Relativistic density-functional theory based on effective quantum electrodynamics

Julien Toulouse $^{1,2^*}$

 1 Laboratoire de Chimie Théorique (LCT), Sorbonne Université and CNRS, F-75005 Paris, France
 2 Institut Universitaire de France, F-75005 Paris, France
 * toulouse@lct.jussieu.fr

April 29, 2021

¹ Abstract

A relativistic density-functional theory based on a Fock-space effective quantum-2 electrodynamics (QED) Hamiltonian using the Coulomb or Coulomb-Breit 3 two-particle interaction is developed. This effective QED theory properly in-4 cludes the effects of vacuum polarization through the creation of electron-5 positron pairs but does not include explicitly the photon degrees of freedom. 6 It is thus a more tractable alternative to full QED for atomic and molecular 7 calculations. Using the constrained-search formalism, a Kohn-Sham scheme 8 is formulated in a quite similar way to non-relativistic density-functional the-9 ory, and some exact properties of the involved density functionals are studied, 10 namely charge-conjugation symmetry and uniform coordinate scaling. The 11 usual no-pair Kohn-Sham scheme is obtained as a well-defined approximation 12 to this relativistic density-functional theory. 13

14

15 Contents

16	1	Intr	roduction	2
17	2	Effe	ective quantum electrodynamics	3
18		2.1	Free Dirac equation and quantized Dirac field	3
19		2.2	Electron-positron Hamiltonian	3
20		2.3	No-particle vacuum states	5
21		2.4	Correlated vacuum state	8
22		2.5	N-negative-charge states	9
23		2.6	No-pair approximation	10
24	3	Der	nsity-functional theory based on effective quantum electrodynamics	11
25		3.1	Kohn-Sham scheme	11
26		3.2	Hartree-exchange-correlation density functional	13
27		3.3	No-pair approximation	16
28		3.4	Exact properties of the density functionals	18
29		3.5	Local-density approximation	21
30	4	Cor	aclusions	23
31	\mathbf{A}	Charge-conjugation symmetry of the electron-positron Hamiltonian 24		

34 35

36

32	B Alternative definition of the electron-positron Hamiltonian	26
33	Beferences	29

1 Introduction

The basic formulation of the relativistic extension of density-functional theory (DFT) was 37 first laid down by generalizing the Hohenberg-Kohn theorem [1] to a Hamiltonian based 38 on quantum electrodynamics (QED) with the internal quantized electromagnetic field and 39 an external classical electromagnetic field [2-5]. These early works did not address the 40 subtle issues of QED renormalization. These issues were studied by Engel, Dreizler, and 41 coworkers [6–10] who put relativistic (current) density-functional theory (RDFT) on more 42 rigorous grounds. In their works, they confirmed the validity of the relativistic extension 43 of the Hohenberg-Kohn theorem using a charge-conjugation-symmetric form of the QED 44 Hamiltonian written with commutators of field operators and appropriate renormalization 45 counterterms. Eschrig et al. [11, 12] took another approach to RDFT based on Lieb's 46 Legendre transformation using a normal-ordered QED Hamiltonian. Ohsaku et al. [13] 47 proposed a local-density-matrix functional theory based on a QED Hamiltonian with an 48 one-photon-propagator fermion-fermion interaction. Despite these formal foundations of 49 RDFT based on QED, in practice four-component RDFT is invariably applied in the 50 Kohn-Sham (KS) scheme with a non-quantized electromagnetic field and in the no-pair 51 approximation (i.e., neglecting contributions from electron-positron pairs) [14–21], most 52 of the time using non-relativistic exchange-correlation density functionals. 53

In this work, we examine an alternative RDFT based on a Fock-space effective QED 54 Hamiltonian using the Coulomb or Coulomb-Breit two-particle interaction (see, e.g., Refs. [22-55 25). This effective QED theory properly includes the effects of vacuum polarization 56 through the creation of electron-positron pairs but does not include explicitly the photon 57 degrees of freedom. It is thus a more tractable alternative to full QED for atomic and 58 molecular calculations. This so-called no-photon QED has been the subject of a number 59 of detailed mathematical studies [26-31], which in particular established the soundness 60 of this approach at the Hartree-Fock (HF) level. This is thus a good QED level to base 61 a RDFT on. We show that we can develop indeed a RDFT formalism based on this ef-62 fective QED theory using the constrained-search formalism [32, 33] in a quite similar way 63 to non-relativistic DFT. The usual no-pair KS scheme is then obtained as a well-defined 64 approximation to this RDFT. 65

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we expose the effective QED theory 66 considered in this work. We define the normal-ordered electron-positron Hamiltonian, 67 we discuss how to define the polarized vacuum state and N-negative-charge states by a 68 minimization formulation, and we introduce the no-pair approximation in this approach. 69 In Section 3, we develop a RDFT based on this effective QED theory. We describe the 70 KS scheme in this approach, we give the expression of the Hartree, exchange, and cor-71 relation density functionals, we study some exact properties of these functionals, and we 72 discuss the local-density approximation (LDA). Section 4 contains conclusions and per-73 spectives. In the appendices, we prove some important and, to the best of our knowledge, 74 seemingly unknown aspects of the effective QED theory. First, in Appendix A, we show 75 that the electron-positron Hamiltonian expressed in terms of the normal ordering with 76 respect to the free vacuum state has the correct charge-conjugation symmetry. Second, 77

⁷⁸ in Appendix B, we show that the electron-positron Hamiltonian based on normal order⁷⁹ ing with respect to the free vacuum state is essentially equivalent to an electron-positron
⁸⁰ Hamiltonian based on commutators and anticommutators of Dirac field operators.

In contrast to the quantum chemistry literature where often everything is formulated in a basis, here we prefer to use a real-space second-quantized formalism which is more

adapted to DFT. Hartree atomic units (a.u.) are used throughout the paper.

⁸⁴ 2 Effective quantum electrodynamics

⁸⁵ 2.1 Free Dirac equation and quantized Dirac field

⁸⁶ We consider the time-independent free Dirac equation

$$\mathbf{D}(\vec{r})\boldsymbol{\psi}_p(\vec{r}) = \varepsilon_p \boldsymbol{\psi}_p(\vec{r}),\tag{1}$$

with the usual first-quantized 4×4 Dirac kinetic + rest mass operator

$$\mathbf{D}(\vec{r}) = c \; (\vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{p}) + \beta \; mc^2, \tag{2}$$

where $\vec{p} = -i\vec{\nabla}$ is the momentum operator, c = 137.036 a.u. is the speed of light, m = 1a.u. is the electron mass, and $\vec{\alpha}$ and β are the 4×4 Dirac matrices

$$\vec{\alpha} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0}_2 & \vec{\sigma} \\ \vec{\sigma} & \mathbf{0}_2 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \boldsymbol{\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{I}_2 & \mathbf{0}_2 \\ \mathbf{0}_2 & -\mathbf{I}_2 \end{pmatrix},$$
 (3)

where $\vec{\sigma} = (\sigma_x, \sigma_y, \sigma_z)$ is the 3-dimensional vector of the 2×2 Pauli matrices, and $\mathbf{0}_2$ and \mathbf{I}_2 are the 2×2 zero and identity matrices, respectively.

The eigenfunctions form a set of orthonormal 4-component-spinor orbitals $\{\psi_p\}$ that we will assume as being discretized (by putting the system in a box with periodic boundary conditions). This set can be partitioned into a set of positive-energy orbitals ($\varepsilon_p > 0$) and a set of negative-energy orbitals ($\varepsilon_p < 0$), i.e. $\{\psi_p\} = \{\psi_p\}_{p \in \text{PS}} \cup \{\psi_p\}_{p \in \text{NS}}$, where PS and NS designate the sets of "positive states" and "negative states", respectively. The Dirac field is then quantized as

$$\hat{\psi}(\vec{r}) = \sum_{p \in \mathrm{PS} \cup \mathrm{NS}} \hat{a}_p \psi_p(\vec{r}) = \sum_{p \in \mathrm{PS}} \hat{b}_p \psi_p(\vec{r}) + \sum_{p \in \mathrm{NS}} \hat{d}_p^{\dagger} \psi_p(\vec{r}), \tag{4}$$

where the sum has been decomposed in a contribution involving electron annihilation operators $\hat{b}_p \equiv \hat{a}_p$ for $p \in \text{PS}$ and a second contribution involving positron creation operators $\hat{d}_p^{\dagger} \equiv \hat{a}_p$ for $p \in \text{NS}$. The annihilation and creation operators obey the usual fermionic anticommutation rules

$$\{\hat{a}_p, \hat{a}_q^\dagger\} = \delta_{pq} \quad \text{and} \quad \{\hat{a}_p, \hat{a}_q\} = \{\hat{a}_p^\dagger, \hat{a}_q^\dagger\} = 0 \quad \text{for } p, q \in \text{PS} \cup \text{NS}, \tag{5}$$

and the corresponding free vacuum state $|0\rangle$ is defined such that

$$\hat{b}_p|0\rangle = 0 \text{ for } p \in \text{PS} \text{ and } \hat{d}_p|0\rangle = 0 \text{ for } p \in \text{NS}.$$
 (6)

103 2.2 Electron-positron Hamiltonian

We then consider the normal-ordered electron-positron Hamiltonian in Fock space written with this quantized Dirac field introduced in Refs. [22,34] (see, also, Ref. [23]) that we can write as

$$\hat{H} = \hat{T}_{\rm D} + \hat{W} + \hat{V}, \tag{7}$$

Submission

SciPost

where the Dirac kinetic + rest mass operator $\hat{T}_{\rm D}$, the two-particle interaction operator \hat{W} , and the external potential-energy interaction operator \hat{V} are expressed as (using σ , ρ , τ , v as spinor indices ranging from 1 to 4)

$$\hat{T}_{\rm D} = \int \text{Tr}[\mathbf{D}(\vec{r})\hat{\mathbf{n}}_1(\vec{r},\vec{r}')]_{\vec{r}'=\vec{r}} \,\mathrm{d}\vec{r} \equiv \sum_{\sigma\rho} \int [D_{\sigma\rho}(\vec{r})\hat{n}_{1,\rho\sigma}(\vec{r},\vec{r}')]_{\vec{r}'=\vec{r}} \,\mathrm{d}\vec{r},\tag{8}$$

110 and

$$\hat{W} = \frac{1}{2} \iint \operatorname{Tr}[\mathbf{w}(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_2) \hat{\mathbf{n}}_2(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_2)] \mathrm{d}\vec{r}_1 \mathrm{d}\vec{r}_2$$

$$\equiv \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\sigma \rho \tau \upsilon} \iint w_{\sigma \tau \rho \upsilon}(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_2) \hat{n}_{2,\rho \upsilon \sigma \tau}(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_2) \mathrm{d}\vec{r}_1 \mathrm{d}\vec{r}_2,$$
(9)

111 and

$$\hat{V} = \int v(\vec{r})\hat{n}(\vec{r}) \,\mathrm{d}\vec{r},\tag{10}$$

where the one-particle density-matrix operator $\hat{\mathbf{n}}_1(\vec{r},\vec{r}')$ and the pair density-matrix operator $\hat{\mathbf{n}}_2(\vec{r}_1,\vec{r}_2)$ are defined using creation and annihilation Dirac field operators with normal ordering $\mathcal{N}[...]$ of the elementary creation and annihilation operators \hat{b}_p^{\dagger} , \hat{b}_p , \hat{d}_p^{\dagger} , \hat{d}_p with respect to the free vacuum state $|0\rangle$

$$\hat{n}_{1,\rho\sigma}(\vec{r},\vec{r}') = \mathcal{N}[\hat{\psi}^{\dagger}_{\sigma}(\vec{r}')\hat{\psi}_{\rho}(\vec{r})], \qquad (11)$$

116

$$\hat{n}_{2,\rho\upsilon\sigma\tau}(\vec{r}_1,\vec{r}_2) = \mathcal{N}[\hat{\psi}^{\dagger}_{\tau}(\vec{r}_2)\hat{\psi}^{\dagger}_{\sigma}(\vec{r}_1)\hat{\psi}_{\rho}(\vec{r}_1)\hat{\psi}_{\upsilon}(\vec{r}_2)],$$
(12)

$$\hat{n}(\vec{r}) = \text{Tr}[\hat{\mathbf{n}}(\vec{r})] \equiv \sum_{\sigma} \hat{n}_{\sigma\sigma}(\vec{r}), \qquad (13)$$

where $\hat{\mathbf{n}}(\vec{r}) = \hat{\mathbf{n}}_1(\vec{r},\vec{r})$. Note that, in the non-relativistic theory, the opposite charge density operator reduces to the usual one-electron density operator, which is why we prefer to use the opposite charge density operator $\hat{n}(\vec{r})$ and not the charge density operator $\hat{\rho}(\vec{r}) = -\hat{n}(\vec{r})$. The normal ordering in the definition of the Dirac kinetic + rest mass operator \hat{T}_D in Eq. (8) ensures that this operator is bounded from below with a nonnegative spectrum. In Eq. (9) $\mathbf{w}(\vec{r_1}, \vec{r_2})$ is a two-particle interaction matrix potential which could be for example the Coulomb (C) + Breit (B) interaction

$$w_{\sigma\tau\rho\nu}(\vec{r}_{1},\vec{r}_{2}) = w^{\rm C}_{\sigma\tau\rho\nu}(r_{12}) + w^{\rm B}_{\sigma\tau\rho\nu}(\vec{r}_{12}), \qquad (14)$$

125 with $\vec{r}_{12} = \vec{r}_1 - \vec{r}_2$ and $r_{12} = |\vec{r}_{12}|$, and

$$w^{\mathcal{C}}_{\sigma\tau\rho\upsilon}(r_{12}) = w(r_{12})\delta_{\sigma\rho}\delta_{\tau\upsilon},\tag{15}$$

126

$$w^{\rm B}_{\sigma\tau\rho\upsilon}(\vec{r}_{12}) = -\frac{1}{2}w(r_{12})\left(\vec{\alpha}_{\sigma\rho}\cdot\vec{\alpha}_{\tau\upsilon} + \frac{(\vec{\alpha}_{\sigma\rho}\cdot\vec{r}_{12})(\vec{\alpha}_{\tau\upsilon}\cdot\vec{r}_{12})}{r_{12}^2}\right),\tag{16}$$

where $w(r_{12}) = 1/r_{12}$. The Coulomb-Breit interaction corresponds to the single-photon exchange electron-electron scattering amplitude in QED evaluated with the zero-frequency limit of the photon propagator in the Coulomb electromagnetic gauge. More specifically,

the instantaneous Coulomb interaction corresponds to the longitudinal component of the 130 photon propagator, whereas the Breit interaction is obtained from the zero-frequency 131 transverse component of the photon propagator. The Breit interaction comprises the 132 instantaneous magnetic Gaunt interaction, $-w(r_{12})\vec{\alpha}_{\sigma\rho}\cdot\vec{\alpha}_{\tau\nu}$, and the remaining lowest-133 order retardation correction (see, e.g., Ref. [35]). In Eq. (10) $v(\vec{r})$ is an external scalar 134 potential, e.g. the Coulomb potential generated by the nuclei. For simplicity and following 135 the most common framework used for molecular calculations, we do not consider the case 136 of an external vector potential. Due to the external potential [Eq. (10)] and Coulomb-137 Breit two-particle interaction [Eq. (9)], the present theory is not Lorentz invariant, which 138 is in the spirit in which relativistic molecular calculations are carried out presently. 139

The electron-positron Hamiltonian \hat{H} does not commute separately with the electron and positron number operators,

$$\hat{N}_{\rm e} = \sum_{p \in \rm PS} \hat{b}_p^{\dagger} \hat{b}_p \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{N}_{\rm p} = \sum_{p \in \rm NS} \hat{d}_p^{\dagger} \hat{d}_p, \tag{17}$$

i.e., it does not conserve electron or positron numbers. However, the Hamiltonian \hat{H} commutes with the opposite charge operator (or electron-excess number operator)

$$\hat{N} = \hat{N}_{\rm e} - \hat{N}_{\rm p},\tag{18}$$

i.e., it conserves charge. As a consequence, the eigenstates of the Hamiltonian H belongs to the Fock space gathering together different particle-number sectors

$$\mathcal{F} = \bigoplus_{(N_{\rm e}, N_{\rm p})=(0,0)}^{(\infty,\infty)} \mathcal{H}^{(N_{\rm e}, N_{\rm p})},\tag{19}$$

where $\mathcal{H}^{(N_{\rm e},N_{\rm p})}$ is the Hilbert space for $N_{\rm e}$ electrons and $N_{\rm p}$ positrons, and \oplus designates the direct sum. The Fock space can also be decomposed into charge sectors

$$\mathcal{F} = \bigoplus_{q=-\infty}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}_q,\tag{20}$$

where \mathcal{H}_q is the Hilbert space for opposite charge q. For $q \geq 0$, we have $\mathcal{H}_q = \mathcal{H}^{(q,0)} \oplus \mathcal{H}^{(q+1,1)} \oplus \mathcal{H}^{(q+2,2)} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{H}^{(q+\infty,\infty)}$, and for $q \leq 0$, we have $\mathcal{H}_q = \mathcal{H}^{(0,|q|)} \oplus \mathcal{H}^{(1,|q|+1)} \oplus \mathcal{H}^{(2,|q|+2)} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{H}^{(\infty,|q|+\infty)}$.

Importantly, due to the fact that the electron-positron Hamiltonian in Eq. (7) is expressed with normal ordering with respect to the free vacuum state, it has the correct charge-conjugation symmetry, i.e. $\hat{C}\hat{H}[v]\hat{C}^{\dagger} = \hat{H}[-v]$ where $\hat{H}[v]$ is the Hamiltonian in Eq. (7) with an arbitrary external potential v and \hat{C} is the charge-conjugation operator in Fock space (see Appendix A).

