1995), doi:10.1016/0370-2693(95)00036-K.
- [56] S. I. Alekhin and J. Blümlein, Mellin representation for the heavy flavor contributions to deep inelastic structure functions, Phys. Lett. B 594, 299 (2004), doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2004.05.042.
- [57] F. Hekhorn and M. Stratmann, Next-to-leading order QCD corrections to inclusive heavyflavor production in polarized deep-inelastic scattering, Phys. Rev. D 98, 014018 (2018), doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.98.014018.
- [58] M. Buza and W. L. Van Neerven, $O(\alpha_s^2)$ contributions to charm production in charged-current deep-inelastic lepton-hadron scattering, Nucl. Phys. B **500**, 301 (1997), doi:10.1016/S0550-3213(97)00327-1.
- [59] M. Buza, Y. Matiounine, J. Smith and W. L. van Neerven, *Charm electroproduction viewed* in the variable flavor number scheme versus fixed order perturbation theory, Eur. Phys. J. C 1, 301 (1998), doi:10.1007/BF01245820.

- [60] I. Bierenbaum, J. Blümlein and S. Klein, Two-loop massive operator matrix elements and unpolarized heavy flavor production at asymptotic values, Nucl. Phys. B 780, 40 (2007), doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2007.04.030.
- [61] I. Bierenbaum, J. Blümlein, S. Klein and C. Schneider, Two-loop massive operator matrix elements for unpolarized heavy flavor production to, Nucl. Phys. B 803, 1 (2008), doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2008.05.016.
- [62] I. Bierenbaum, J. Blümlein and S. Klein, *The Gluonic Operator Matrix Elements* at $O(\alpha_s^2)$ for DIS Heavy Flavor Production, Phys. Lett. B **672**, 401 (2009), doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2009.01.057.
- [63] I. Bierenbaum, J. Blümlein and S. Klein, *Two-loop massive operator matrix elements for polarized and unpolarized deep-inelastic scattering*, arXiv:0706.2738.
- [64] J. Blümlein, A. Hasselhuhn, S. Klein and C. Schneider, *The contributions to the gluonic massive operator matrix elements*, Nucl. Phys. B 866, 196 (2013), doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2012.09.001.
- [65] J.A.M. Vermaseren, *Harmonic sums, Mellin transforms and integrals*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **14**, 2037 (1999), doi:10.1142/S0217751X99001032.
- [66] J. Blümlein and S. Kurth, *Harmonic sums and Mellin transforms up to two loop order*, Phys. Rev. D **60**, 014018 (1999), doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.60.014018.
- [67] E. Remiddi and J. A. M. Vermaseren, *Harmonic polylogarithms*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 15, 725 (2000), doi:10.1142/S0217751X00000367.
- [68] S. Moch, P. Uwer and S. Weinzierl, Nested sums, expansion of transcendental functions, and multiscale multiloop integrals, J. Math. Phys. 43, 3363 (2002), doi:10.1063/1.1471366.
- [69] J. Ablinger, J. Blümlein and C. Schneider, Analytic and algorithmic aspects of generalized harmonic sums and polylogarithms, J. Math. Phys. 54, 082301 (2013), doi:10.1063/1.4811117.
- [70] J. Ablinger, J. Blümlein, C. G. Raab and C. Schneider, *Iterated binomial sums and their associated iterated integrals*, J. Math. Phys. **55**, 112301 (2014), doi:10.1063/1.4900836.
- [71] J. Ablinger, A. Behring, J. Blümlein, A. De Freitas, A. von Manteuffel and C. Schneider, Calculating three loop ladder and V-topologies for massive operator matrix elements by computer algebra, Comput. Phys. Commun. 202, 33 (2016), doi:10.1016/j.cpc.2016.01.002.
- [72] J. Ablinger, J. Blümlein, A. De Freitas, M. van Hoeij, E. Imamoglu, C. G. Raab, C.-S. Radu and C. Schneider, *Iterated elliptic and hypergeometric integrals for Feynman diagrams*, J. Math. Phys. **59**, 062305 (2018), doi:10.1063/1.4986417.
- [73] J. Blümlein, A. De Freitas, C. Schneider and K. Schönwald, *The variable fla-vor number scheme at next-to-leading order*, Phys. Lett. B **782**, 362 (2018), doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2018.05.054.
- [74] H. Kawamura, N. A. Lo Presti, S. Moch and A. Vogt, On the next-to-next-to-leading order QCD corrections to heavy-quark production in deep-inelastic scattering, Nucl. Phys. B 864, 399 (2012), doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2012.07.001.

- [75] J. Blümlein, Large scale analytic calculations in quantum field theories, arXiv:1905.02148.
- [76] A. von Manteuffel and C. Studerus, *Reduze 2 Distributed Feynman Integral Reduction*, arXiv:1201.4330.
