We thank the referee again for their helpful comments. We have now corrected Figs. 5.2 and 5.4, while for Figs. 5.5 and 5.6 we have in fact updated these to show the size of the errors themselves, rather than the ratios.

The referee's comment about the tolerance is definitely well motivated, and is not something we are taking a firm view on, as indeed is indicated by the fact that we do also consider taking T=1 throughout our work (see also our response to referee 2, where we discuss this point).