156 2.3 No-particle vacuum states

By construction of the Hamiltonian \hat{H} , the free vacuum state $|0\rangle$ has a zero energy, i.e. $E_0^{\text{free}} = \langle 0|\hat{H}|0\rangle = 0$. However, this is generally not the lowest-energy vacuum state. We can consider other no-particle vacuum states $|\tilde{0}\rangle$ (often referred to as polarized vacuum or dressed vacuum) parametrized as [23, 36] (see, also, Refs. [22, 34, 37, 38])

$$|\tilde{0}\rangle = e^{\hat{\kappa}}|0\rangle,\tag{21}$$

where $e^{\hat{\kappa}}$ performs an orbital rotation in Fock space (corresponding to a Bogoliubov transformation mixing electron annihilation operators \hat{b}_p and positron creation operators \hat{d}_p^{\dagger} [22]) with the anti-Hermitian operator $\hat{\kappa}$

$$\hat{\kappa} = \sum_{p,q \in \mathrm{PS} \cup \mathrm{NS}} \kappa_{pq} \hat{a}_p^{\dagger} \hat{a}_q = \sum_{p,q \in \mathrm{PS}} \kappa_{pq} \hat{b}_p^{\dagger} \hat{b}_q + \sum_{p \in \mathrm{PS}} \sum_{q \in \mathrm{NS}} \kappa_{pq} \hat{b}_p^{\dagger} \hat{d}_q^{\dagger} + \sum_{p \in \mathrm{NS}} \sum_{q \in \mathrm{PS}} \kappa_{pq} \hat{d}_p \hat{b}_q + \sum_{p,q \in \mathrm{NS}} \kappa_{pq} \hat{d}_p \hat{d}_q^{\dagger}, \quad (22)$$

with the orbital rotation parameters $\kappa_{pq} \in \mathbb{C}$ being the elements of an anti-Hermitian matrix κ . Note that the second term in the last expression of Eq. (22) creates electronpositron pairs. This generates new creation and annihilation operators related to the original ones via the unitary matrix $\mathbf{U} = e^{\kappa}$

$$\hat{\tilde{a}}_{p}^{\dagger} = e^{\hat{\kappa}} \hat{a}_{p}^{\dagger} e^{-\hat{\kappa}} = \sum_{q \in \mathrm{PS} \cup \mathrm{NS}} \hat{a}_{q}^{\dagger} U_{qp} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{\tilde{a}}_{p} = e^{\hat{\kappa}} \hat{a}_{p} e^{-\hat{\kappa}} = \sum_{q \in \mathrm{PS} \cup \mathrm{NS}} \hat{a}_{q} U_{qp}^{*} \quad \text{for } p \in \mathrm{PS} \cup \mathrm{NS}, (23)$$

168 and corresponding new orbitals

$$\tilde{\psi}_p(\vec{r}) = \sum_{q \in \mathrm{PS} \cup \mathrm{NS}} \psi_q(\vec{r}) U_{qp} \quad \text{for } p \in \mathrm{PS} \cup \mathrm{NS},$$
(24)

¹⁶⁹ such that the Dirac field operator in Eq. (4) can be rewritten as

$$\hat{\psi}(\vec{r}) = \sum_{p \in \mathrm{PS} \cup \mathrm{NS}} \hat{\tilde{a}}_p \tilde{\psi}_p(\vec{r}) = \sum_{p \in \mathrm{PS}} \hat{\tilde{b}}_p \tilde{\psi}_p(\vec{r}) + \sum_{p \in \mathrm{NS}} \hat{d}_p^{\dagger} \tilde{\psi}_p(\vec{r}), \qquad (25)$$

with again $\hat{\tilde{b}}_p \equiv \hat{\tilde{a}}_p$ for $p \in \text{PS}$ and $\hat{\tilde{d}}_p^{\dagger} \equiv \hat{\tilde{a}}_p$ for $p \in \text{NS}$. The new creation and annihilation 170 operators still obey the fermionic anticommutation rules in Eq. (5). Moreover, even though 171 this orbital rotation does not necessarily preserve the sign of the orbital energies, it does 172 preserve the charge, i.e. we have $[\hat{N}, \tilde{b}_p^{\dagger}] = \tilde{b}_p^{\dagger}$ and $[\hat{N}, \tilde{d}_p^{\dagger}] = -\tilde{d}_p^{\dagger}$. So the new creation 173 operators \hat{b}_p^{\dagger} and \hat{d}_p^{\dagger} can still be interpreted as creating electrons and positrons, respectively, 174 and the partition into PS and NS sets should now be understood as a partition into 175 positive and negative opposite charge states. As expected, the new electron and positron 176 annihilation operators satisfy 177

$$\hat{\tilde{b}}_p|\tilde{0}\rangle = 0 \text{ for } p \in \text{PS} \text{ and } \hat{\tilde{d}}_p|\tilde{0}\rangle = 0 \text{ for } p \in \text{NS}.$$
 (26)

The new vacuum state $|\tilde{0}\rangle$ contains electron-positron pairs associated with the original operators \hat{b}_p^{\dagger} and \hat{d}_p^{\dagger} but does not contain any particle associated with the new operators \hat{b}_p^{\dagger} and \hat{d}_p^{\dagger} .

We can then introduce a new one-particle density-matrix operator $\hat{\tilde{\mathbf{n}}}_1(\vec{r},\vec{r}')$ and a new pair density-matrix operator $\hat{\tilde{\mathbf{n}}}_2(\vec{r}_1,\vec{r}_2)$ defined using normal ordering $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}[...]$ of the new elementary creation and annihilation operators $\hat{\tilde{b}}_p^{\dagger}$, $\hat{\tilde{b}}_p$, \hat{d}_p^{\dagger} , \hat{d}_p with respect to the new vacuum state $|\tilde{0}\rangle$

$$\hat{\tilde{n}}_{1,\rho\sigma}(\vec{r},\vec{r}') = \tilde{\mathcal{N}}[\hat{\psi}^{\dagger}_{\sigma}(\vec{r}')\hat{\psi}_{\rho}(\vec{r})], \qquad (27)$$

185 and

$$\hat{\tilde{n}}_{2,\rho\nu\sigma\tau}(\vec{r}_1,\vec{r}_2) = \tilde{\mathcal{N}}[\hat{\psi}^{\dagger}_{\tau}(\vec{r}_2)\hat{\psi}^{\dagger}_{\sigma}(\vec{r}_1)\hat{\psi}_{\rho}(\vec{r}_1)\hat{\psi}_{\nu}(\vec{r}_2)].$$
(28)

¹⁸⁶ Using Wick's theorem, the original one-particle density-matrix and pair density-matrix ¹⁸⁷ operators in Eq. (11) and (12) can be rewritten as [22]

$$\hat{n}_{1,\rho\sigma}(\vec{r},\vec{r}') = \hat{\tilde{n}}_{1,\rho\sigma}(\vec{r},\vec{r}') + \tilde{n}_{1,\rho\sigma}^{\rm vp}(\vec{r},\vec{r}'),$$
(29)

188 and

$$\hat{n}_{2,\rho\nu\sigma\tau}(\vec{r}_{1},\vec{r}_{2}) = \hat{\tilde{n}}_{2,\rho\nu\sigma\tau}(\vec{r}_{1},\vec{r}_{2}) + \tilde{n}_{1,\nu\tau}^{\rm vp}(\vec{r}_{2},\vec{r}_{2})\hat{\tilde{n}}_{1,\rho\sigma}(\vec{r}_{1},\vec{r}_{1}) + \tilde{n}_{1,\rho\sigma}^{\rm vp}(\vec{r}_{1},\vec{r}_{1})\hat{\tilde{n}}_{1,\nu\tau}(\vec{r}_{2},\vec{r}_{2}) - \tilde{n}_{1,\nu\sigma}^{\rm vp}(\vec{r}_{2},\vec{r}_{1})\hat{\tilde{n}}_{1,\rho\tau}(\vec{r}_{1},\vec{r}_{2}) - \tilde{n}_{1,\rho\tau}^{\rm vp}(\vec{r}_{1},\vec{r}_{2})\hat{\tilde{n}}_{1,\nu\sigma}(\vec{r}_{2},\vec{r}_{1}) + \tilde{n}_{2,\rho\nu\sigma\tau}^{\rm vp}(\vec{r}_{1},\vec{r}_{2}),$$

$$(30)$$

where $\tilde{\mathbf{n}}_{1}^{\text{vp}}(\vec{r},\vec{r}')$ is the vacuum-polarization (vp) one-particle density matrix

$$\tilde{n}_{1,\rho\sigma}^{\text{vp}}(\vec{r},\vec{r}') = \langle \tilde{0} | \hat{n}_{1,\rho\sigma}(\vec{r},\vec{r}') | \tilde{0} \rangle
= \langle \tilde{0} | \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(\vec{r}') \hat{\psi}_{\rho}(\vec{r}) | \tilde{0} \rangle - \langle 0 | \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(\vec{r}') \hat{\psi}_{\rho}(\vec{r}) | 0 \rangle
= \sum_{p \in \text{NS}} \tilde{\psi}_{p,\sigma}^{*}(\vec{r}') \tilde{\psi}_{p,\rho}(\vec{r}) - \sum_{p \in \text{NS}} \psi_{p,\sigma}^{*}(\vec{r}') \psi_{p,\rho}(\vec{r}),$$
(31)

and $\tilde{\mathbf{n}}_2^{\mathrm{vp}}(\vec{r_1},\vec{r_2})$ is the vacuum-polarization pair-density matrix

$$\tilde{n}_{2,\rho\nu\sigma\tau}^{\rm vp}(\vec{r}_1,\vec{r}_2) = \tilde{n}_{1,\nu\tau}^{\rm vp}(\vec{r}_2,\vec{r}_2)\tilde{n}_{1,\rho\sigma}^{\rm vp}(\vec{r}_1,\vec{r}_1) - \tilde{n}_{1,\rho\tau}^{\rm vp}(\vec{r}_1,\vec{r}_2)\tilde{n}_{1,\nu\sigma}^{\rm vp}(\vec{r}_2,\vec{r}_1).$$
(32)

¹⁹¹ The electron-positron Hamiltonian in Eq. (7) can then be rewritten as [22]

$$\hat{H} = \hat{T}_{\rm D} + \hat{W} + \hat{V} + \hat{V}^{\rm vp} + \tilde{E}_0,$$
 (33)

192 with

$$\hat{\tilde{T}}_{\rm D} = \int \text{Tr}[\mathbf{D}(\vec{r})\hat{\tilde{\mathbf{n}}}_1(\vec{r},\vec{r}')]_{\vec{r}'=\vec{r}} \,\mathrm{d}\vec{r}, \qquad (34)$$

193 and

$$\hat{\tilde{W}} = \frac{1}{2} \iint \text{Tr}[\mathbf{w}(\vec{r_1}, \vec{r_2})\hat{\tilde{\mathbf{n}}}_2(\vec{r_1}, \vec{r_2})] d\vec{r_1} d\vec{r_2}, \qquad (35)$$

194 and

$$\hat{\tilde{V}} = \int v(\vec{r})\hat{\tilde{n}}(\vec{r}) \,\mathrm{d}\vec{r},\tag{36}$$

¹⁹⁵ with the new opposite charge density operator

$$\hat{\tilde{n}}(\vec{r}) = \text{Tr}[\hat{\tilde{\mathbf{n}}}(\vec{r})], \qquad (37)$$

where $\hat{\mathbf{n}}(\vec{r}) = \hat{\mathbf{n}}_1(\vec{r},\vec{r})$. In Eq. (33), the normal reordering with respect to the new vacuum state $|\tilde{0}\rangle$ [Eqs. (29) and (30)] has generated two new terms: the vacuum-polarization potential operator \hat{V}^{vp} and the new vacuum energy \tilde{E}_0 . The vacuum-polarization potential operator [22] can be written as

$$\hat{\tilde{V}}^{\rm vp} = \hat{\tilde{V}}_{\rm H}^{\rm vp} + \hat{\tilde{V}}_{\rm x}^{\rm vp},\tag{38}$$

²⁰⁰ with a Hartree (or direct) contribution

$$\hat{\tilde{V}}_{\rm H}^{\rm vp} = \int \text{Tr}[\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{\rm H}^{\rm vp}(\vec{r})\hat{\tilde{\mathbf{n}}}(\vec{r})] \mathrm{d}\vec{r} \equiv \sum_{\rho\sigma} \int \tilde{v}_{{\rm H},\sigma\rho}^{\rm vp}(\vec{r})\hat{\tilde{n}}_{\rho\sigma}(\vec{r}) \mathrm{d}\vec{r},$$
(39)

where $\tilde{v}_{\mathrm{H},\sigma\rho}^{\mathrm{vp}}(\vec{r}_1) = \sum_{\tau \upsilon} \int w_{\sigma\tau\rho\upsilon}(\vec{r}_1,\vec{r}_2) \tilde{n}_{\upsilon\tau}^{\mathrm{vp}}(\vec{r}_2) \mathrm{d}\vec{r}_2$ and $\tilde{n}_{\upsilon\tau}^{\mathrm{vp}}(\vec{r}_2) = \tilde{n}_{1,\upsilon\tau}^{\mathrm{vp}}(\vec{r}_2,\vec{r}_2)$, and an exchange contribution

$$\hat{\tilde{V}}_{x}^{vp} = \iint \operatorname{Tr}[\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{x}^{vp}(\vec{r}_{1},\vec{r}_{2})\hat{\tilde{\mathbf{n}}}_{1}(\vec{r}_{1},\vec{r}_{2})]\mathrm{d}\vec{r}_{1}\mathrm{d}\vec{r}_{2},\tag{40}$$

where $\tilde{v}_{x,\tau\rho}^{\text{vp}}(\vec{r}_1,\vec{r}_2) = -\sum_{\sigma\upsilon} w_{\sigma\tau\rho\upsilon}(\vec{r}_1,\vec{r}_2)\tilde{n}_{1,\upsilon\sigma}^{\text{vp}}(\vec{r}_2,\vec{r}_1)$. Note that in the literature the name "vacuum polarization" is often restricted to the direct term in Eq. (39) whereas the exchange term in Eq. (40) is often designated as "self-energy" (see, e.g., Ref. [25]). Here, we adopt the terminology of Ref. [22] where vacuum polarization designates both terms. Finally, the new no-particle vacuum energy [22] can be written as

$$\tilde{E}_{0} = \langle \tilde{0} | \hat{H} | \tilde{0} \rangle = \int \text{Tr}[\mathbf{D}(\vec{r}) \tilde{\mathbf{n}}_{1}^{\text{vp}}(\vec{r}, \vec{r}')]_{\vec{r}'=\vec{r}} \, \mathrm{d}\vec{r} + \int v(\vec{r}) \tilde{n}^{\text{vp}}(\vec{r}) \, \mathrm{d}\vec{r} \\
+ \frac{1}{2} \iint \text{Tr}[\mathbf{w}(\vec{r}_{1}, \vec{r}_{2}) \tilde{\mathbf{n}}_{2}^{\text{vp}}(\vec{r}_{1}, \vec{r}_{2})] \mathrm{d}\vec{r}_{1} \mathrm{d}\vec{r}_{2}.$$
(41)

Throughout the paper, $|\tilde{0}\rangle$ will refer to an arbitrary vacuum state, often referred to as floating vacuum, and $\{\tilde{\psi}_p\}$ and \tilde{E}_0 will refer to its associated orbitals and vacuum energy. The optimal HF vacuum state is defined as the vacuum state minimizing \tilde{E}_0 with respect to the orbital rotation parameters κ

$$E_0^{\rm HF} = \min_{\boldsymbol{\kappa}} \tilde{E}_0. \tag{42}$$

Clearly, if $\mathbf{n}_1^{\text{vp}}(\vec{r},\vec{r}') = \mathbf{0}$ then $\tilde{E}_0 = 0$, and thus E_0^{HF} is necessarily negative. It can 212 in fact diverges to $-\infty$ due to infrared (IR) and ultraviolet (UV) divergences. The IR 213 divergences appear when taking the continuum limit of the sums in Eq. (31), but can 214 simply be avoided by putting the system in a box with periodic boundary conditions and 215 taking the thermodynamic limit of quantities per volume unit (see, e.g., Refs. [11,29,30]), 216 similarly to what is done for the homogeneous electron gas. The UV divergences come 217 from the unbound large-energy (or large index p) limit of each sum in Eq. (31), even if we 218 expect a cancellation of these UV divergences to some extent between the two sums. A 219 standard way of dealing with these UV divergences is to introduce a fixed UV momentum 220 cutoff and to remove the cutoff dependence via renormalization of the electron charge and 221 mass in the Hamiltonian [26-31,39] (see also Ref. [40]). We leave for future work these 222 subtle issues and simply assume in the rest of this work that a proper renormalization 223 scheme is applied in order to keep everything finite. 224

Finally, in Appendix B, we provide an alternative definition of the electron-positron Hamiltonian based on commutators and anticommutators of Dirac field operators and we show that, after removing the vacuum energy, both Hamiltonians are equivalent to each other and also identical to the effective QED Hamiltonian of Refs. [25, 41–45] [see Eq. (176)].

230 2.4 Correlated vacuum state

More generally, the vacuum state can be defined beyond the HF approximation as the lowest-energy state with zero charge, which will refer to as the correlated vacuum state $|\Psi_0\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_0$. In a full configuration-interaction approach, the correlated vacuum state can be parametrized as a linear combination of states with arbitrary numbers of electron-positron

235 pairs

$$|\Psi_{0}\rangle = \left(c_{0} + \sum_{p_{1} \in \mathrm{PS}} \sum_{q_{1} \in \mathrm{NS}} c_{p_{1}q_{1}} \hat{b}^{\dagger}_{p_{1}} \hat{d}^{\dagger}_{q_{1}} + \sum_{p_{1}, p_{2} \in \mathrm{PS}} \sum_{q_{1}, q_{2} \in \mathrm{NS}} c_{p_{1}q_{1}p_{2}q_{2}} \hat{b}^{\dagger}_{p_{1}} \hat{d}^{\dagger}_{q_{1}} \hat{b}^{\dagger}_{p_{2}} \hat{d}^{\dagger}_{q_{2}} \right)$$

$$+ \sum_{p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3} \in \mathrm{PS}} \sum_{q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3} \in \mathrm{NS}} c_{p_{1}q_{1}p_{2}q_{2}p_{3}q_{3}} \hat{b}^{\dagger}_{p_{1}} \hat{d}^{\dagger}_{q_{1}} \hat{b}^{\dagger}_{p_{2}} \hat{d}^{\dagger}_{q_{2}} \hat{b}^{\dagger}_{p_{3}} \hat{d}^{\dagger}_{q_{3}} + \cdots \right) |0\rangle, \qquad (43)$$

and minimizing the energy with respect to the coefficients leads to the correlated vacuum 236 energy $E_0 = \langle \Psi_0 | H | \Psi_0 \rangle$. Note that the particles inside this vacuum state cannot generally 237 be absorbed into an orbital rotation because of the two-particle interaction in the Hamil-238 tonian. Therefore, the correlated vacuum state generally contains electron-positron pairs, 239 in the same way as the non-relativistic ground state contains excited Slater determinants 240 that cannot be absorbed into a redefinition of the orbitals. With the parametrization of 241 the vacuum state in Eq. (43), there is no need to perform orbital rotations (i.e., orbital 242 rotation parameters are redundant). The correlated vacuum state $|\Psi_0\rangle$ and correlated 243 vacuum energy E_0 include all vacuum contributions (i.e., contributions from orbitals in 244 the set NS) to all orders in the two-particle interaction. 245

246 2.5 *N*-negative-charge states

1

The ground-state energy for a net total amount of q = N negative charges (the equivalent of N electrons for the non-relativistic theory) is found as

$$E_N = \min_{|\Psi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_N} \langle \Psi | \hat{T}_{\mathrm{D}} + \hat{W} + \hat{V} | \Psi \rangle, \qquad (44)$$

where $|\Psi\rangle$ is constrained to have a net total amount of N negative charges, i.e. $\int \langle \Psi | \hat{n}(\vec{r}) | \Psi \rangle d\vec{r} = N$. Note that we will always tacitly assume that $|\Psi\rangle$ is constrained to be normalized to 1, i.e. $\langle \Psi | \Psi \rangle = 1$. A state $|\Psi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_{X}$ has the form

251 i.e.
$$\langle \Psi | \Psi \rangle = 1$$
. A state $| \Psi \rangle \in \mathcal{H}_N$ has the form

$$|\Psi\rangle = \left(\sum_{p_1,\dots,p_N \in \mathrm{PS}} c_{p_1\dots p_N} \hat{b}_{p_1}^{\dagger} \cdots \hat{b}_{p_N}^{\dagger} + \sum_{p_1,\dots,p_N,p_{N+1} \in \mathrm{PS}} \sum_{q_1 \in \mathrm{NS}} c_{p_1\dots p_N p_{N+1} q_1} \hat{b}_{p_1}^{\dagger} \cdots \hat{b}_{p_N}^{\dagger} \hat{b}_{p_{N+1}}^{\dagger} \hat{d}_{q_1}^{\dagger} + \sum_{p_1,\dots,p_N,p_{N+1},p_{N+2} \in \mathrm{PS}} \sum_{q_1,q_2 \in \mathrm{NS}} c_{p_1\dots p_N p_{N+1} q_1 p_{N+2} q_2} \hat{b}_{p_1}^{\dagger} \cdots \hat{b}_{p_N}^{\dagger} \hat{b}_{p_{N+1}}^{\dagger} \hat{d}_{q_1}^{\dagger} \hat{b}_{p_{N+2}}^{\dagger} \hat{d}_{q_2}^{\dagger} + \cdots \right) |0\rangle.$$
(45)

Again, vacuum contributions to all orders are included in the presence of N negative charges, and there is no need to perform orbital rotations. Obviously, in the special case N = 0, this reduces to the correlated vacuum state in Eq. (43).