- [77] C. Studerus, *Reduze Feynman integral reduction in C++*, Comput. Phys. Commun. 181, 1293 (2010), doi:10.1016/j.cpc.2010.03.012.
- [78] J. Ablinger, J. Blümlein, C. Raab, C. Schneider and F. Wißbrock, *Calculating massive 3-loop graphs for operator matrix elements by the method of hyperlogarithms*, Nucl. Phys. B 885, 409 (2014), doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2014.04.007.
- [79] W.N. Bailey, *Generalized Hypergeometric Series*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1935).
- [80] L.J. Slater, *Generalized Hypergeometric Functions*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1966).
- [81] P. Appell and J. Kampé de Fériet, *Fonctions Hypergéométriques et Hyperspériques, Polynomes D' Hermite*, Gauthier-Villars, Paris (1926).
- [82] P. Appell, Les Fonctions Hypergëométriques de Plusieur Variables, Gauthier-Villars, Paris (1925).
- [83] J. Kampé de Fériet, La fonction hypergeométrique, Gauthier-Villars, Paris (1937).
- [84] H. Exton, *Multiple Hypergeometric Functions and Applications* Ellis Horwood, Chichester (1976).
- [85] H. Exton, Handbook of Hypergeometric Integrals, Ellis Horwood, Chichester (1978).
- [86] H.M. Srivastava and P.W. Karlsson, *Multiple Gaussian Hypergeometric Series*, Ellis Horwood, Chicester (1985).
- [87] J. Ablinger, J. Blümlein, P. Marquard, N. Rana and C. Schneider, Automated solution of first order factorizable systems of differential equations in one variable, Nucl. Phys. B 939, 253 (2019), doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2018.12.010.
- [88] J. Blümlein and C. Schneider, *The method of arbitrarily large moments to calculate single scale processes in quantum field theory*, Phys. Lett. B **771**, 31 (2017), doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2017.05.001.
- [89] M. Kauers, Guessing Handbook, JKU Linz, Technical Report RISC 09–07.
- [90] M. Kauers, M. Jaroschek and F. Johansson, Ore Polynomials in Sage, arXiv:1306.4263.
- [91] J. Blümlein, M. Kauers, S. Klein and C. Schneider, Determining the closed forms of the $O(\alpha_s^3)$ anomalous dimensions and Wilson coefficients from Mellin moments by means of computer algebra, Comput. Phys, Commun. **180**, 2143 (2009), doi:10.1016/j.cpc.2009.06.020.
- [92] M. Karr, Summation in Finite Terms, J. ACM 28, 305 (1981), doi:10.1145/322248.322255.
- [93] M. Bronstein, On Solutions of Linear Ordinary Difference Equations in their Coefficient Field, J. Symbolic Comput. 29, 841 (2000), doi:10.1006/jsco.2000.0368.

- [94] C. Schneider, *Symbolic Summation in Difference Fields*, Ph.D. Thesis RISC, Johannes Kepler University, Linz (2001).
- [95] C. Schneider, A Collection of Denominator Bounds to Solve Parameterized Linear Difference Equations in ΠΣ-Extensions, An. Univ. Timisoara Ser. Mat.-Inform. **42**, 163 (2004).
- [96] C. Schneider, Solving parameterized linear difference equations in terms of indefinite nested sums and products, J. Differ. Equations Appl. 11, 799 (2005), doi:10.1080/10236190500138262.
- [97] C. Schneider, Degree Bounds to Find Polynomial Solutions of Parameterized Linear Difference Equations in ΠΣ-Fields, Appl. Algebra Engrg. Comm. Comput. 16, 1 (2005), doi:10.1007/s00200-004-0167-3.
- [98] C. Schneider, Simplyfing sums in ΠΣ* extensions, J. Algebra Appl. 6, 415 (2007), doi:10.1142/S0219498807002302.
- [99] C. Schneider, A Symbolic Summation Approach to Find Optimal Nested Sum Representations, arXiv:0904.2323.
- [100] C. Schneider, Parameterized Telescoping Proves Algebraic Independence of Sums, arXiv:0808.2596.
- [101] C. Schneider, Fast Algorithms for Refined Parameterized Telescoping in Difference Fields, arXiv:1307.7887.
- [102] C. Schneider, A Difference Ring Theory for Symbolic Summation, J. Symb. Comput. 72, 82 (2016), arXiv:1408.2776.
- [103] C. Schneider, Summation Theory II: Characterizations of RΠΣ* -extensions and algorithmic aspects, J. Symb. Comput. 80, 616 (2017) arXiv:1603.04285.
- [104] S. A. Abramov, M. Bronstein, M. Petkovšek and C. Schneider, On Rational and Hypergeometric Solutions of Linear Ordinary Difference Equations in $\Pi\Sigma^*$ -field extensions, arXiv:2005.04944.
- [105] C. Schneider, Symbolic summation assists combinatorics, Sém. Lothar. Combin. 56, 1 (2007).