Since the number of particles is not fixed for the Fock state $|\Psi\rangle$ in Eq. (45), there is 255 no concept of N-particle wave function (depending on N space coordinates) associated 256 with the state $|\Psi\rangle$. Thus, one cannot study for example the wave function at electron-257 electron coalescence. However, one could study the small interparticle behavior of the 258 pair-density matrix $\mathbf{n}_2(\vec{r_1},\vec{r_2}) = \langle \Psi | \hat{\mathbf{n}}_2(\vec{r_1},\vec{r_2}) | \Psi \rangle$, which should ultimately control the 259 convergence rate of the energy with respect to the one-particle basis used to expand the 260 orbitals. So far, as far as we know, the electron-electron coalescence has been studied only 261 for more approximate configuration-space-based relativistic theories where the concept of 262 wave function is retained [46,47]. How to extend in practice these studies to Fock-space 263 approaches such as the one of the present work is an open question. 264

Finally, let us mention that we can allow for negative N to describe the case of Npositive-charge states, i.e. states with a majority of positrons. We will however normally think of N as being positive and write the equations accordingly.

268 2.6 No-pair approximation

Finally, we consider the no-pair (np) approximation [48,49]. In the context of the present theory, it is natural to first define what we will call here a "no-pair with vacuum-polarization" (npvp) approximation (see Ref. [22]) in which the ground-state energy for N electrons is expressed as

$$E_N^{\text{npvp}} = \min_{|\Psi_+\rangle \in \tilde{\mathcal{H}}^{(N,0)}} \langle \Psi_+ | \hat{T}_{\text{D}} + \hat{W} + \hat{V} | \Psi_+ \rangle, \tag{46}$$

where the minimization is over normalized states in the set that we designate by $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}^{(N,0)} \equiv e^{\hat{\kappa}} \mathcal{H}^{(N,0)}$ which is the set of states generated by all orbital rotations of N-electron states. A state $|\Psi_+\rangle \in \tilde{\mathcal{H}}^{(N,0)}$ has the form

$$|\Psi_{+}\rangle = e^{\hat{\kappa}} \sum_{p_{1},\dots,p_{N} \in \mathrm{PS}} c_{p_{1}\dots p_{N}} \hat{b}^{\dagger}_{p_{1}} \cdots \hat{b}^{\dagger}_{p_{N}} |0\rangle = \sum_{p_{1},\dots,p_{N} \in \mathrm{PS}} c_{p_{1}\dots p_{N}} \hat{\tilde{b}}^{\dagger}_{p_{1}} \cdots \hat{\tilde{b}}^{\dagger}_{p_{N}} |\tilde{0}\rangle.$$
(47)

²⁷⁶ We can also write this state as

$$|\Psi_{+}\rangle = \tilde{P}_{+}|\Psi\rangle,\tag{48}$$

where $|\Psi\rangle$ is an arbitrary state constrained to have a net total amount of N negative 277 charges, i.e. $|\Psi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_N$, and \hat{P}_+ is the projector onto the N-electron Hilbert space con-278 structed from the set of electron creation operators $\{\tilde{b}_p^{\dagger}\}$ associated with a floating vacuum 279 state $|\tilde{0}\rangle$. The energy is not only minimized with respect to $|\Psi\rangle$ but also with respect to the 280 projector \tilde{P}_+ by performing orbital rotations between PS and NS orbitals. The optimal 281 floating vacuum state $|\tilde{0}\rangle$ will of course depend on the number of electrons N considered. 282 This npvp approximation thus restores the concept of the N-electron (4N-component 283 spinor) wave function, i.e. 284

$$\Psi_{+}(\vec{r}_{1},\vec{r}_{2},...,\vec{r}_{N}) = \sum_{p_{1},...,p_{N} \in \mathrm{PS}} c_{p_{1}...p_{N}} \tilde{\psi}_{p_{1}}(\vec{r}_{1}) \wedge \cdots \wedge \tilde{\psi}_{p_{N}}(\vec{r}_{N}),$$
(49)

where $\tilde{\psi}_{p_1}(\vec{r}_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge \tilde{\psi}_{p_N}(\vec{r}_N)$ designates the normalized antisymmetrized tensor product of N orbitals, i.e. a Slater determinant. In this approximation, the vacuum contributions are taken into account at the mean-field level. Indeed, using the rewriting of the electronpositron Hamiltonian in Eq. (33), we have

$$E_N^{\rm npvp} = \langle \Psi_+ | \hat{\tilde{T}}_{\rm D} + \hat{\tilde{W}} + \hat{\tilde{V}} + \hat{\tilde{V}}^{\rm vp} | \Psi_+ \rangle + \tilde{E}_0, \tag{50}$$

which includes the vacuum-polarization potential operator [Eq. (38)] and the vacuum energy [Eq. (41)].

The common no-pair (np) approximation corresponds to additionally neglecting all vacuum contributions

$$E_N^{\rm np} = \min_{|\Psi_+\rangle \in \tilde{\mathcal{H}}^{(N,0)}} \langle \Psi_+ | \tilde{T}_{\rm D} + \tilde{W} + \tilde{V} | \Psi_+ \rangle, \tag{51}$$

where we use now the Hamiltonian written with normal ordering with respect to a floating vacuum state $|\tilde{0}\rangle$. The no-pair approximation with optimized orbitals is analogous to the complete-active-space self-consistent-field method of quantum chemistry in which the wave function is expanded in the Hilbert space spanned by only a subset of orbitals (the equivalent of the PS set) and the orbitals are optimized by performing rotations with the complementary subset of orbitals (the equivalent of the NS set).

Note that in Eq. (46) or (51) one can minimize with respect to the projector \tilde{P}_+ thanks to the use of the Fock-space normal-ordered electron-positron Hamiltonian. If one starts instead with the configuration-space Dirac-Coulomb or Dirac-Coulomb-Breit Hamiltonian, the same $E_N^{\rm np}$ can be obtained but using instead a minmax principle in which the energy is maximized with respect to the projector (see Refs. [23, 50–52]).

³⁰⁴ 3 Density-functional theory based on effective quantum elec ³⁰⁵ trodynamics

We now formulate a RDFT based on the electron-positron Hamiltonian in Eq. (7). We 306 will consider the simplest case of functionals of only the opposite charge density $n(\vec{r}) =$ 307 $\langle \Psi | \hat{n}(\vec{r}) | \Psi \rangle$, which is appropriate for closed-shell systems. More generally, one could con-308 sider functionals depending also on the opposite charge current $\vec{j}(\vec{r}) = \langle \Psi | \vec{j}(\vec{r}) | \Psi \rangle$ with 309 $\vec{j}(\vec{r}) = \text{Tr}[c\vec{\alpha} \ \hat{\mathbf{n}}(\vec{r})]$. Even more generally, one could consider functionals of the local 310 density matrix $\mathbf{n}(\vec{r}) = \langle \Psi | \hat{\mathbf{n}}(\vec{r}) | \Psi \rangle$, as proposed in Ref. [13]. For simplicity, in the follow-311 ing, the opposite charge density and opposite charge current will be referred to as charge 312 density and charge current. 313

314 3.1 Kohn-Sham scheme

Using the constrained-search formalism [32, 33], we define the universal density functional F[n] for N-representable charge densities $n \in \mathcal{D}_N$, i.e. charge densities that come from a state $|\Psi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_N$,

$$F[n] = \min_{|\Psi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_N(n)} \langle \Psi | \hat{T}_{\mathrm{D}} + \hat{W} | \Psi \rangle = \langle \Psi[n] | \hat{T}_{\mathrm{D}} + \hat{W} | \Psi[n] \rangle,$$
(52)

where $\mathcal{H}_N(n)$ is the set of states $|\Psi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_N$ constrained to yield the charge density n, and $|\Psi[n]\rangle$ designates a minimizing state. A *N*-representable charge density must of course contain a net total amount of *N* negative charges, i.e. $\int n(\vec{r}) d\vec{r} = N$, but other than that the set of *N*-representable charge densities \mathcal{D}_N is a priori unknown. This is unlike the non-relativistic case for which the mathematical set of *N*-representable densities is explicitly known [33]. The *N*-negative-charge ground-state energy can then be written as

$$E_N = \min_{n \in \mathcal{D}_N} \left[F[n] + \int v(\vec{r}) \ n(\vec{r}) \ \mathrm{d}\vec{r} \right].$$
(53)

Note that, in the special case N = 0 we obtain the correlated vacuum energy of Sec. 2.4. Also, as already indicated, we can allow for negative N to describe the case of N positive charges.

To setup a KS scheme [53], we decompose F[n] as

$$F[n] = T_{\rm s}[n] + E_{\rm Hxc}[n], \tag{54}$$

where $T_{\rm s}[n]$ is the non-interacting kinetic + rest-mass density functional

$$T_{\rm s}[n] = \min_{|\Phi\rangle \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}}^{(N,0)}(n)} \langle \Phi | \hat{T}_{\rm D} | \Phi \rangle = \langle \Phi[n] | \hat{T}_{\rm D} | \Phi[n] \rangle, \tag{55}$$

where the minimization is over the set $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}^{(N,0)}(n)$ of single-determinant states $|\Phi\rangle = \tilde{b}_1^{\dagger} \tilde{b}_2^{\dagger} \cdots \tilde{b}_N^{\dagger} |\tilde{0}\rangle$ with a fixed number of electrons N with respect to a floating vacuum state and yielding the

charge density n, and $E_{\text{Hxc}}[n]$ is the Hartree-exchange-correlation density functional. The 331 minimizing state (that we will assume unique up to a phase factor for simplicity) is the KS 332 single-determinant state $|\Phi[n]\rangle$. Note that in Eq. (55) we have tacitly assumed that any 333 N-representable charge density n can be represented by a single-determinant state $|\Phi\rangle$. 334 For the non-relativistic theory, this can be proved to be true by explicitly constructing 335 a single determinant yielding any given N-representable density [33, 54, 55]. This proof 336 does not apply to the present relativistic theory due to the more complicated form of the 337 charge density $n(\vec{r})$ which includes the vacuum-polarization contribution [see Eqs. (62) 338 and (63)]. In fact, due to the vacuum-polarization contribution, the charge density $n(\vec{r})$ 339 may not generally have the same sign at all spatial points. This is particularly obvious for 340 the case N = 0: the charge density integrates to zero $\int n(\vec{r}) d\vec{r} = 0$ and thus necessarily 341 changes sign. Whether the proofs of Refs. [33,54,55] can be generalized to the relativistic 342 case is an open question. We can then write the ground-state energy as 343

$$E_N = \min_{|\Phi\rangle \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}}^{(N,0)}} \left[\langle \Phi | \hat{T}_{\mathrm{D}} + \hat{V} | \Phi \rangle + E_{\mathrm{Hxc}}[n_{|\Phi\rangle}] \right],$$
(56)

where $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}^{(N,0)}$ is the set of single-determinant states with a fixed number of electrons Nwith respect to a floating vacuum state. Note that, contrary to a general N-negativecharge state in Eq. (45), we can associate a wave function to a single-determinant state, i.e. $\Phi(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_2, ..., \vec{r}_N) = \tilde{\psi}_1(\vec{r}_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge \tilde{\psi}_N(\vec{r}_N)$.

More explicitly, the expression of the energy in terms of the orbitals $\{\tilde{\psi}_p\}$ is

$$E_{N}[\{\tilde{\psi}_{p}\}] = \int \text{Tr}[\mathbf{D}(\vec{r}) \mathbf{n}_{1}^{\text{KS}}(\vec{r}, \vec{r}')]_{\vec{r}'=\vec{r}} \, \mathrm{d}\vec{r} + \int v(\vec{r}) \, n(\vec{r}) \, \mathrm{d}\vec{r} + E_{\text{Hxc}}[n], \quad (57)$$

³⁴⁹ with the KS one-particle density matrix

$$\mathbf{n}_{1}^{\text{KS}}(\vec{r},\vec{r}') = \tilde{\mathbf{n}}_{1}^{\text{KS}}(\vec{r},\vec{r}') + \tilde{\mathbf{n}}_{1}^{\text{vp}}(\vec{r},\vec{r}'),$$
(58)

³⁵⁰ which includes the contribution from the electronic occupied orbitals

$$\tilde{\mathbf{n}}_{1}^{\mathrm{KS}}(\vec{r},\vec{r}\,') = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \tilde{\psi}_{i}(\vec{r}) \tilde{\psi}_{i}^{\dagger}(\vec{r}\,'),\tag{59}$$

and from the vacuum polarization [see Eq. (31)]

$$\tilde{\mathbf{n}}_{1}^{\mathrm{vp}}(\vec{r},\vec{r}\,') = \sum_{p \in \mathrm{NS}} \tilde{\psi}_{p}(\vec{r}) \tilde{\psi}_{p}^{\dagger}(\vec{r}\,') - \sum_{p \in \mathrm{NS}} \psi_{p}(\vec{r}) \psi_{p}^{\dagger}(\vec{r}\,'), \tag{60}$$

and with the corresponding charge density $n(r) = \text{Tr}[\mathbf{n}_1^{\text{KS}}(\vec{r},\vec{r})]$. Taking the functional derivative of $E_N[\{\psi_p\}]$ with respect to $\tilde{\psi}_p^{\dagger}(\vec{r})$ with the orbital orthonormalization constraints, we arrive at the KS equations

$$(\mathbf{D}(\vec{r}) + v(\vec{r}) + v_{\text{Hxc}}(\vec{r}))\,\tilde{\psi}_p(\vec{r}) = \tilde{\varepsilon}_p \tilde{\psi}_p(\vec{r}),\tag{61}$$

where $v_{\text{Hxc}}(\vec{r}) = \delta E_{\text{Hxc}}[n]/\delta n(\vec{r})$ is the Hartree-exchange-correlation potential (assuming a form of differentiability of the functional $E_{\text{Hxc}}[n]$) and $\tilde{\varepsilon}_p$ are the KS orbital energies. The KS equations must be solved self-consistently with the density

$$n(\vec{r}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \tilde{\psi}_i^{\dagger}(\vec{r}) \tilde{\psi}_i(\vec{r}) + \tilde{n}^{\rm vp}(\vec{r}), \qquad (62)$$

³⁵⁸ where the vacuum-polarization density is

$$\tilde{n}^{\mathrm{vp}}(\vec{r}) = \sum_{p \in \mathrm{NS}} \tilde{\psi}_{p}^{\dagger}(\vec{r}) \tilde{\psi}_{p}(\vec{r}) - \sum_{p \in \mathrm{NS}} \psi_{p}^{\dagger}(\vec{r}) \psi_{p}(\vec{r}) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{p \in \mathrm{NS}} \tilde{\psi}_{p}^{\dagger}(\vec{r}) \tilde{\psi}_{p}(\vec{r}) - \sum_{p \in \mathrm{PS}} \tilde{\psi}_{p}^{\dagger}(\vec{r}) \tilde{\psi}_{p}(\vec{r}) \right),$$
(63)

where the last equality follows from Eqs. (168) and (172) (see also Ref. [56]). Equa-359 tions (61)-(63) have a similar form as for the KS scheme based on renormalized QED [7–10] 360 except that we did not take into account any renormalization counterterms and that the 361 present functional $E_{\text{Hxc}}[n]$ is associated with the effective Coulomb or Coulomb+Breit 362 two-particle interaction. The fact that $E_{\text{Hxc}}[n]$ is a functional of the density makes the 363 potential $v_{\text{Hxc}}(\vec{r})$ local in space and diagonal in terms of spinor indices. This is unlike 364 in HF theory where the corresponding potential would be both nonlocal in space and 365 non-diagonal in terms of spinor indices. 366

367 3.2 Hartree-exchange-correlation density functional

The Hartree-exchange-correlation density functional $E_{\text{Hxc}}[n]$ can be decomposed as

$$E_{\text{Hxc}}[n] = E_{\text{Hx}}[n] + E_{\text{c}}[n], \qquad (64)$$

where $E_{\text{Hx}}[n]$ is the Hartree-exchange energy encompassing all first-order terms in the two-particle interaction

$$E_{\mathrm{Hx}}[n] = \langle \Phi[n] | \hat{W} | \Phi[n] \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \iint \mathrm{Tr}[\mathbf{w}(\vec{r_1}, \vec{r_2}) \mathbf{n}_2^{\mathrm{KS}}(\vec{r_1}, \vec{r_2})] \mathrm{d}\vec{r_1} \mathrm{d}\vec{r_2}, \tag{65}$$

with the KS pair-density matrix $\mathbf{n}_{2}^{\text{KS}}(\vec{r}_{1}, \vec{r}_{2}) = \langle \Phi[n] | \hat{\mathbf{n}}_{2}(\vec{r}_{1}, \vec{r}_{2}) | \Phi[n] \rangle$, and $E_{c}[n]$ is the correlation energy. The Hartree-exchange energy can be written more explicitly as

$$E_{\rm Hx}[n] = \tilde{E}_{\rm Hx}[n] + \tilde{E}_{\rm Hx}^{\rm vp}[n], \qquad (66)$$

where $\tilde{E}_{\text{Hx}}[n]$ is the main contribution

$$\tilde{E}_{\rm Hx}[n] = \frac{1}{2} \iint {\rm Tr}[\mathbf{w}(\vec{r_1}, \vec{r_2}) \tilde{\mathbf{n}}_2^{\rm KS}(\vec{r_1}, \vec{r_2})] {\rm d}\vec{r_1} {\rm d}\vec{r_2},$$
(67)

depending on the part of the KS pair-density matrix coming from the electronic occupied orbitals

$$\tilde{n}_{2,\rho\nu\sigma\tau}^{\rm KS}(\vec{r}_1,\vec{r}_2) = \tilde{n}_{1,\nu\tau}^{\rm KS}(\vec{r}_2,\vec{r}_2)\tilde{n}_{1,\rho\sigma}^{\rm KS}(\vec{r}_1,\vec{r}_1) - \tilde{n}_{1,\rho\tau}^{\rm KS}(\vec{r}_1,\vec{r}_2)\tilde{n}_{1,\nu\sigma}^{\rm KS}(\vec{r}_2,\vec{r}_1),$$
(68)

and $\tilde{E}_{\mathrm{Hx}}^{\mathrm{vp}}[n]$ is the vacuum-polarization contribution

$$\tilde{E}_{\text{Hx}}^{\text{vp}}[n] = \int \text{Tr}[\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{\text{H}}^{\text{vp}}(\vec{r})\tilde{\mathbf{n}}_{1}^{\text{KS}}(\vec{r},\vec{r})]d\vec{r} + \iint \text{Tr}[\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{\text{x}}^{\text{vp}}(\vec{r}_{1},\vec{r}_{2})\tilde{\mathbf{n}}_{1}^{\text{KS}}(\vec{r}_{1},\vec{r}_{2})]d\vec{r}_{1}d\vec{r}_{2}
+ \frac{1}{2}\iint \text{Tr}[\mathbf{w}(\vec{r}_{1},\vec{r}_{2})\tilde{\mathbf{n}}_{2}^{\text{vp}}(\vec{r}_{1},\vec{r}_{2})]d\vec{r}_{1}d\vec{r}_{2},$$
(69)

where the vacuum-polarization potentials $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{\mathrm{H}}^{\mathrm{vp}}(\vec{r})$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{\mathrm{x}}^{\mathrm{vp}}(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_2)$ were defined after Eqs. (39) and (40), respectively, and the vacuum-polarization pair-density matrix $\tilde{\mathbf{n}}_{2}^{\mathrm{vp}}(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_2)$ was defined in Eq. (32).

We can further decompose the functional $E_{\text{Hx}}[n]$ as

$$E_{\rm Hx}[n] = E_{\rm H}[n] + E_{\rm x}[n].$$
 (70)

where the Hartree functional $E_{\rm H}[n]$ collects all direct terms and the exchange functional $E_{\rm x}[n]$ collects all exchange terms. The expression of the Hartree functional is

$$E_{\rm H}[n] = E_{\rm H}[n] + E_{\rm H}^{\rm vp}[n],$$
 (71)

383 with

$$\tilde{E}_{\rm H}[n] = \frac{1}{2} \iint {\rm Tr}[\mathbf{w}(\vec{r_1}, \vec{r_2}) \tilde{\mathbf{n}}_{2,\rm H}^{\rm KS}(\vec{r_1}, \vec{r_2})] {\rm d}\vec{r_1} {\rm d}\vec{r_2},$$
(72)

where $\tilde{\mathbf{n}}_{2,\mathrm{H}}^{\mathrm{KS}}(\vec{r_1},\vec{r_2})$ is the Hartree contribution to $\tilde{\mathbf{n}}_2^{\mathrm{KS}}(\vec{r_1},\vec{r_2})$ [the first term in the righthand side of Eq. (68)], and

$$\tilde{E}_{\rm H}^{\rm vp}[n] = \int {\rm Tr}[\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{\rm H}^{\rm vp}(\vec{r})\tilde{\mathbf{n}}_{1}^{\rm KS}(\vec{r},\vec{r})]{\rm d}\vec{r} + \frac{1}{2} \iint {\rm Tr}[\mathbf{w}(\vec{r}_{1},\vec{r}_{2})\tilde{\mathbf{n}}_{2,\rm H}^{\rm vp}(\vec{r}_{1},\vec{r}_{2})]{\rm d}\vec{r}_{1}{\rm d}\vec{r}_{2}, \quad (73)$$

where $\tilde{\mathbf{n}}_{2,\mathrm{H}}^{\mathrm{vp}}(\vec{r_1},\vec{r_2})$ is the Hartree contribution to $\tilde{\mathbf{n}}_2^{\mathrm{vp}}(\vec{r_1},\vec{r_2})$ [the first term in the right-hand side of Eq. (32)]. Similarly, the expression of the exchange functional is

$$E_{\mathbf{x}}[n] = \tilde{E}_{\mathbf{x}}[n] + \tilde{E}_{\mathbf{x}}^{\mathbf{vp}}[n], \qquad (74)$$

388 with

$$\tilde{E}_{\rm x}[n] = \frac{1}{2} \iint \text{Tr}[\mathbf{w}(\vec{r_1}, \vec{r_2}) \tilde{\mathbf{n}}_{2, \rm x}^{\rm KS}(\vec{r_1}, \vec{r_2})] \mathrm{d}\vec{r_1} \mathrm{d}\vec{r_2}, \tag{75}$$

where $\tilde{\mathbf{n}}_{2,x}^{\text{KS}}(\vec{r_1}, \vec{r_2})$ is the exchange contribution to $\tilde{\mathbf{n}}_2^{\text{KS}}(\vec{r_1}, \vec{r_2})$ [the second term in the righthand side of Eq. (68)], and

$$\tilde{E}_{x}^{vp}[n] = \iint \operatorname{Tr}[\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{x}^{vp}(\vec{r_{1}}, \vec{r_{2}})\tilde{\mathbf{n}}_{1}^{\mathrm{KS}}(\vec{r_{1}}, \vec{r_{2}})] \mathrm{d}\vec{r_{1}} \mathrm{d}\vec{r_{2}} + \frac{1}{2} \iint \operatorname{Tr}[\mathbf{w}(\vec{r_{1}}, \vec{r_{2}})\tilde{\mathbf{n}}_{2,x}^{vp}(\vec{r_{1}}, \vec{r_{2}})] \mathrm{d}\vec{r_{1}} \mathrm{d}\vec{r_{2}},$$
(76)

where $\tilde{\mathbf{n}}_{2,\mathbf{x}}^{\text{vp}}(\vec{r_1},\vec{r_2})$ is the exchange contribution to $\tilde{\mathbf{n}}_2^{\text{vp}}(\vec{r_1},\vec{r_2})$ [the second term in the righthand side of Eq. (32)].

The Hartree energy can also be more compactly written as a sum of Coulomb and Breit contributions

$$E_{\rm H}[n] = E_{\rm H}^{\rm C}[n] + E_{\rm H}^{\rm B}[n],$$
 (77)

where the Coulomb contribution has the same form as in non-relativistic DFT

$$E_{\rm H}^{\rm C}[n] = \frac{1}{2} \iint w(r_{12})n(\vec{r_1})n(\vec{r_2})\mathrm{d}\vec{r_1}\mathrm{d}\vec{r_2},\tag{78}$$

involving the charge density $n(\vec{r})$ [Eq. (62)], and the Breit contribution has the form

$$E_{\rm H}^{\rm B}[n] = -\frac{1}{4c^2} \iint w(r_{12}) \left[\vec{j}(\vec{r_1}) \cdot \vec{j}(\vec{r_2}) + \frac{\vec{j}(\vec{r_1}) \cdot \vec{r_{12}} \cdot \vec{j}(\vec{r_2}) \cdot \vec{r_{12}}}{r_{12}^2} \right] \mathrm{d}\vec{r_1} \mathrm{d}\vec{r_2}, \tag{79}$$

involving the KS charge current density $\vec{j}(\vec{r})$

$$\vec{j}(\vec{r}) = \text{Tr}[c\vec{\alpha} \ \mathbf{n}_1^{\text{KS}}(\vec{r},\vec{r})] = c \sum_{i=1}^N \tilde{\psi}_i^{\dagger}(\vec{r})\vec{\alpha}\tilde{\psi}_i(\vec{r}) + \tilde{\tilde{j}}^{\text{vp}}(\vec{r}), \tag{80}$$

³⁹⁸ where $\tilde{j}^{\rm vp}(\vec{r})$ is the vacuum-polarization current density

$$\vec{j}^{\rm vp}(\vec{r}) = c \left[\sum_{p \in \rm NS} \tilde{\psi}_p^{\dagger}(\vec{r}) \vec{\alpha} \tilde{\psi}_p(\vec{r}) - \sum_{p \in \rm NS} \psi_p^{\dagger}(\vec{r}) \vec{\alpha} \psi_p(\vec{r}) \right].$$
(81)

Since we did not consider any vector potential in the KS equations [Eq. (61)], the KS 399 Hamiltonian has time-reversal symmetry and the KS orbitals $\{\psi_p\}$ come in degenerate 400 Kramers pairs (see, e.g., Ref. [23]) with opposite current densities, and similarly for the 401 orbitals $\{\psi_p\}$ of the free Dirac equation. It seems then reasonable to conclude that the 402 vacuum-polarization current density $\tilde{j}^{\rm vp}(\vec{r})$ vanishes in the present context, glossing over 403 the fact that each sum in Eq. (81) is infinite. Moreover, for closed-shell systems, the 404 contribution to the charge current density $\vec{j}(\vec{r})$ coming from the occupied electronic states 405 in Eq. (80) vanishes as well, and there is no Breit contribution to the Hartree energy. 406 For open-shell systems, the charge current density does not vanish and there is a Breit 407 contribution to the Hartree energy. Since the charge current density $j(\vec{r})$ is only an 408 implicit functional of the charge density via the KS orbitals, the calculation of the Breit 409 contribution to the Hartree potential would require to use the optimized-effective-potential 410 method (see, e.g., Ref. [57]). A simpler alternative is to switch to functionals depending 411 also explicitly on the charge current density $\vec{j}(\vec{r})$. 412

The correlation functional $E_c[n]$ is conveniently expressed with the adiabatic-connection approach [58–60] which can be straightforwardly generalized to the present relativistic theory. For this, we define an universal density functional similarly to Eq. (52) but depending on a coupling constant $\lambda \in [0, +\infty]$

$$F^{\lambda}[n] = \min_{|\Psi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_{N}(n)} \langle \Psi | \hat{T}_{\mathrm{D}} + \lambda \hat{W} | \Psi \rangle = \langle \Psi^{\lambda}[n] | \hat{T}_{\mathrm{D}} + \lambda \hat{W} | \Psi^{\lambda}[n] \rangle,$$
(82)

417 where $|\Psi^{\lambda}[n]\rangle$ denotes a minimizing state. This functional can be decomposed as

$$F^{\lambda}[n] = T_{\rm s}[n] + \lambda E_{\rm Hx}[n] + E_{\rm c}^{\lambda}[n], \qquad (83)$$

418 where the λ -dependent correlation contribution is

$$E_{\rm c}^{\lambda}[n] = \langle \Psi^{\lambda}[n] | \hat{T}_{\rm D} + \lambda \hat{W} | \Psi^{\lambda}[n] \rangle - \langle \Phi[n] | \hat{T}_{\rm D} + \lambda \hat{W} | \Phi[n] \rangle.$$
(84)

We will assume that $F^{\lambda}[n]$ is of class C^1 as a function of λ for $\lambda \in [0,1]$ and that $F^{\lambda=0}[\rho] = T_{\rm s}[\rho]$ (which should be valid when the KS single-determinant state $|\Phi[n]\rangle$ is non-degenerate). Taking the derivative of Eq. (84) with respect to λ and using the Hellmann-Feynman theorem for the state $|\Psi^{\lambda}[n]\rangle$, we obtain

$$\frac{\partial E_{\rm c}^{\lambda}[n]}{\partial \lambda} = \langle \Psi^{\lambda}[n] | \hat{W} | \Psi^{\lambda}[n] \rangle - \langle \Phi[n] | \hat{W} | \Phi[n] \rangle.$$
(85)

Integrating over λ from 0 to 1, and using $E_c^{\lambda=1}[n] = E_c[n]$ and $E_c^{\lambda=0}[n] = 0$, we arrive at the adiabatic-connection formula for the correlation functional

$$E_{\rm c}[n] = \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}\lambda \, \langle \Psi^{\lambda}[n] | \hat{W} | \Psi^{\lambda}[n] \rangle - \langle \Phi[n] | \hat{W} | \Phi[n] \rangle$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}\lambda \, \iint \mathrm{Tr}[\mathbf{w}(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_2) \mathbf{n}_{2, \rm c}^{\lambda}(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_2)] \mathrm{d}\vec{r}_1 \mathrm{d}\vec{r}_2, \qquad (86)$$

with the correlation contribution to the λ -dependent pair-density matrix $\mathbf{n}_{2,c}^{\lambda}(\vec{r_1},\vec{r_2}) = \langle \Psi^{\lambda}[n]|\hat{\mathbf{n}}_2(\vec{r_1},\vec{r_2})|\Psi^{\lambda}[n]\rangle - \mathbf{n}_2^{\mathrm{KS}}(\vec{r_1},\vec{r_2})$. More explicitly, the correlation functional has the expression

$$E_{\rm c}[n] = \tilde{E}_{\rm c}[n] + \tilde{E}_{\rm c}^{\rm vp}[n], \qquad (87)$$

⁴²⁸ where $\tilde{E}_{\rm c}[n]$ is the main contribution

$$\tilde{E}_{\rm c}[n] = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}\lambda \, \iint \mathrm{Tr}[\mathbf{w}(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_2) \tilde{\mathbf{n}}_{2,\rm c}^\lambda(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_2)] \mathrm{d}\vec{r}_1 \mathrm{d}\vec{r}_2, \tag{88}$$

with $\tilde{\mathbf{n}}_{2,c}^{\lambda}(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_2) = \langle \Psi^{\lambda}[n] | \hat{\tilde{\mathbf{n}}}_2(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_2) | \Psi^{\lambda}[n] \rangle - \tilde{\mathbf{n}}_2^{\mathrm{KS}}(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_2)$, and $\tilde{E}_c^{\mathrm{vp}}[n]$ is the vacuum-polarization contribution coming from the variation of the one-particle density matrix with λ

$$\tilde{E}_{c}^{vp}[n] = \int_{0}^{1} d\lambda \int Tr[\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{H}^{vp}(\vec{r})\tilde{\mathbf{n}}_{1,c}^{\lambda}(\vec{r},\vec{r})]d\vec{r} + \int_{0}^{1} d\lambda \iint Tr[\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{x}^{vp}(\vec{r}_{1},\vec{r}_{2})\tilde{\mathbf{n}}_{1,c}^{\lambda}(\vec{r}_{1},\vec{r}_{2})]d\vec{r}_{1}d\vec{r}_{2},$$
(89)

with $\tilde{\mathbf{n}}_{1,c}^{\lambda}(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_2) = \langle \Psi^{\lambda}[n] | \hat{\mathbf{n}}_1(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_2) | \Psi^{\lambda}[n] \rangle - \tilde{\mathbf{n}}_1^{\mathrm{KS}}(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_2)$. Note that both $\tilde{\mathbf{n}}_{2,c}^{\lambda}(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_2)$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{n}}_{1,c}^{\lambda}(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_2)$ include contributions from orbitals $\tilde{\psi}_p$ with $p \in \mathrm{NS}$, which generate vacuum contributions to the correlation energy beyond first order in the two-particle interaction. Mirroring the decomposition of the energy functional $E_{\mathrm{Hxc}}[n]$ into Hartree, exchange, and correlation contributions, the associated potential in Eq. (61) has of course a similar decomposition

$$v_{\rm Hxc}(\mathbf{r}) = v_{\rm H}(\mathbf{r}) + v_{\rm x}(\mathbf{r}) + v_{\rm c}(\mathbf{r}), \qquad (90)$$

and each potential is itself a sum of a main contribution and a vacuum-polarization contribution. Note in particular that the vacuum-polarization contributions in the Hartree and exchange potentials are both local in space and diagonal in terms of spinor indices and thus are not identical to the vacuum-polarization potentials $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{\mathrm{H}}^{\mathrm{vp}}(\vec{r})$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{\mathrm{x}}^{\mathrm{vp}}(\vec{r}_{1},\vec{r}_{2})$ defined after Eqs. (39) and (40), respectively. The latter potentials are the vacuum-polarization potentials that would be directly involved in HF theory. We leave for future work the study of the properties of the potentials in Eq. (90).

444 3.3 No-pair approximation

⁴⁴⁵ In the npvp approximation introduced in Eq. (46), the universal density functional becomes

$$F^{\text{npvp}}[n] = \min_{|\Psi_{+}\rangle \in \tilde{\mathcal{H}}^{(N,0)}(n)} \langle \Psi_{+} | \hat{T}_{\text{D}} + \hat{W} | \Psi_{+} \rangle$$
(91)

where $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}^{(N,0)}(n)$ is the set of states in $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}^{(N,0)}$ yielding the charge density n. In this approximation, the definition of $T_{\rm s}[n]$ in Eq. (55) is left unchanged and consequently the KS determinant state $|\Phi[n]\rangle$ and the Hartree and exchange functionals $E_{\rm H}[n]$ and $E_{\rm x}[n]$ are also left unchanged. We thus have the decomposition

$$F^{\rm npvp}[n] = T_{\rm s}[n] + E_{\rm Hx}[n] + E_{\rm c}^{\rm npvp}[n], \qquad (92)$$

where $E_{\rm c}^{\rm npvp}[n]$ is the new correlation functional in this approximation. In this npvp KS scheme, the ground-state energy is then obtained as

$$E_N^{\text{npvp}} = \min_{|\Phi\rangle \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}}^{(N,0)}} \left[\langle \Phi | \hat{T}_{\text{D}} + \hat{V} | \Phi \rangle + E_{\text{Hx}}[n_{|\Phi\rangle}] + E_{\text{c}}^{\text{npvp}}[n_{|\Phi\rangle}] \right].$$
(93)

Hence, this approximation affects only the correlation functional, namely $E_{\rm c}^{\rm npvp}[n]$ has the same expression as $E_{\rm c}[n]$ but in Eqs. (88) and (89) $\tilde{\mathbf{n}}_{2,{\rm c}}^{\lambda}(\vec{r}_1,\vec{r}_2)$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{n}}_{1,{\rm c}}^{\lambda}(\vec{r}_1,\vec{r}_2)$ are now calculated with a state $|\Psi_{+}^{\lambda}[n]\rangle \in \tilde{\mathcal{H}}^{(N,0)}(n)$ and thus do not contain any contributions coming from orbitals $\tilde{\psi}_p$ with $p \in \text{NS}$. However, vacuum contributions are still included at the mean-field level with the potentials $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{\rm H}^{\rm vp}(\vec{r})$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{\rm x}^{\rm vp}(\vec{r}_1,\vec{r}_2)$.