- [106] C. Schneider, Simplifying Multiple Sums in Difference Fields, arXiv:1304.4134.
- [107] J. Ablinger, J. Blümlein and C. Schneider, Generalized Harmonic, Cyclotomic, and Binomial Sums, their Polylogarithms and Special Numbers, J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. 523, 012060 (2014), doi:10.1088/1742-6596/523/1/012060.
- [108] J. Ablinger, *The package HarmonicSums: Computer Algebra and Analytic aspects of Nested Sums*, Proc. Sci. LL2014, 019 (2014), arXiv:1407.6180.
- [109] J. Ablinger, A Computer Algebra Toolbox for Harmonic Sums Related to Particle Physics, Diploma Thesis, JKU Linz (2009), arXiv:1011.1176.
- [110] J. Ablinger, *Computer Algebra Algorithms for Special Functions in Particle Physics*, Ph.D. Thesis, Linz U. (2012), arXiv:1305.0687.
- [111] J. Ablinger, Inverse Mellin Transform of Holonomic Sequences, Proc. Sci. 260, 067 (2016), doi:10.22323/1.260.0067.;

- [112] J. Ablinger, Discovering and Proving Infinite Binomial Sums Identities, arXiv:1507.01703.
- [113] J. Ablinger, Computing the Inverse Mellin Transform of Holonomic Sequences using Kovacic's Algorithm, arXiv:1801.01039.
- [114] J. Ablinger, Discovering and Proving Infinite Pochhammer Sum Identities, arXiv:1902.11001.
- [115] J. Ablinger, An Improved Method to Compute the Inverse Mellin Transform of Holonomic Sequences. Loops and Legs in Quantum Field Theory, Proc. Sci. 303, 063 (2018), doi:10.22323/1.303.0063.
- [116] J. Ablinger, J. Blümlein and C. Schneider, *Harmonic sums and polylogarithms generated by cyclotomic polynomials*, J. Math. Phys. **52**, 102301 (2011), doi:10.1063/1.3629472.
- [117] J. Blümlein, *Structural Relations of Harmonic Sums and Mellin Transforms up to Weight* w = 5, Comput. Phys. Commun. **180**, 2218 (2009), doi:10.1016/j.cpc.2009.07.004.
- [118] J. Blümlein, P. Marquard, N. Rana and C. Schneider, The heavy fermion contributions to the massive three loop form factors, Nucl. Phys. B 949, 114751 (2019), doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2019.114751.
- [119] J. Ablinger, J. Blümlein, and C. Schneider, in preparation.
- [120] A. Behring, J. Ablinger, J. Bluemlein, A. De Freitas, A. Hasselhuhn, A. von Manteuffel, M. Round, C. Schneider and F. Wißbrock, *Heavy flavour corrections to polarised and unpolarised deep-inelastic scattering at 3-loop order*, Proc. Sci. 284, 052 (2017), doi:10.22323/1.284.0052.
- [121] S. Alekhin, J. Blümlein, S. Moch and R. Plačakytė, Parton distribution functions, α_s, and heavy-quark masses for LHC Run II, Phys. Rev. D 96, 014011 (2017), doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.96.014011.
- [122] J. Blümlein and M. Saragnese, *The N3LO scheme-invariant QCD evolution of the nonsinglet structure functions* $F_2^{NS}(x, Q^2)$ *and* $g_1^{NS}(x, Q^2)$, Phys. Lett. B **820**, 136589 (2021), doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2021.136589.
- [123] J. Blümlein, A. De Freitas, C. G. Raab and K. Schönwald, *The O*(α²) *initial state QED corrections to e⁺e⁻ annihilation to a neutral vector boson revisited*, Phys. Lett. B **791**, 206 (2019), doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2019.02.038.
- [124] J. Blümlein, A. De Freitas, C. G. Raab and K. Schönwald, *The effects of O*(α^2) *initial state QED corrections to e*⁺*e*⁻ $\rightarrow \gamma^*/Z^*$ *at very high luminosity colliders*, Phys. Lett. B **801**, 135196 (2020), doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2019.135196.
- [125] J. Blümlein, A. De Freitas, C. G. Raab and K. Schönwald, *The* $O(\alpha^2)$ *initial state QED corrections to* $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma^*/Z_0^*$, Nucl. Phys. B **956**, 115055 (2020), doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2020.115055.
- [126] J. Ablinger, J. Blümlein, A. De Freitas and K. Schönwald, *Subleading Logarithmic QED* Initial State Corrections to $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma^*/Z^{0^*}$ to $O(\alpha^6 L^5)$, Nucl. Phys. B **955**, 115045 (2020), doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2020.115045.
- [127] J. Blümlein, A. De Freitas and K. Schönwald, The QED initial state corrections to the forward-backward asymmetry of e⁺e⁻ → γ^{*}/Z^{0*} to higher orders, Phys. Lett. B 816, 136250 (2021), doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2021.136250.