In the more common no-pair approximation of Eq. (51), the universal functional is 457 defined as 458

$$F^{\rm np}[n] = \min_{|\Psi_+\rangle \in \tilde{\mathcal{H}}^{(N,0)}(n)} \langle \Psi_+ | \hat{\tilde{T}}_{\rm D} + \hat{\tilde{W}} | \Psi_+ \rangle, \tag{94}$$

where we use now the operators written with normal ordering with respect to a floating 459 vacuum state $|\tilde{0}\rangle$, and the non-interacting kinetic + rest-mass density functional is defined 460 as 461

$$T_{\rm s}^{\rm np}[n] = \min_{|\Phi\rangle \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}}^{(N,0)}(n)} \langle \Phi | \hat{\tilde{T}}_{\rm D} | \Phi \rangle = \langle \Phi^{\rm np}[n] | \hat{\tilde{T}}_{\rm D} | \Phi^{\rm np}[n] \rangle, \tag{95}$$

where $|\Phi^{np}[n]\rangle$ is the KS determinant state in this approximation (again, assumed to be 462 unique up to a phase factor for simplicity). The functional $F^{np}[n]$ can then be decomposed 463 as 464

$$F^{\rm np}[n] = T^{\rm np}_{\rm s}[n] + E^{\rm np}_{\rm Hx}[n] + E^{\rm np}_{\rm c}[n], \qquad (96)$$

where $E_{\text{Hx}}^{\text{np}}[n]$ is the no-pair Hartree-exchange functional 465

$$E_{\rm Hx}^{\rm np}[n] = \langle \Phi^{\rm np}[n] | \hat{\tilde{W}} | \Phi^{\rm np}[n] \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \iint {\rm Tr}[\mathbf{w}(\vec{r_1}, \vec{r_2}) \tilde{\mathbf{n}}_2^{\rm KS, np}(\vec{r_1}, \vec{r_2})] {\rm d}\vec{r_1} {\rm d}\vec{r_2}, \tag{97}$$

with the no-pair KS pair-density matrix $\tilde{\mathbf{n}}_{2}^{\mathrm{KS,np}}(\vec{r_{1}},\vec{r_{2}}) = \langle \Phi^{\mathrm{np}}[n] | \hat{\mathbf{n}}_{2}(\vec{r_{1}},\vec{r_{2}}) | \Phi^{\mathrm{np}}[n] \rangle$ (which, 466 as before, can be trivially separated into Hartree and exchange contributions), and $E_{\rm c}^{\rm np}[n]$ 467 is the no-pair correlation functional 468

$$E_{\rm c}^{\rm np}[n] = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}\lambda \, \iint \mathrm{Tr}[\mathbf{w}(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_2) \tilde{\mathbf{n}}_{2,\rm c}^{\lambda,\rm np}(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_2)] \mathrm{d}\vec{r}_1 \mathrm{d}\vec{r}_2, \tag{98}$$

with $\tilde{\mathbf{n}}_{2,c}^{\lambda,\mathrm{np}}(\vec{r_1},\vec{r_2}) = \langle \Psi_+^{\lambda}[n] | \hat{\tilde{\mathbf{n}}}_2(\vec{r_1},\vec{r_2}) | \Psi_+^{\lambda}[n] \rangle - \tilde{\mathbf{n}}_2^{\mathrm{KS,np}}(\vec{r_1},\vec{r_2}) \text{ and } | \Psi_+^{\lambda}[n] \rangle$ is a λ -dependent no-pair minimizing state for the charge density n. Finally, the no-pair ground-state energy 469 470 is obtained as 471

$$E_N^{\rm np} = \min_{|\Phi\rangle \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}}^{(N,0)}} \left[\langle \Phi | \hat{\tilde{T}}_{\rm D} + \hat{\tilde{V}} | \Phi \rangle + E_{\rm Hx}^{\rm np}[n_{|\Phi\rangle}] + E_{\rm c}^{\rm np}[n_{|\Phi\rangle}] \right], \tag{99}$$

472

and the no-pair charge density is simply $n(\vec{r}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \tilde{\psi}_{i}^{\dagger}(\vec{r}) \tilde{\psi}_{i}(\vec{r})$. This constitutes a no-pair KS RDFT with well-defined universal exchange and correla-473 tion functionals $E_{\rm x}^{\rm np}[n]$ and $E_{\rm c}^{\rm np}[n]$. This contrasts with the RDFT based on the relativistic 474 extension of the Hohenberg-Kohn theorem of Refs. [7–10] for which the no-pair approx-475 imation is only introduced a posteriori without giving an unambiguous definition of the 476 involved functionals. Indeed, the no-pair approximation involves the projector \tilde{P}_+ onto 477 the subspace of electronic states [Eq. (48)] which depends on the separation of the or-478 bitals into PS and NS sets, and therefore depends on the potential used to generate these 479 orbitals. If the projector is applied to the Hamiltonian, the whole resulting projected 480 Hamiltonian is thus dependent on this potential, and one cannot isolate, as normally done 481 in DFT, an universal part of the Hamiltonian, and one thus cannot define universal den-482 sity functionals. In the present work, instead of thinking of the projector \tilde{P}_+ as being 483 applied to the Hamiltonian, we equivalently think of the projector as being applied to the 484 state, i.e. $|\Psi_+\rangle = P_+|\Psi\rangle$, and optimize the projector simultaneously with the state $|\Psi\rangle$. 485 In this way, we can introduce universal density functionals, similarly to non-relativistic 486 DFT, defined such that for a given density a constrained-search optimization in Eq. (94) 487 or (95) of the projected state $|\Psi_{+}\rangle$ determines alone the optimal projector without the 488 need of pre-choosing a particular potential, at least for systems for which orbitals can 489 be unambiguously separated into PS and NS sets. The same view can be taken in the 490 configuration-space approach using a minmax principle [52]. 491

⁴⁹² 3.4 Exact properties of the density functionals

493 Charge-conjugation symmetry

⁴⁹⁴ A state $|\Psi[n]\rangle$ in Eq. (52) yields the charge density n and minimizes $\langle \Psi|\hat{T}_{\rm D} + \hat{W}|\Psi\rangle$. The ⁴⁹⁵ charge-conjugated state $\hat{C}|\Psi[n]\rangle$, where \hat{C} is the charge-conjugation operator in Fock space ⁴⁹⁶ (see Appendix A), yields the charge density -n since

$$\langle \Psi[n] | \hat{C}^{\dagger} \hat{n}(\vec{r}) \hat{C} | \Psi[n] \rangle = -\langle \Psi[n] | \hat{n}(\vec{r}) | \Psi[n] \rangle = -n(\vec{r}), \tag{100}$$

where we have used the antisymmetry of the density operator under charge conjugation, $\hat{C}^{\dagger}\hat{n}(\vec{r})\hat{C} = -\hat{n}(\vec{r})$ [Eq. (144)]. Moreover, the charge-conjugated state $\hat{C}|\Psi[n]\rangle$ minimizes $\langle\Psi|\hat{T}_{\rm D} + \hat{W}|\Psi\rangle$ since

$$\langle \Psi[n]|\hat{C}^{\dagger}(\hat{T}_{\mathrm{D}}+\hat{W})\hat{C}|\Psi[n]\rangle = \langle \Psi[n]|\hat{T}_{\mathrm{D}}+\hat{W}|\Psi[n]\rangle, \tag{101}$$

since both $\hat{T}_{\rm D}$ and \hat{W} are symmetric under charge conjugation [Eqs. (143) and (148)]. We thus conclude that

$$\hat{C}|\Psi[n]\rangle = |\Psi[-n]\rangle,\tag{102}$$

⁵⁰² and that the universal density functional is symmetric under charge conjugation

$$F[n] = F[-n].$$
 (103)

⁵⁰³ Similarly, the KS determinant state in Eq. (55) transforms as

$$\hat{C}|\Phi[n]\rangle = |\Phi[-n]\rangle,\tag{104}$$

and the functionals $T_{\rm s}[n]$, $E_{\rm H}[n]$, $E_{\rm x}[n]$, and $E_{\rm c}[n]$ are all symmetric under charge conjugation

$$T_{\rm s}[n] = T_{\rm s}[-n],$$
 (105)

506

$$E_{\rm H}[n] = E_{\rm H}[-n],$$
 (106)

507

$$E_{\mathbf{x}}[n] = E_{\mathbf{x}}[-n],\tag{107}$$

508

$$E_{\rm c}[n] = E_{\rm c}[-n].$$
 (108)

In other words, these functionals must be even functionals of the charge density. Consequently, their functional derivatives with respect to $n(\vec{r})$ must be odd functionals of the charge density. This is particularly obvious for the Coulomb contribution to the Hartree energy in Eq. (78).

513 Uniform coordinate scaling relations

In non-relativistic DFT, the uniform coordinate scaling relations [61–63] are important constraints on the density functionals. We show how to generalize them for the present RDFT.

Since there is generally no concept of wave function in the present relativistic theory, we cannot define coordinate scaling on wave functions, as normally done. Instead, we must

work in Fock space and we thus define an unitary uniform coordinate scaling operator \hat{S}_{γ} which transforms the Dirac field operator as

$$\hat{S}^{\dagger}_{\gamma}\hat{\psi}(\vec{r})\hat{S}_{\gamma} = \gamma^{3/2}\hat{\psi}(\gamma\vec{r}), \qquad (109)$$

where $\gamma \in]0, +\infty[$ is a scaling factor, and similarly for the separate electron and positron field operators in Eq. (137), i.e. $\hat{S}^{\dagger}_{\gamma}\hat{\psi}_{+}(\vec{r})\hat{S}_{\gamma} = \gamma^{3/2}\hat{\psi}_{+}(\gamma\vec{r})$ and $\hat{S}^{\dagger}_{\gamma}\hat{\psi}_{-}(\vec{r})\hat{S}_{\gamma} = \gamma^{3/2}\hat{\psi}_{-}(\gamma\vec{r})$. The one-particle density-matrix and density operators transform as

$$\hat{S}^{\dagger}_{\gamma} \,\,\hat{\mathbf{n}}_1(\vec{r},\vec{r}\,') \,\,\hat{S}_{\gamma} = \gamma^3 \hat{\mathbf{n}}_1(\gamma \vec{r},\gamma \vec{r}\,'), \tag{110}$$

524 and

$$\hat{S}^{\dagger}_{\gamma} \hat{n}(\vec{r}) \ \hat{S}_{\gamma} = \gamma^3 \hat{n}(\gamma \vec{r}), \tag{111}$$

⁵²⁵ while the pair density-matrix operator transforms as

$$\hat{S}^{\dagger}_{\gamma} \,\,\hat{\mathbf{n}}_2(\vec{r_1}, \vec{r_2}) \,\,\hat{S}_{\gamma} = \gamma^6 \,\hat{\mathbf{n}}_2(\gamma \vec{r_1}, \gamma \vec{r_2}). \tag{112}$$

Since the scaling relations involve scaling the speed of light c, we will explicitly indicate in this section the dependence on c. A state $|\Psi^{\lambda,c}[n]\rangle$ in Eq. (82) for any coupling constant λ and speed of light c yields the charge density n and minimizes $\langle \Psi | \hat{T}_{\rm D}^c + \lambda \hat{W} | \Psi \rangle$. The scaled state

$$|\Psi_{\gamma}^{\lambda,c}[n]\rangle = \hat{S}_{\gamma}|\Psi^{\lambda,c}[n]\rangle, \tag{113}$$

⁵³⁰ yields the scaled charge density [see Eq. (111)]

$$n_{\gamma}(\vec{r}) = \gamma^3 n(\gamma \vec{r}), \qquad (114)$$

and minimizes $\langle \Psi | \hat{T}_{\rm D}^{c\gamma} + \lambda \gamma \hat{W} | \Psi \rangle$ since

$$\langle \Psi_{\gamma}^{\lambda,c}[n] | \hat{T}_{\mathrm{D}}^{c\gamma} + \lambda \gamma \hat{W} | \Psi_{\gamma}^{\lambda,c}[n] \rangle = \gamma^2 \langle \Psi^{\lambda,c}[n] | \hat{T}_{\mathrm{D}}^{c} + \lambda \hat{W} | \Psi^{\lambda,c}[n] \rangle, \tag{115}$$

where we have used Eqs. (110) and (112). We thus conclude that the scaled state $|\Psi_{\gamma}^{\lambda,c}[n]\rangle$ at coupling constant λ and speed of light c corresponds to the state at scaled density n_{γ} , scaled coupling constant $\lambda\gamma$, and scaled speed of light $c\gamma$

$$|\Psi_{\gamma}^{\lambda,c}[n]\rangle = |\Psi^{\lambda\gamma,c\gamma}[n_{\gamma}]\rangle, \qquad (116)$$

535 or, equivalently,

$$|\Psi_{\gamma}^{\lambda/\gamma,c/\gamma}[n]\rangle = |\Psi^{\lambda,c}[n_{\gamma}]\rangle, \tag{117}$$

⁵³⁶ and that the universal density functional satisfies the scaling relation

$$F^{\lambda\gamma,c\gamma}[n_{\gamma}] = \gamma^2 F^{\lambda,c}[n], \qquad (118)$$

537 or, equivalently,

$$F^{\lambda,c}[n_{\gamma}] = \gamma^2 F^{\lambda/\gamma,c/\gamma}[n].$$
(119)

538 At $\lambda = 0$, we find the scaling relation of the KS single-determinant state

$$|\Phi_{\gamma}^{c/\gamma}[n]\rangle = |\Phi^{c}[n_{\gamma}]\rangle, \qquad (120)$$

⁵³⁹ which directly leads to the scaling relation for the non-interacting kinetic density functional

$$T_{\rm s}^c[n_\gamma] = \gamma^2 T_{\rm s}^{c/\gamma}[n],\tag{121}$$

⁵⁴⁰ and for the Hartree and exchange density functionals

$$E_{\rm H}^c[n_{\gamma}] = \gamma E_{\rm H}^{c/\gamma}[n] \quad \text{and} \quad E_{\rm x}^c[n_{\gamma}] = \gamma E_{\rm x}^{c/\gamma}[n]. \tag{122}$$

⁵⁴¹ The correlation density functional has the same scaling as $F^{\lambda,c}[n]$

$$E_{\rm c}^{\lambda,c}[n_{\gamma}] = \gamma^2 E_{\rm c}^{\lambda/\gamma,c/\gamma}[n], \qquad (123)$$

⁵⁴² and, in particular, for $\lambda = 1$

$$E_{\rm c}^c[n_{\gamma}] = \gamma^2 E_{\rm c}^{1/\gamma, c/\gamma}[n].$$
(124)

These scaling relations imply that the low-density limit $(\gamma \to 0)$ corresponds to the nonrelativistic limit $(c \to \infty)$, while the high-density limit $(\gamma \to \infty)$ corresponds to the ultra-relativistic limit $(m \to 0$ where m is the electron mass).

In the low-density limit, we indeed recover the well-known behaviors of the nonrelativistic density functionals. After removing the rest-mass energy of N electrons, Nmc^2 , the non-interacting kinetic-energy functional scales quadratically as $\gamma \to 0$

$$T_{\rm s}^c[n_{\gamma}] - Nmc^2 \underset{\gamma \to 0}{\sim} \gamma^2 T_{\rm s}^{\rm NR}[n], \qquad (125)$$

where $T_{\rm s}^{\rm NR}[n] = \lim_{c \to \infty} (T_{\rm s}^{c}[n] - Nmc^{2})$ is the non-relativistic (NR) non-interacting kineticenergy functional. The Hartree and exchange functionals scale linearly as $\gamma \to 0$

$$E_{\rm H}^c[n_{\gamma}] \underset{\gamma \to 0}{\sim} \gamma E_{\rm H}^{\rm NR}[n] \text{ and } E_{\rm x}^c[n_{\gamma}] \underset{\gamma \to 0}{\sim} \gamma E_{\rm x}^{\rm NR}[n],$$
 (126)

where $E_{\rm H}^{\rm NR}[n] = \lim_{c \to \infty} E_{\rm H}^c[n] = E_{\rm H}^{\rm C}[n]$ [Eq. (78)] and $E_{\rm x}^{\rm NR}[n] = \lim_{c \to \infty} E_{\rm x}^c[n]$ are the non-relativistic Hartree and exchange functionals. The correlation functional also scales linearly as $\gamma \to 0$

$$E_{\rm c}^c[n_{\gamma}] \underset{\gamma \to 0}{\sim} \gamma W_{\rm c}^{\rm NR,SCE}[n],$$
 (127)

where $W_{c}^{NR,SCE}[n] = \lim_{\lambda \to \infty} E_{c}^{NR,\lambda}[n]/\lambda$ is the non-relativistic strictly-correlated-electron (SCE) correlation functional [64–67] obtained from the non-relativistic correlation functional along the adiabatic connection $E_{c}^{NR,\lambda}[n] = \lim_{c \to \infty} E_{c}^{c,\lambda}[n]$ [see Eq. (84)] in the limit of infinite coupling constant $\lambda \to \infty$. The low-density limit is also called the stronginteraction limit since in this limit the Hartree, exchange, and correlation energies dominate over the non-interacting kinetic energy.

The high-density limit of the relativistic density functionals is more exotic. In this limit, the rest-mass term in the Dirac operator becomes negligible in comparison to the kinetic term, i.e. $\mathbf{D}^{c/\gamma}(\vec{r}) = (c/\gamma)(\vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{p}) + \beta mc^2/\gamma^2 \sim_{\gamma \to \infty} (c/\gamma)(\vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{p})$, and consequently the non-interacting kinetic-energy functional scales linearly as $\gamma \to \infty$

$$T_{\rm s}^c[n_{\gamma}] \underset{\gamma \to \infty}{\sim} \gamma T_{\rm s}^{c,{\rm UR}}[n],$$
 (128)

where $T_{\rm s}^{c,{\rm UR}}[n] = \lim_{m\to 0} T_{\rm s}^{c}[n]$ is the ultra-relativistic (UR) non-interacting kinetic-energy functional obtained by letting the electron mass going to zero in the Dirac operator. This is in contrast with the quadratic scaling of the non-relativistic kinetic-energy functional,

Submission

i.e. $T_{\rm s}^{\rm NR}[n_{\gamma}] = \gamma^2 T_{\rm s}^{\rm NR}[n]$. The Hartree and exchange functionals also scale linearly as 568 $\gamma \to \infty$

$$E_{\rm H}^c[n_{\gamma}] \underset{\gamma \to \infty}{\sim} \gamma E_{\rm H}^{c,{\rm UR}}[n] \text{ and } E_{\rm x}^c[n_{\gamma}] \underset{\gamma \to \infty}{\sim} \gamma E_{\rm x}^{c,{\rm UR}}[n],$$
 (129)

where $E_{\rm H}^{c,{\rm UR}}[n] = \lim_{m\to 0} E_{\rm H}^{c}[n]$ and $E_{\rm x}^{c,{\rm UR}}[n] = \lim_{m\to 0} E_{\rm x}^{c}[n]$ are the ultra-relativistic Hartree and exchange functionals. This is similar to the linear scaling of the non-relativistic Hartree and exchange functionals $E_{\rm H}^{\rm NR}[n_{\gamma}] = \gamma E_{\rm H}^{\rm NR}[n]$ and $E_{\rm x}^{\rm NR}[n_{\gamma}] = \gamma E_{\rm x}^{\rm NR}[n]$. Finally, the correlation functional scales linearly as $\gamma \to \infty$

$$E_{\rm c}^c[n_{\gamma}] \underset{\gamma \to \infty}{\sim} \gamma E_{\rm c}^{c,{\rm UR}}[n], \tag{130}$$

where $E_{c}^{c,\text{UR}}[n] = \lim_{m \to 0} E_{c}^{c}[n]$ is the ultra-relativistic correlation functional. This is 573 again in contrast with the non-relativistic case where the correlation functional goes 574 to a constant as $\gamma \to \infty$, for a KS Hamiltonian with a non-degenerate ground state, $\lim_{\gamma\to\infty} E_c^{NR}[n_{\gamma}] = E_c^{NR,GL2}[n]$, where $E_c^{NR,GL2}[n]$ is the second-order Görling-Levy (GL2) 575 576 correlation energy [68,69]. Hence, in the relativistic case, the high-density limit is no longer 577 a weak-interaction or weak-correlation limit since $T_{\rm s}^c[n_{\gamma}], E_{\rm H}^c[n_{\gamma}], E_{\rm x}^c[n_{\gamma}]$, and $E_{\rm c}^c[n_{\gamma}]$ all 578 scale linearly in γ . In particular, the divergence of the relativistic correlation functional in 579 the high-density limit has important implications for relativistic functional development. 580 Indeed, many non-relativistic correlation functionals, such as the Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof 581 (PBE) one [70], have been designed to saturate in the high-density limit. Hence, these 582 non-relativistic correlation functionals should be rethought so as to satisfy Eq. (130). 583

The same scaling relations apply in the no-pair approximation, as well as in the npvp variant of Eq. (91). In the configuration-space approach of the no-pair approximation, these scaling relations could be obtained using the minmax principle (see Ref. [52]).

In the non-relativistic theory, the high-density limit is realized in atomic ions in the limit of large nuclear charge, $Z \to \infty$, at fixed electron number N (see Refs. [71,72]). In a relativistic setting, the relation between the high-density limit and the large nuclear-charge limit is more complicated due to the scaling of the speed of light [50]. However, we note that numerical studies show that relativistic no-pair and beyond-no-pair correlation energies (calculated with respect to HF) of two-electron atoms diverge as Z increases [50,73], which is in line with the divergence of $E_c^c[n_{\gamma}]$ as $\gamma \to \infty$ [Eq. (130)].

Finally, for $\gamma = \lambda$, the scaling relation in Eq. (123) gives an expression for the correlation functional along the adiabatic connection at coupling constant λ

$$E_{\rm c}^{\lambda,c}[n] = \lambda^2 E_{\rm c}^{c/\lambda}[n_{1/\lambda}],\tag{131}$$

which could be useful for analyzing approximate correlation functionals and for developing a relativistic extension of the multideterminant KS scheme of Refs. [74,75].

⁵⁹⁸ 3.5 Local-density approximation

The LDA is usually the first approximation considered in DFT. In the present relativistic theory, the LDA exchange-correlation functional may be written as

$$E_{\rm xc}^{\rm LDA}[n] = \int |n(\vec{r})| \epsilon_{\rm xc}^{\rm RHEG}(|n(\vec{r})|) \mathrm{d}\vec{r}, \qquad (132)$$

where $\epsilon_{\rm xc}^{\rm RHEG}(n)$ is the exchange-correlation energy per particle of the relativistic homogeneous electron gas (RHEG) of constant charge density $n \in [0, +\infty]$. To deal with the

possibility of having negative charge densities $n(\vec{r})$ at some points of space in the inhomogeneous system [see discussion in the paragraph after Eq. (55)], we have used the absolute value of the charge density. On the one hand, this permits to satisfy charge-conjugation symmetry [Eqs. (107) and (108)], but, on the other hand, it introduces discontinuities in the corresponding potential at the points of space where $n(\vec{r})$ changes sign. Whether using the absolute value of the charge density is the right thing to do is thus unsure and should be further studied.

Since the RHEG has a spatially constant charge density, its KS potential $v + v_{\text{Hxc}}$ 610 in Eq. (61) must necessarily be a spatial constant as well. Since the KS potential does 611 not depend on spinor indices either (contrary to the HF potential), the KS orbitals of 612 the RHEG are thus simply the eigenfunctions of the free Dirac equation. In other words, 613 due to translational symmetry, the KS vacuum state $|0\rangle$ of the RHEG is equal to the free 614 vacuum state $|0\rangle$. Consequently, the vacuum-polarization one-particle density matrix in 615 Eq. (60) vanishes for the RHEG and the LDA exchange functional does not contain any 616 vacuum-polarization contribution, i.e. $E_{\rm x}^{\rm LDA}[n] = \tilde{E}_{\rm x}^{\rm LDA}[n]$ [Eq. (75)] or $\tilde{E}_{\rm x}^{\rm vp,LDA}[n] = 0$ [Eq. (76)]. Similarly, for the LDA correlation functional, we have $E_{\rm c}^{\rm LDA}[n] = \tilde{E}_{\rm c}^{\rm LDA}[n]$ [Eq. (88)] or $\tilde{E}_{\rm c}^{\rm vp,LDA}[n] = 0$ [Eq. (89)], but $E_{\rm c}^{\rm LDA}[n]$ still contains vacuum contributions via the correlation pair-density matrix $\tilde{\mathbf{n}}_{2,c}^{\lambda}(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_2)$ of the RHEG. 617 618 619 620

Moreover, for the same reason, the KS orbitals of the RHEG obtained in the no-pair approximation [Eq. (95)] are also necessarily the eigenfunctions of the free Dirac equation, and thus the no-pair approximation has no impact on the LDA exchange functional, i.e. $E_{\rm x}^{\rm LDA}[n] = E_{\rm x}^{\rm np,LDA}[n]$. By contrast, the no-pair approximation or its npvp variant [Eq. (92)] do have an impact of the LDA correlation functional, i.e. $E_{\rm c}^{\rm LDA}[n] \neq E_{\rm c}^{\rm npvp,LDA}[n] = E_{\rm c}^{\rm np,LDA}[n]$, since the vacuum contributions are now suppressed from $\mathbf{\hat{n}}_{2,c}^{\lambda}(\vec{r_1},\vec{r_2})$.

The exchange energy per particle of the RHEG for the Coulomb interaction of Eq. (15) is [4,76] (see, also, Ref. [51])

$$\epsilon_{\rm x}^{\rm RHEG,C}(n) = -\frac{3 k_{\rm F}}{4\pi} \left[\frac{5}{6} + \frac{1}{3} \tilde{c}^2 + \frac{2}{3} \sqrt{1 + \tilde{c}^2} \operatorname{arcsinh}\left(\frac{1}{\tilde{c}}\right) - \frac{1}{3} \left(1 + \tilde{c}^2\right)^2 \ln\left(1 + \frac{1}{\tilde{c}^2}\right) - \frac{1}{2} \left(\sqrt{1 + \tilde{c}^2} - \tilde{c}^2 \operatorname{arcsinh}\left(\frac{1}{\tilde{c}}\right)\right)^2 \right],$$
(133)

where $k_{\rm F} = (3\pi^2 n)^{1/3}$ is the Fermi wave vector and $\tilde{c} = mc/k_{\rm F}$ is a relativistic parameter. The exchange energy per particle for the Breit interaction of Eq. (16) has a similar form [77] (see, also, Ref. [51])

$$\epsilon_{\mathbf{x}}^{\mathrm{RHEG,B}}(n) = \frac{3 k_{\mathrm{F}}}{4\pi} \left[1 - 2\left(1 + \tilde{c}^{2}\right) \left(1 - \tilde{c}^{2} \left(-2 \ln\left(\tilde{c}\right) + \ln\left(1 + \tilde{c}^{2}\right)\right) \right) + 2\left(\sqrt{1 + \tilde{c}^{2}} - \tilde{c}^{2} \operatorname{arcsinh}\left(\frac{1}{\tilde{c}}\right) \right)^{2} \right].$$

$$(134)$$

Note that these expressions are valid for an arbitrary speed of light c. The dependence on c via the adimensional parameter \tilde{c} is necessary for the LDA exchange functional to satisfy the scaling relation of Eq. (122). Note that the Breit exchange energy per particle is an approximation to the exchange energy per particle obtained with the transverse component of the full QED photon propagator [3,4,76]. The exchange energy per particle obtained with the full QED photon propagator has in fact a simpler expression than the Coulomb-Breit one, thanks to the cancellation of many terms between the Coulomb and

640 transverse components,

$$\epsilon_{\mathbf{x}}^{\text{QED}}(n) = -\frac{3 k_{\text{F}}}{4\pi} \left[1 - \frac{3}{2} \left(\sqrt{1 + \tilde{c}^2} - \tilde{c}^2 \operatorname{arcsinh}\left(\frac{1}{\tilde{c}}\right) \right)^2 \right].$$
(135)

The Coulomb-Breit exchange energy per particle is a good approximation to the exchange energy per particle obtained with the full QED photon propagator for $k_{\rm F} \lesssim c$ [51]. In any case, the LDA exchange functional corresponding to the present RDFT is given by Eqs. (133) and (134), and not by Eq. (135).

Contrary to the case of exchange, the correlation energy per particle of the RHEG 645 cannot be calculated analytically. It has been estimated numerically at the level of the 646 relativistic random-phase approximation, using either the no-sea approximation (which 647 includes parts of the vacuum contributions) or the no-pair approximation, and the full 648 QED photon propagator or the Coulomb-Breit interaction [78, 79] (see also Refs. [7–9, 14, 649 80–82]). However, to the best of our knowledge, these calculations were done for the fixed 650 physical value of the speed of light. Therefore, we do not have the dependence on c and 651 we cannot apply the scaling relation of Eq. (124) or (131). More work seems necessary to 652 construct the LDA correlation functional including the dependence on c with or without 653 the no-pair approximation. 654

655 4 Conclusions

In this work, we have examined a RDFT based on an effective QED without the photon 656 degrees of freedom. The formalism is appealing since it is simpler than RDFT based on 657 full QED. We have used this formalism to unambiguously define density functionals in the 658 no-pair approximation, thus making a closer contact with calculations done in practice, 659 and to study some exact properties of the involved functionals, namely charge-conjugation 660 symmetry and uniform coordinate scaling. The formalism has also the advantage to be 661 easily extended to multideterminant KS schemes which combine wave-function methods 662 with density functionals based on a decomposition the electron-electron interaction (see, 663 e.g., Refs. [74, 83, 84]). 664

In possible future works on the present RDFT, one may study whether this approach can be made mathematically rigorous, one may develop density-functional approximations for this approach, one may examine the extension to functionals of the charge current density or of the one-particle density matrix, and one may implement this approach for example for calculations of vacuum-polarization effects in heavy atoms. This last goal would require the development of practical regularization/renormalization procedures.

671 Acknowledgements

I thank Christian Brouder, Emmanuel Giner, Mathieu Lewin, Julien Paquier, and Trond
 Saue for discussions and/or comments on the manuscript.

A Charge-conjugation symmetry of the electron-positron Hamiltonian

⁶⁷⁶ Under charge conjugation, the Dirac field operator transforms as (see, e.g., Refs. [9,43,45, ⁶⁷⁷ 85])

$$\hat{C}\hat{\psi}(\vec{r})\hat{C}^{\dagger} = \mathbf{C}\hat{\psi}^{\dagger \mathrm{T}}(\vec{r}), \qquad (136)$$

with the unitary charge-conjugation symmetry operator in Fock space \hat{C} , the unitary matrix $\mathbf{C} = -i\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{y}\boldsymbol{\beta}$ defined up to an unimportant phase factor, and ^T designating the matrix transposition. If we decompose the Dirac field operator into free electron and positron field contributions

$$\hat{\psi}(\vec{r}) = \hat{\psi}_{+}(\vec{r}) + \hat{\psi}_{-}(\vec{r}),$$
(137)

with $\hat{\psi}_{+}(\vec{r}) = \sum_{p \in \mathrm{PS}} \hat{b}_{p} \psi_{p}(\vec{r})$ and $\hat{\psi}_{-}(\vec{r}) = \sum_{p \in \mathrm{NS}} \hat{d}_{p}^{\dagger} \psi_{p}(\vec{r})$ in which $\{\psi_{p}\}$ is the set of eigenfunctions of the free Dirac equation, then charge conjugation interchanges these contributions as

$$\hat{C}\hat{\psi}_{+}(\vec{r})\hat{C}^{\dagger} = \mathbf{C}\hat{\psi}_{-}^{\dagger \mathrm{T}}(\vec{r}), \qquad (138)$$

685

$$\hat{C}\hat{\psi}_{-}(\vec{r})\hat{C}^{\dagger} = \mathbf{C}\hat{\psi}_{+}^{\dagger \mathrm{T}}(\vec{r}), \qquad (139)$$

or, writing explicitly the spinor components, $\hat{C}\hat{\psi}_{+,\sigma}(\vec{r})\hat{C}^{\dagger} = \sum_{\sigma'} C_{\sigma\sigma'}\hat{\psi}^{\dagger}_{-,\sigma'}(\vec{r})$ and

⁶⁸⁷ $\hat{C}\hat{\psi}_{-,\sigma}(\vec{r})\hat{C}^{\dagger} = \sum_{\sigma'} C_{\sigma\sigma'}\hat{\psi}^{\dagger}_{+,\sigma'}(\vec{r})$. Let us stress that Eqs. (138) and (139) are only valid ⁶⁸⁸ when using the orbitals of the free Dirac equation $\{\psi_p\}$ and not arbitrary orbitals $\{\tilde{\psi}_p\}$. ⁶⁸⁹ These equations allow us to find the transformation under charge conjugation of the ⁶⁹⁰ electron-positron Hamiltonian in Eq. (7) expressed with normal ordering with respect ⁶⁹¹ to the free vacuum state.

In terms of the free electron and positron field operators, the one-particle densitymatrix operator in Eq. (11) has the expression

$$\hat{n}_{1,\rho\sigma}(\vec{r},\vec{r}') = \hat{\psi}^{\dagger}_{+,\sigma}(\vec{r}')\hat{\psi}_{+,\rho}(\vec{r}) + \hat{\psi}^{\dagger}_{+,\sigma}(\vec{r}')\hat{\psi}_{-,\rho}(\vec{r}) + \hat{\psi}^{\dagger}_{-,\sigma}(\vec{r}')\hat{\psi}_{+,\rho}(\vec{r}) - \hat{\psi}_{-,\rho}(\vec{r})\hat{\psi}^{\dagger}_{-,\sigma}(\vec{r}'),$$
(140)

⁶⁹⁴ which becomes under charge conjugation

$$\hat{C}\hat{n}_{1,\rho\sigma}(\vec{r},\vec{r}')\hat{C}^{\dagger} = \sum_{\rho'\sigma'} C_{\rho\rho'}[\hat{\psi}_{-,\sigma'}(\vec{r}')\hat{\psi}_{-,\rho'}^{\dagger}(\vec{r}) + \hat{\psi}_{-,\sigma'}(\vec{r}')\hat{\psi}_{+,\rho'}^{\dagger}(\vec{r}) \\
+ \hat{\psi}_{+,\sigma'}(\vec{r}')\hat{\psi}_{-,\rho'}^{\dagger}(\vec{r}) - \hat{\psi}_{+,\rho'}^{\dagger}(\vec{r})\hat{\psi}_{+,\sigma'}(\vec{r}')]C_{\sigma'\sigma}^{\dagger} \\
= -\sum_{\rho'\sigma'} C_{\rho\rho'}\hat{n}_{1,\sigma'\rho'}(\vec{r}',\vec{r})C_{\sigma'\sigma}^{\dagger},$$
(141)

695 or, in matrix form,

$$\hat{C}\hat{\mathbf{n}}_{1}(\vec{r},\vec{r}')\hat{C}^{\dagger} = -\mathbf{C}\hat{\mathbf{n}}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}}(\vec{r}',\vec{r})\mathbf{C}^{\dagger}.$$
(142)

⁶⁹⁶ From this, we deduce that the Dirac kinetic + rest mass operator $\hat{T}_{\rm D}$ in Eq. (8) is symmetric ⁶⁹⁷ under charge conjugation

$$\hat{C}\hat{T}_{\mathrm{D}}\hat{C}^{\dagger} = -\int \mathrm{Tr}[\mathbf{D}(\vec{r})\mathbf{C}\hat{\mathbf{n}}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}}(\vec{r}\,',\vec{r})\mathbf{C}^{\dagger}]_{\vec{r}\,'=\vec{r}}\,\mathrm{d}\vec{r}$$

$$= -\int \mathrm{Tr}[\mathbf{C}^{\dagger}\mathbf{D}(\vec{r})\mathbf{C}\hat{\mathbf{n}}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}}(\vec{r}\,',\vec{r})]_{\vec{r}\,'=\vec{r}}\,\mathrm{d}\vec{r}$$

$$= \int \mathrm{Tr}[\mathbf{D}(\vec{r})\hat{\mathbf{n}}_{1}(\vec{r},\vec{r}\,')]_{\vec{r}\,'=\vec{r}}\,\mathrm{d}\vec{r}$$

$$= \hat{T}_{\mathrm{D}}, \qquad (143)$$

where we have used $\mathbf{C}^{\dagger}\mathbf{D}(\vec{r})\mathbf{C} = -\mathbf{D}^{*}(\vec{r}) = -c \ (\vec{\alpha}^{*} \cdot \vec{p}^{*}) - \beta \ mc^{2}$ and the third equality in Eq. (143) comes from the hermiticity of $\vec{\alpha}$, i.e. $\vec{\alpha}^{*} = \vec{\alpha}^{T}$, and the self-adjointness of \vec{p} . Moreover, from Eq. (142), we find the expected antisymmetry of the opposite charge density operator under charge conjugation

$$\hat{C}\hat{n}(\vec{r})\hat{C}^{\dagger} = -\hat{n}(\vec{r}), \qquad (144)$$

which immediately shows that the external potential operator \hat{V} in Eq. (10) is also antisymmetric

$$\hat{C}\hat{V}\hat{C}^{\dagger} = -\hat{V}. \tag{145}$$

A similar calculation gives the transformation of the pair density-matrix operator in Eq. (12) under charge conjugation

$$\hat{C}\hat{n}_{2,\rho\nu\sigma\tau}(\vec{r}_1,\vec{r}_2)\hat{C}^{\dagger} = \sum_{\rho'\nu'\tau'\sigma'} C_{\rho\rho'}C_{\nu\nu'}\hat{n}_{2,\tau'\sigma'\nu'\rho'}(\vec{r}_2,\vec{r}_1)C_{\tau'\tau}^{\dagger}C_{\sigma'\sigma}^{\dagger},$$
(146)

706 or, in matrix notation,

$$\hat{C}\hat{\mathbf{n}}_{2}(\vec{r}_{1},\vec{r}_{2})\hat{C}^{\dagger} = (\mathbf{C}\otimes\mathbf{C})\hat{\mathbf{n}}_{2}^{\mathrm{T}}(\vec{r}_{2},\vec{r}_{1})(\mathbf{C}\otimes\mathbf{C})^{\dagger}, \qquad (147)$$

where \otimes is the matrix tensor product. This shows that the two-particle interaction operator \hat{W} in Eq. (10) is symmetric under charge conjugation

$$\hat{C}\hat{W}\hat{C}^{\dagger} = \frac{1}{2}\iint \operatorname{Tr}[\mathbf{w}(\vec{r}_{1},\vec{r}_{2})(\mathbf{C}\otimes\mathbf{C})\hat{\mathbf{n}}_{2}^{\mathrm{T}}(\vec{r}_{2},\vec{r}_{1})(\mathbf{C}\otimes\mathbf{C})^{\dagger}]d\vec{r}_{1}d\vec{r}_{2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}\iint \operatorname{Tr}[(\mathbf{C}\otimes\mathbf{C})^{\dagger}\mathbf{w}(\vec{r}_{1},\vec{r}_{2})(\mathbf{C}\otimes\mathbf{C})\hat{\mathbf{n}}_{2}^{\mathrm{T}}(\vec{r}_{2},\vec{r}_{1})]d\vec{r}_{1}d\vec{r}_{2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}\iint \operatorname{Tr}[\mathbf{w}(\vec{r}_{1},\vec{r}_{2})\hat{\mathbf{n}}_{2}(\vec{r}_{2},\vec{r}_{1})]d\vec{r}_{1}d\vec{r}_{2}$$

$$= \hat{W}, \qquad (148)$$

where we have used $(\mathbf{C} \otimes \mathbf{C})^{\dagger} \mathbf{w}(\vec{r_1}, \vec{r_2}) (\mathbf{C} \otimes \mathbf{C}) = \mathbf{w}(\vec{r_1}, \vec{r_2}) = \mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}}(\vec{r_1}, \vec{r_2})$ and $\mathbf{w}(\vec{r_1}, \vec{r_2}) = \mathbf{w}(\vec{r_2}, \vec{r_1})$.

In conclusion, we thus have found the expected transformation of the electron-positron
 Hamiltonian under charge conjugation

$$\hat{C}\hat{H}[v]\hat{C}^{\dagger} = \hat{H}[-v]. \tag{149}$$

⁷¹³ B Alternative definition of the electron-positron Hamilto ⁷¹⁴ nian

As an alternative to the definition of the electron-positron Hamiltonian based on normal ordering with respect to the free vacuum state in Eq. (7), an electron-positron Hamiltonian based on commutators and anticommutators (which we indicate by using the superscript c) of Dirac field operators can be defined as

$$\hat{H}^{c} = \hat{T}^{c}_{D} + \hat{W}^{c} + \hat{V}^{c}, \qquad (150)$$

719 with

$$\hat{T}_{\rm D}^{\rm c} = \int \text{Tr}[\mathbf{D}(\vec{r})\hat{\mathbf{n}}_{1}^{\rm c}(\vec{r},\vec{r}')]_{\vec{r}'=\vec{r}} \,\mathrm{d}\vec{r}, \qquad (151)$$

720 and

$$\hat{W}^{c} = \frac{1}{2} \iint \operatorname{Tr}[\mathbf{w}(\vec{r}_{1}, \vec{r}_{2}) \hat{\mathbf{n}}_{2}^{c}(\vec{r}_{1}, \vec{r}_{2})] \mathrm{d}\vec{r}_{1} \mathrm{d}\vec{r}_{2}, \qquad (152)$$

721 and

$$\hat{V}^{c} = \int v(\vec{r})\hat{n}^{c}(\vec{r}) \,\mathrm{d}\vec{r}.$$
(153)

In these expressions, $\hat{\mathbf{n}}_1^c(\vec{r},\vec{r}')$ is an one-particle density matrix operator defined as a commutator of Dirac field operators

$$\hat{n}_{1,\rho\sigma}^{c}(\vec{r},\vec{r}') = \frac{1}{2} \left[\hat{\psi}_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(\vec{r}') , \, \hat{\psi}_{\rho}(\vec{r}) \, \right], \tag{154}$$

⁷²⁴ $\hat{n}^{c}(\vec{r}) = \text{Tr}[\hat{\mathbf{n}}_{1}^{c}(\vec{r},\vec{r})]$ is the associated opposite charge density operator, and similarly ⁷²⁵ $\hat{\mathbf{n}}_{2}^{c}(\vec{r}_{1},\vec{r}_{2})$ is a pair density-matrix operator defined as an anticommutator of products ⁷²⁶ of Dirac field operators

$$\hat{n}_{2,\rho\nu\sigma\tau}^{c}(\vec{r}_{1},\vec{r}_{2}) = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \hat{\psi}_{\tau}^{\dagger}(\vec{r}_{2})\hat{\psi}_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(\vec{r}_{1}), \, \hat{\psi}_{\rho}(\vec{r}_{1})\hat{\psi}_{\upsilon}(\vec{r}_{2}) \right\}.$$
(155)

⁷²⁷ Whereas the commutator form in Eq. (154) is well known in the literature (see, e.g., ⁷²⁸ Refs. [9,25]), the anticommutator form in Eq. (155) is, to the best of our knowledge, original ⁷²⁹ to the present work. The commutator and the anticommutator in these definitions impose ⁷³⁰ the correct transformation under charge conjugation without having to use normal ordering ⁷³¹ with respect to the free vacuum state. Indeed, using Eq. (136), it is straightforward to see ⁷³² that $\hat{\mathbf{n}}_{1}^{c}(\vec{r},\vec{r}')$ correctly transforms as in Eq. (142)

$$\hat{C}\hat{\mathbf{n}}_{1}^{c}(\vec{r},\vec{r}')\hat{C}^{\dagger} = -\mathbf{C}\hat{\mathbf{n}}_{1}^{cT}(\vec{r}',\vec{r})\mathbf{C}^{\dagger}, \qquad (156)$$

and, similarly, $\hat{\mathbf{n}}_2^c(\vec{r_1}, \vec{r_2})$ correctly transforms as in Eq. (147)

$$\hat{C}\hat{\mathbf{n}}_{2}^{c}(\vec{r}_{1},\vec{r}_{2})\hat{C}^{\dagger} = (\mathbf{C}\otimes\mathbf{C})\hat{\mathbf{n}}_{2}^{cT}(\vec{r}_{2},\vec{r}_{1})(\mathbf{C}\otimes\mathbf{C})^{\dagger}.$$
(157)

Using Wick's theorem, we can express $\hat{\mathbf{n}}_1^c(\vec{r},\vec{r}')$ in terms of the one-particle density-matrix operator $\hat{\mathbf{n}}_1(\vec{r},\vec{r}')$ defined with normal ordering with respect to the alternative no-particle vacuum state $|\tilde{0}\rangle$ in Eq. (27)

$$\hat{n}_{1,\rho\sigma}^{c}(\vec{r},\vec{r}') = \hat{\tilde{n}}_{1,\rho\sigma}(\vec{r},\vec{r}') + \tilde{n}_{1,\rho\sigma}^{c,vp}(\vec{r},\vec{r}'), \qquad (158)$$

⁷³⁷ with the associated vacuum-polarization one-particle density matrix

$$\tilde{n}_{1,\rho\sigma}^{c,vp}(\vec{r},\vec{r}') = \langle \tilde{0} | \hat{n}_{1,\rho\sigma}^{c}(\vec{r},\vec{r}') | \tilde{0} \rangle
= \frac{1}{2} \Big(\langle \tilde{0} | \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(\vec{r}') \hat{\psi}_{\rho}(\vec{r}) | \tilde{0} \rangle - \langle \tilde{0} | \hat{\psi}_{\rho}(\vec{r}) \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(\vec{r}') | \tilde{0} \rangle \Big)
= \frac{1}{2} \Big(\sum_{p \in \mathrm{NS}} \tilde{\psi}_{p,\sigma}^{*}(\vec{r}') \tilde{\psi}_{p,\rho}(\vec{r}) - \sum_{p \in \mathrm{PS}} \tilde{\psi}_{p,\sigma}^{*}(\vec{r}') \tilde{\psi}_{p,\rho}(\vec{r}) \Big).$$
(159)

Similarly, we can express $\hat{\mathbf{n}}_2^c(\vec{r_1}, \vec{r_2})$ in terms of the pair density-matrix operator $\hat{\tilde{\mathbf{n}}}_2(\vec{r_1}, \vec{r_2})$ defined with normal ordering with respect to the vacuum state $|\tilde{0}\rangle$ in Eq. (28)

$$\hat{n}_{2,\rho\nu\sigma\tau}^{c}(\vec{r}_{1},\vec{r}_{2}) = \hat{n}_{2,\rho\nu\sigma\tau}(\vec{r}_{1},\vec{r}_{2}) + \tilde{n}_{1,\nu\tau}^{c,vp}(\vec{r}_{2},\vec{r}_{2})\hat{n}_{1,\rho\sigma}(\vec{r}_{1},\vec{r}_{1}) + \tilde{n}_{1,\rho\sigma}^{c,vp}(\vec{r}_{1},\vec{r}_{1})\hat{n}_{1,\nu\tau}(\vec{r}_{2},\vec{r}_{2})
- \tilde{n}_{1,\nu\sigma}^{c,vp}(\vec{r}_{2},\vec{r}_{1})\hat{n}_{1,\rho\tau}(\vec{r}_{1},\vec{r}_{2}) - \tilde{n}_{1,\rho\tau}^{c,vp}(\vec{r}_{1},\vec{r}_{2})\hat{n}_{1,\nu\sigma}(\vec{r}_{2},\vec{r}_{1}) + \tilde{n}_{2,\rho\nu\sigma\tau}^{c,vp}(\vec{r}_{1},\vec{r}_{2}),$$
(160)

⁷⁴⁰ with the associated vacuum-polarization pair density matrix

$$\begin{split} \tilde{n}_{2,\rho\upsilon\sigma\tau}^{c,vp}(\vec{r}_{1},\vec{r}_{2}) &= \langle \tilde{0} | \hat{n}_{2,\rho\upsilon\sigma\tau}^{c}(\vec{r}_{1},\vec{r}_{2}) | \tilde{0} \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \Big(\langle \tilde{0} | \hat{\psi}_{\tau}^{\dagger}(\vec{r}_{2}) \hat{\psi}_{\upsilon}(\vec{r}_{2}) | \tilde{0} \rangle \langle \tilde{0} | \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(\vec{r}_{1}) \hat{\psi}_{\rho}(\vec{r}_{1}) | \tilde{0} \rangle - \langle \tilde{0} | \hat{\psi}_{\tau}^{\dagger}(\vec{r}_{2}) \hat{\psi}_{\rho}(\vec{r}_{1}) | \tilde{0} \rangle \langle \tilde{0} | \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(\vec{r}_{1}) \hat{\psi}_{\upsilon}(\vec{r}_{2}) | \tilde{0} \rangle \\ &+ \langle \tilde{0} | \hat{\psi}_{\upsilon}(\vec{r}_{2}) \hat{\psi}_{\tau}^{\dagger}(\vec{r}_{2}) | \tilde{0} \rangle \langle \tilde{0} | \hat{\psi}_{\rho}(\vec{r}_{1}) \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(\vec{r}_{1}) | \tilde{0} \rangle - \langle \tilde{0} | \hat{\psi}_{\rho}(\vec{r}_{1}) \hat{\psi}_{\tau}^{\dagger}(\vec{r}_{2}) | \tilde{0} \rangle \langle \tilde{0} | \hat{\psi}_{\upsilon}(\vec{r}_{2}) \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(\vec{r}_{1}) | \tilde{0} \rangle \Big) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \Biggl(\sum_{p,q \in \mathrm{NS}} \tilde{\psi}_{p,\tau}^{*}(\vec{r}_{2}) \tilde{\psi}_{p,\upsilon}(\vec{r}_{2}) \tilde{\psi}_{q,\sigma}^{*}(\vec{r}_{1}) \tilde{\psi}_{q,\rho}(\vec{r}_{1}) - \sum_{p,q \in \mathrm{NS}} \tilde{\psi}_{p,\tau}^{*}(\vec{r}_{2}) \tilde{\psi}_{p,\rho}(\vec{r}_{1}) \tilde{\psi}_{q,\sigma}(\vec{r}_{1}) \tilde{\psi}_{q,\upsilon}(\vec{r}_{2}) \\ &+ \sum_{p,q \in \mathrm{PS}} \tilde{\psi}_{p,\tau}^{*}(\vec{r}_{2}) \tilde{\psi}_{p,\upsilon}(\vec{r}_{2}) \tilde{\psi}_{q,\sigma}^{*}(\vec{r}_{1}) \tilde{\psi}_{q,\rho}(\vec{r}_{1}) - \sum_{p,q \in \mathrm{PS}} \tilde{\psi}_{p,\tau}^{*}(\vec{r}_{2}) \tilde{\psi}_{p,\rho}(\vec{r}_{1}) \tilde{\psi}_{q,\sigma}(\vec{r}_{1}) \tilde{\psi}_{q,\upsilon}(\vec{r}_{2}) \Biggr) \Biggr). \end{split}$$
(161)

Similarly to what was done in Eq. (33), the electron-positron Hamiltonian in Eq. (150)
 can then be rewritten as

$$\hat{H}^{c} = \hat{\tilde{T}}_{D} + \hat{\tilde{W}} + \hat{\tilde{V}} + \hat{\tilde{V}}^{vp} + \tilde{E}_{0}^{c}, \qquad (162)$$

where \hat{T}_D , $\hat{\tilde{W}}$, and $\hat{\tilde{V}}$ have been already defined in Eqs. (34)-(36), and $\hat{\tilde{V}}^{vp}$ and \tilde{E}_0^c are the vacuum-polarization potential and no-particle vacuum energy associated with this Hamiltonian. Similarly to Eq. (38), the vacuum-polarization potential can be written as

$$\hat{\tilde{V}}^{\rm vp} = \hat{\tilde{V}}_{\rm d}^{\rm vp} + \hat{\tilde{V}}_{\rm x}^{\rm vp},\tag{163}$$

746 with a direct contribution

$$\hat{\tilde{V}}_{d}^{vp} = \int \text{Tr}[\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{d}^{c,vp}(\vec{r}_{1})\hat{\tilde{\mathbf{n}}}(\vec{r}_{1})]d\vec{r}_{1}, \qquad (164)$$

where $\tilde{v}_{d,\sigma\rho}^{c,vp}(\vec{r}_1) = \sum_{\tau \upsilon} \int w_{\sigma\tau\rho\upsilon}(\vec{r}_1,\vec{r}_2)\tilde{n}_{\upsilon\tau}^{c,vp}(\vec{r}_2) d\vec{r}_2$ and $\tilde{n}_{\upsilon\tau}^{c,vp}(\vec{r}_2) = \tilde{n}_{1,\upsilon\tau}^{c,vp}(\vec{r}_2,\vec{r}_2)$, and an exchange contribution

$$\hat{\tilde{V}}_{x}^{vp} = \iint \operatorname{Tr}[\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{x}^{c,vp}(\vec{r}_{1},\vec{r}_{2})\hat{\tilde{\mathbf{n}}}_{1}(\vec{r}_{1},\vec{r}_{2})]\mathrm{d}\vec{r}_{1}\mathrm{d}\vec{r}_{2},\tag{165}$$

where $\tilde{v}_{x,\tau\rho}^{c,vp}(\vec{r}_1,\vec{r}_2) = -\sum_{\sigma\nu} w_{\sigma\tau\rho\nu}(\vec{r}_1,\vec{r}_2)\tilde{n}_{1,\nu\sigma}^{c,vp}(\vec{r}_2,\vec{r}_1)$. Finally, the associated no-particle vacuum energy can be written as

$$\tilde{E}_{0}^{c} = \langle \tilde{0} | \hat{H}^{c} | \tilde{0} \rangle
= \int \text{Tr}[\mathbf{D}(\vec{r}) \tilde{\mathbf{n}}_{1}^{c, \text{vp}}(\vec{r}, \vec{r}')]_{\vec{r}' = \vec{r}} \, \mathrm{d}\vec{r} + \int v(\vec{r}) \tilde{n}^{c, \text{vp}}(\vec{r}) \, \mathrm{d}\vec{r}
+ \frac{1}{2} \iint \text{Tr}[\mathbf{w}(\vec{r}_{1}, \vec{r}_{2}) \tilde{\mathbf{n}}_{2}^{c, \text{vp}}(\vec{r}_{1}, \vec{r}_{2})] \mathrm{d}\vec{r}_{1} \mathrm{d}\vec{r}_{2}.$$
(166)

As suggested by the fact that we used the same notation, it turns out that both the direct and exchange contributions to the vacuum-polarization potential in Eq. (163) are identical to the ones introduced in Eq. (38). This can be shown as follows. First, using the fact that the orbital rotation in Eq. (24) leaves invariant the following sum over orbitals

$$\sum_{p \in \mathrm{PS}} \tilde{\psi}_{p,\sigma}^*(\vec{r}\,') \tilde{\psi}_{p,\rho}(\vec{r}\,) + \sum_{p \in \mathrm{NS}} \tilde{\psi}_{p,\sigma}^*(\vec{r}\,') \tilde{\psi}_{p,\rho}(\vec{r}\,) = \sum_{p \in \mathrm{PS}} \psi_{p,\sigma}^*(\vec{r}\,') \psi_{p,\rho}(\vec{r}\,) + \sum_{p \in \mathrm{NS}} \psi_{p,\sigma}^*(\vec{r}\,') \psi_{p,\rho}(\vec{r}\,),$$
(167)

the vacuum-polarization one-particle density matrix in Eq. (159) can be expressed in terms of the vacuum-polarization one-particle density matrix introduced in Eq. (31) as

$$\tilde{n}_{1,\rho\sigma}^{c,vp}(\vec{r},\vec{r}\,') = \tilde{n}_{1,\rho\sigma}^{vp}(\vec{r},\vec{r}\,') + n_{1,\rho\sigma}^{c,vp}(\vec{r},\vec{r}\,'), \tag{168}$$

757 where we have introduced

$$n_{1,\rho\sigma}^{c,vp}(\vec{r},\vec{r}') = \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{p \in NS} \psi_{p,\sigma}^*(\vec{r}') \psi_{p,\rho}(\vec{r}) - \sum_{p \in PS} \psi_{p,\sigma}^*(\vec{r}') \psi_{p,\rho}(\vec{r}) \right),$$
(169)

which is the vacuum-polarization one-particle density matrix associated with the operator in Eq. (154) but over the free vacuum state, i.e. $\mathbf{n}_1^{c,vp}(\vec{r},\vec{r}') = \langle 0|\hat{\mathbf{n}}_1^c(\vec{r},\vec{r}')|0\rangle$. Using charge-conjugation symmetry on the set of eigenfunctions $\{\psi_p\}$ of the free Dirac equation, we have

$$n_{1,\rho\sigma}^{c,vp}(\vec{r},\vec{r}') = \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{p \in NS} \psi_{p,\sigma}^{*}(\vec{r}')\psi_{p,\rho}(\vec{r}) - \sum_{p \in NS} \sum_{\rho'\sigma'} C_{\rho\rho'}\psi_{p,\sigma'}(\vec{r}')\psi_{p,\rho'}^{*}(\vec{r})C_{\sigma'\sigma}^{\dagger} \right), (170)$$

762 or, in matrix form,

$$\mathbf{n}_{1}^{c,vp}(\vec{r},\vec{r}') = \mathbf{n}_{1,-}^{c,vp}(\vec{r},\vec{r}') - \mathbf{C}\mathbf{n}_{1,-}^{c,vp\,T}(\vec{r}',\vec{r})\mathbf{C}^{\dagger},$$
(171)

where $n_{1,-,\rho\sigma}^{c,vp}(\vec{r},\vec{r}') = (1/2) \sum_{p \in NS} \psi_{p,\sigma}^*(\vec{r}') \psi_{p,\rho}(\vec{r})$. We then immediately see that the density associated with $\mathbf{n}_1^{c,vp}(\vec{r},\vec{r}')$ vanishes

$$n^{c,vp}(\vec{r}) = Tr[\mathbf{n}_1^{c,vp}(\vec{r},\vec{r})] = 0,$$
 (172)

i.e., the free electron vacuum density and the free positron vacuum density are identical, as already known [25, 56]. Now, using $\mathbf{C}^{\dagger} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \mathbf{C} = \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{\mathrm{T}}$, it can be checked that

$$\sum_{\tau \upsilon} w_{\sigma \tau \rho \upsilon}(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_2) n_{\upsilon \tau}^{c, vp}(\vec{r}_2) = 0, \qquad (173)$$

and therefore the contribution of $\mathbf{n}_{1}^{c,vp}(\vec{r},\vec{r}')$ to the direct vacuum-polarization potential in Eq. (164) vanishes. Finally, even tough $\hat{\mathbf{n}}_{1}(\vec{r}_{1},\vec{r}_{2})$ does not satisfy charge-conjugation symmetry in the sense of Eq. (142), it does satisfy the following relation

$$\hat{\tilde{\mathbf{n}}}_1(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_2) = \mathbf{C}\hat{\tilde{\mathbf{n}}}_1^{\mathrm{T}}(\vec{r}_2, \vec{r}_1)\mathbf{C}^{\dagger}, \qquad (174)$$

and, together with the symmetry properties of $w_{\sigma\tau\rho\nu}(\vec{r_1},\vec{r_2})$, it can be used to check that

$$\iint \sum_{\tau \rho \sigma \upsilon} w_{\sigma \tau \rho \upsilon}(\vec{r_1}, \vec{r_2}) n_{1, \upsilon \sigma}^{c, vp}(\vec{r_2}, \vec{r_1}) \hat{\tilde{n}}_{1, \rho \tau}(\vec{r_1}, \vec{r_2}) d\vec{r_1} d\vec{r_2} = 0,$$
(175)

and therefore the contribution of $\mathbf{n}_{1}^{c,vp}(\vec{r},\vec{r}')$ to the exchange vacuum-polarization potential in Eq. (165) vanishes as well. This establishes the equivalence between the vacuumpolarization potential in Eq. (38) and in Eq. (163).

The no-particle vacuum energies E_0 in Eq. (41) and E_0^c in Eq. (166) are different 774 however. In particular, in comparison to the situation for E_0 discussed after Eq. (41), 775 the UV divergences are more serious for \tilde{E}_0^c since the sums in Eq. (166) tend to give 776 cumulative negative energies rather than cancelling energies. For this reason, it might 777 be preferable to work with the electron-positron Hamiltonian \hat{H} in Eq. (7). The form 778 of the electron-positron Hamiltonian \hat{H}^{c} in Eq. (150) remains useful however to establish 779 links with the literature. In particular, by writing explicitly \hat{H}^{c} in Eq. (162) in terms of 780 elementary creation and annihilation operators corresponding to the orbital basis $\{\tilde{\psi}_{p}\}$, 781 and after removing the vacuum energy E_0^c , it can be checked that one exactly recovers the 782 effective QED (eQED) Hamiltonian of Refs. [25, 41–45]. So we have 783

$$\hat{H}_{eQED} = \hat{H}^{c} - \tilde{E}_{0}^{c} = \hat{H} - \tilde{E}_{0},$$
(176)

where \hat{H}_{eQED} is the Hamiltonian in Eq. (46) of Ref. [25]. Whereas this eQED Hamiltonian was obtained in Ref. [25] via a "charge-conjugated contraction" of the fermion operators, here it is obtained via the commutator and anticommutator in Eqs. (154) and (155), or equivalently via the normal ordering with respect to the free vacuum state in Eqs. (11) and (12).

789 **References**

- [1] P. Hohenberg and W. Kohn, *Inhomogeneous electron gas*, Phys. Rev. **136**, B 864 (1964), doi:10.1103/PhysRev.136.B864.
- [2] A. K. Rajagopal and J. Callaway, *Inhomogeneous electron gas*, Phys. Rev. B 7, 1912 (1973), doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.7.1912.
- [3] A. K. Rajagopal, Inhomogeneous relativistic electron gas, J. Phys. C 11, L943 (1978),
 doi:10.1088/0022-3719/11/24/002.
- [4] A. H. MacDonald and S. H. Vosko, A relativistic density functional formalism, J.
 Phys. C 12, 2977 (1979), doi:10.1088/0022-3719/12/15/007.
- [5] H. Eschrig, G. Seifert and P. Ziesche, *Current density functional theory of quantum electrodynamics*, Solid State Commun. 56, 777 (1985), doi:10.1016/0038-1098(85)90307-2.
- [6] R. M. Dreizler and E. K. U. Gross, *Density Functional Theory*, Springer-Verlag,
 Berlin, doi:10.1007/978-3-642-86105-5 (1990).
- [7] E. Engel, H. Müller, C. Speicher and R. M. Dreizler, *Density functional aspects* of relativistic field theories, In E. K. U. Gross and R. M. Dreizler, eds., *Density Functional Theory, Vol. 337 of NATO ASI Series B*, p. 65. Plenum, New York, doi:10.1007/978-1-4757-9975-0 (1995).

[8] E. Engel and R. M. Dreizler, *Relativistic density functional theory*, In R. F. Nale-807 wajski, ed., Density Functional Theory II, Vol. 181 of Topics in Current Chemistry, 808 p. 1. Springer, Berlin, doi:10.1007/BFb0016642 (1996). 809

- [9] E. Engel, Relativistic density functional theory: Foundations and basic formalism. 810 In P. Schwerdtfeger, ed., Relativistic Electronic Structure Theory, Part 1: Funda-811 mentals, Theoretical and Computational Chemistry, Vol. 11, pp. 523–621. Elsevier, 812 Amsterdam, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S1380-7323(02)80036-X (2002). 813
- [10] E. Engel and R. M. Dreizler, Density Functional Theory: An Advanced 814 *Course*, Theoretical and Mathematical Physics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 815 doi:10.1007/978-3-642-14090-7 (2011). 816
- [11] H. Eschrig, The Fundamentals of Density Functional Theory: 2nd Edition (revised 817 and extended), Edition am Gutenbergplatz, Leipzig, doi:10.1007/978-3-322-97620-8 818 (2003).819
- Ρ. [12] H. V. D. Servedio. Eschrig and Relativistic density func-820 approach openshells, J. Comput. Chem. **20**, 23(1999).tional to821 doi:10.1002/(SICI)1096-987X(19990115)20:1<23::AID-JCC5>3.0.CO;2-N. 822
- [13] T. Ohsaku, S. Yamanaka, D. Yamaki and K. Yamaguchi, *Quantum electrodynamical* 823 density-matrix functional theory and group theoretical consideration of its solution. 824 Int. J. Quantum Chem. 90, 273 (2002), doi:10.1002/gua.940. 825
- [14] E. Engel, S. Keller, A. Facco Bonetti, H. Müller, and R. M. Dreizler, Lo-826 cal and nonlocal relativistic exchange-correlation energy functionals: Comparison 827 to relativistic optimized-potential-model results, Phys. Rev. A 52, 2750 (1995), 828 doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.52.2750. 829
- [15] W. Liu, G. Hong, D. Dai, L. Li and M. Dolg, The Beijing four-component density 830 functional program package (BDF) and its application to EuO, EuS, YbO and YbS, 831 Theor. Chem. Acc. 96, 75 (1997), doi:10.1007/s002140050207. 832
- [16] S. Varga, B. Fricke, H. Nakamatsu, T. Mukoyama, J. Anton, D. Geschke, A. Heit-833 mann, E. Engel and T. Bacstuug, Four-component relativistic density functional 834 calculations of heavy diatomic molecules, J. Chem. Phys. **112**, 3499 (2000), 835 doi:10.1063/1.480934. 836
- [17] T. Yanai, H. Iikura, T. Nakajima, Y. Ischikawa and K. Hirao, A new implementation 837 of four-component relativistic density functional method for heavy-atom polyatomic 838 systems, J. Chem. Phys. 115, 8267 (2001), doi:10.1063/1.1412252. 839
- [18] T. Saue and T. Helgaker, Four-component relativistic Kohn-Sham theory, J. Comput. 840 Chem. 23, 814 (2002), doi:10.1002/jcc.10066. 841
- [19] H. M. Quiney and P. Belanzoni, Relativistic density functional theory using Gaussian 842 basis sets, J. Chem. Phys. 117, 5550 (2002), doi:10.1063/1.1502245. 843
- [20] S. Komorovský, Michal Repiský, O. L. Malkina, V. G. Malkin, I. Malkin Ondik, 844 A fully relativistic method for calculation of nuclear magnetic and M. Kaupp, 845 shielding tensors with a restricted magnetically balanced basis in the framework 846 of the matrix Dirac-Kohn-Sham equation, J. Chem. Phys. 128, 104101 (2008), 847 doi:10.1063/1.2837472. 848

- L. Belpassi, L. Storchi, H. M. Quiney and F. Tarantelli, *Recent advances and perspectives in four-component Dirac–Kohn–Sham calculations*, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. **13**, 12368 (2011), doi:10.1039/C1CP20569B.
- [22] P. Chaix and D. Iracane, From quantum electrodynamics to mean-field theory. I. The Bogoliubov-Dirac-Fock formalism, J. Phys. B 22, 3791 (1989), doi:10.1088/0953-4075/22/23/004.
- T. Saue and L. Visscher, Four-component electronic structure methods for molecules,
 In S. Wilson and U. Kaldor, eds., Theoretical Chemistry and Physics of Heavy and Superheavy Elements, pp. 211–267. Kluwer, Dordrecht, doi:10.1007/978-94-017-0105-1_6
 (2003).
- [24] W. Kutzelnigg, Solved and unsolved problems in relativistic quantum chemistry,
 Chem. Phys. 395, 16 (2012), doi:10.1016/j.chemphys.2011.06.001.
- [25] W. Liu and I. Lindgren, Going beyond "no-pair relativistic quantum chemistry", J.
 Chem. Phys. 139, 014108 (2013), doi:10.1063/1.4811795.
- [26] E. H. Lieb and H. Siedentop, Renormalization of the regularized relativistic electronpositron field, Commun. Math. Phys. 213, 673 (2000), doi:10.1007/s002200000265.
- [27] C. Hainzl, M. Lewin and E. Séré, Existence of a stable polarized vacuum in the Bogoliubov-Dirac-Fock approximation, Commun. Math. Phys. 257, 515 (2005), doi:10.1007/s00220-005-1343-4.
- ⁸⁶⁸ [28] C. Hainzl, M. Lewin and E. Séré, Self-consistent solution for the polar ⁸⁶⁹ ized vacuum in a no-photon QED model, J. Phys. A 38, 4483 (2005),
 ⁸⁷⁰ doi:10.1088/0305-4470/38/20/014.
- [29] C. Hainzl, M. Lewin and J. P. Solovej, *The mean-field approximation in quantum electrodynamics: The no-photon case*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **60**, 0546 (2007), doi:10.1002/cpa.20145.
- [30] C. Hainzl, M. Lewin, E. Séré and J. P. Solovej, Minimization method for relativistic
 electrons in a mean-field approximation of quantum electrodynamic, Phys. Rev. A 76,
 052104 (2007), doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.76.052104.
- [31] M. Lewin, Renormalization of Dirac's polarized vacuum, In P. Exner, ed., Mathematical Results In Quantum Physics: Proceedings of the Qmath11 Conference, pp. 45–59. World Scientific Publishing, doi:10.1142/9789814350365_0004 (2011).
- [32] M. Levy, Universal variational functionals of electron densities, first-order density matrices, and natural spin-orbitals and solution of the v-representability problem, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 76, 6062 (1979), doi:10.1073/pnas.76.12.6062.
- [33] E. H. Lieb, Density functionals for Coulomb systems, Int. J. Quantum Chem. 24, 243 (1983), doi:10.1002/qua.560240302.
- [34] P. Chaix, D. Iracane and P. L. Lions, From quantum electrodynamics to
 mean-field theory. II. Variational stability of the vacuum of quantum electrodynamics in the mean-field approximation, J. Phys. B, 22, 3815 (1989),
 doi:10.1088/0953-4075/22/23/005.
- [35] O. Gorceix and P. Indelicato, Effect of the complete Breit interaction on two-electron
 ion energy levels, Phys. Rev. A 37, 1087 (1988), doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.37.1087.

- [36] K. G. Dyall and K. Fægri, Jr., Introduction to Relativistic Quantum Chemistry,
 Oxford University Press, doi:10.1093/oso/9780195140866.001.0001 (2007).
- ⁸⁹³ [37] T. Ohsaku and K. Yamaguchi, *QED-SCF*, *MCSCF*, and coupled-cluster methods in quantum chemistry, Int. J. Quantum Chem. **85**, 272 (2001), doi:10.1002/qua.10017.
- [38] T. Ohsaku, Theory of quantum electrodynamical self-consistent fields,
 arXiv:physics/0112087 http://arxiv.org/abs/physics/0112087.
- [39] P. Gravejat, M. Lewin and E. Séré, *Renormalization and asymptotic expansion of Dirac's polarized vacuum*, Commun. Math. Phys. **306**, 1 (2011), doi:10.1007/s00220-011-1271-4.
- [40] C. Brouder, *Renormalization of QED in an external field*, EPJ direct 4, 1 (2002),
 doi:10.1007/s1010502c0003.
- [41] W. Liu, *Perspective: Relativistic Hamiltonians*, Int. J. Quantum Chem. **114**, 983
 (2014), doi:10.1002/qua.24600.
- [42] W. Liu, Advances in relativistic molecular quantum mechanics, Phys. Rep. 537, 59
 (2014), doi:10.1016/j.physrep.2013.11.006.
- [43] W. Liu, Effective quantum electrodynamics Hamiltonians: A tutorial review, Int. J.
 Quantum Chem. 115, 631 (2015), doi:10.1002/qua.24852.
- [44] W. Liu, Big picture of relativistic molecular quantum mechanics, Natl. Sci. Rev. 3, 204 (2016), doi:10.1093/nsr/nwv081.
- [45] W. Liu, Essentials of relativistic quantum chemistry, J. Chem. Phys. 152, 180901
 (2020), doi:10.1063/5.0008432.
- [46] W. Kutzelnigg, Generalization of Kato's cusp conditions to the relativistic case, In
 D. Mukherjee, ed., Aspects of Many-Body Effects in Molecules and Extended Systems,
 p. 353. Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, doi:10.1007/978-3-642-61330-2_19 (1989).
- [47] Z. Li, S. Shao and W. Liu, Relativistic explicit correlation: Coalescence conditions
 and practical suggestions, J. Chem. Phys. 136, 144117 (2012), doi:10.1063/1.3702631.
- [48] J. Sucher, Foundations of the relativistic theory of many-electron atoms, Phys. Rev.
 A 22, 348 (1980), doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.22.348.
- [49] M. H. Mittleman, Theory of relativistic effects on atoms: Configuration-space Hamiltonian, Phys. Rev. A 24, 1167 (1981), doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.24.1167.
- [50] A. Almoukhalalati, S. Knecht, H. J. Aa. Jensen, K. G. Dyall, and T. Saue, *Electron correlation within the relativistic no-pair approximation*, J. Chem. Phys. 145, 074104 (2016), doi:10.1063/1.4959452.
- J. Paquier and J. Toulouse, Four-component relativistic range-separated densityfunctional theory: Short-range exchange local-density approximation, J. Chem. Phys.
 149, 174110 (2018), doi:10.1063/1.5049773.
- J. Paquier, E. Giner and J. Toulouse, *Relativistic short-range exchange energy func- tionals beyond the local-density approximation*, J. Chem. Phys. **152**, 214106 (2020),
 doi:10.1063/5.0004926.

[53] W. Kohn and L. J. Sham, Self-consistent equations including exchange and correlation
 effects, Phys. Rev. 140, A1133 (1965), doi:10.1103/PhysRev.140.A1133.

[54] T. L. Gilbert, Hohenberg-Kohn theorem for nonlocal external potentials, Phys. Rev.
B 12, 2111 (1975), doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.12.2111.

- J. E. Harriman, Orthonormal orbitals for the representation of an arbitrary density,
 Phys. Rev. A 24, 680 (1981), doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.24.680.
- [56] T. Saue, On the variational inclusion of vacuum polarization in 4-component relativistic molecular calculations, doi:10.5281/zenodo.4700658, Talk at International Conference of Computational Methods in Sciences and Engineering, Greece, 2006.
- [57] E. Engel, Orbital-dependent functionals for the exchange-correlation energy: A third generation of density functionals, In C. Fiolhais, F. Nogueira and M. A. L. Marques, eds., A Primer in Density Functional Theory, Vol. 620 of Lecture Notes in Physics, pp. 56-122. Springer, Berlin, doi:10.1007/3-540-37072-2_2 (2003).
- [58] D. C. Langreth and J. P. Perdew, The exchange-correlation energy of a metallic surface, Solid State Commun. 17, 1425 (1975), doi:10.1016/0038-1098(75)90618-3.
- [59] O. Gunnarsson and B. I. Lundqvist, Exchange and correlation in atoms, molecules, and solids by the spin-density-functional formalism, Phys. Rev. B 13, 4274 (1976), doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.13.4274.
- [60] D. C. Langreth and J. P. Perdew, Exchange-correlation energy of a metallic surface:
 Wave-vector analysis, Phys. Rev. B 15, 2884 (1977), doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.15.2884.

M. Levy and J. P. Perdew, Hellmann-Feynman, virial, and scaling requisites for the exact universal density functionals. Shape of the correlation potential and diamagnetic susceptibility for atoms, Phys. Rev. A 32, 2010 (1985), doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.32.2010.

- ⁹⁵⁴ [62] M. Levy, Density-functional exchange correlation through coordinate scaling in
 ⁹⁵⁵ adiabatic connection and correlation hole, Phys. Rev. A 43, 4637 (1991),
 ⁹⁵⁶ doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.43.4637.
- ⁹⁵⁷ [63] M. Levy, Coordinate scaling requirements for approximating exchange and correlation,
 ⁹⁵⁸ In E. Gross and R. Dreizler, eds., Density Functional Theory. Plenum Press, New
 ⁹⁵⁹ York, doi:10.1007/978-1-4757-9975-0_2 (1995).
- [64] M. Seidl, J. P. Perdew and M. Levy, Strictly correlated electrons in density-functional theory, Phys. Rev. A 59, 51 (1999), doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.59.51.
- [65] M. Seidl, Strong-interaction limit of density-functional theory, Phys. Rev. A 60, 4387
 (1999), doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.60.4387.
- [66] M. Seidl, P. Gori-Giorgi and A. Savin, Strictly correlated electrons in density functional theory: A general formulation with applications to spherical densities,
 Phys. Rev. A 75, 042511 (2007), doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.75.042511.
- P. Gori-Giorgi and M. Seidl, Density functional theory for strongly-interacting electrons: perspectives for physics and chemistry, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 12, 14405 (2010), doi:10.1039/c0cp01061h.

- [68] A. Görling and M. Levy, Correlation-energy functional and its high-density limit
 obtained from a coupling-constant perturbation expansion, Phys. Rev. B 47, 13105
 (1993), doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.47.13105.
- [69] A. Görling and M. Levy, Exact Kohn-Sham scheme based on perturbation theory,
 Phys. Rev. A 50, 196 (1994), doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.50.196.
- J. P. Perdew, K. Burke and M. Ernzerhof, Generalized gradient approximation made
 simple, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 3865 (1996), doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.77.3865.
- ⁹⁷⁷ [71] S. Ivanov and M. Levy, Connections between high-density scaling limits of DFT ⁹⁷⁸ correlation energies and second-order Z^{-1} quantum chemistry correlation energy, J. ⁹⁷⁹ Phys. Chem. A **102**, 3151 (1998), doi:10.1021/jp9731415.
- V. N. Staroverov, G. E. Scuseria, J. P. Perdew, J. Tao and E. R. Davidson, Energies of isoelectronic atomic ions from a successful metageneralized gradient approximation and other density functionals, Phys. Rev. A 70, 012502 (2004), doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.70.012502.
- [73] H. Tatewaki and Y. Watanabe, Necessity of including the negative energy space in four-component relativistic calculations for accurate solutions, Chem. Phys. 389, 58
 (2011), doi:10.1016/j.chemphys.2011.07.028.
- [74] K. Sharkas, J. Toulouse and A. Savin, Double-hybrid density-functional theory made
 rigorous, J. Chem. Phys. 134, 064113 (2011), doi:10.1063/1.3544215.
- [75] K. Sharkas, A. Savin, H. J. A. Jensen and J. Toulouse, A multiconfigurational hybrid density-functional theory, J. Chem. Phys. 137, 044104 (2012), doi:10.1063/1.4733672.
- [76] B. Jancovici, On the relativistic degenerate electron gas, Nuovo Cimento 25, 428
 (1962), doi:10.1007/BF02731458.
- [77] M. V. Ramana, A. K. Rajagopal and W. R. Johnson, Effects of correlation and Breit
 and transverse interactions in the relativistic local-density theory for atoms, Phys.
 Rev. A 25, 96 (1982), doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.25.96.
- [78] M. V. Ramana and A. K. Rajagopal, Inhomogeneous relativistic electron gas: Correlation potential, Phys. Rev. A 24, 1689 (1981), doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.24.1689.
- [79] A. Facco Bonetti, E. Engel, R. M. Dreizler, I. Andrejkovics, and H. Müller, *Relativistic* exchange-correlation energy functional: Gauge dependence of the no-pair correlation energy, Phys. Rev. A 58, 993 (1998), doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.58.993.
- [80] R. N. Schmid, E. Engel, R. M. Dreizler, P. Blaha and K. Schwarz, *Full potential linearized-augmented-plane-wave calculations for 5d transition metals using the rel- ativistic generalized gradient approximation*, Adv. Quantum Chem. **33**, 209 (1998), doi:10.1016/S0065-3276(08)60437-2.
- ¹⁰⁰⁵ [81] J. Paquier and J. Toulouse, *Short-range correlation energy of the relativistic homo-*¹⁰⁰⁶ geneous electron gas, arXiv:2102.07761 http://arxiv.org/abs/2102.07761.
- [82] J. Paquier, *Relativistic range-separated density functional theory*, Ph.D. thesis, Sor bonne Université (2020).
- [83] A. Savin, On degeneracy, near degeneracy and density functional theory, In J. M.
 Seminario, ed., Recent Developments of Modern Density Functional Theory, pp. 327– 357. Elsevier, Amsterdam, doi:10.1016/S1380-7323(96)80091-4 (1996).

${\bf SciPost}$

[84] J. Toulouse, F. Colonna and A. Savin, Long-range-short-range separation of the electron-electron interaction in density-functional theory, Phys. Rev. A 70(6), 062505
(2004), doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.70.062505.

[85] C. Itzykson and J.-B. Zuber, *Quantum field theory*, McGraw-Hill Inc., New York,
 ISBN 978-0-486-44568-7 (1980).