

Quantum Atomic Arrays: Fractional Filling and Trapping

Pengfei Zhang

Institute for Quantum Information and Matter and Walter Burke Institute for Theoretical Physics,
California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125, USA

* PengfeiZhang.physics@gmail.com

August 26, 2021

Abstract

Quantum emitters, in particular, atomic arrays with subwavelength lattice constant, have been proposed to be an ideal platform for study the interplay between photons and electric dipoles. In this work, motivated by the recent experiment [1], we develop a microscopic quantum treatment using annihilation and creation operator of atoms in deep optical lattices. Using a diagrammatic approach on the Keldysh contour, we derive the cooperative scattering of the light and obtain the general formula for the S matrix. We apply our formalism to study two effects beyond previous treatment with spin operators, the effect of fractional filling and trapping mismatch. Both effects can lead to the imperfectness of atomic mirrors. For the fractional filling case, we find the cooperative linewidth is linear in filling fraction n . When there is a mismatch between the trapping potentials for atoms in the ground state and the excited state, multiple resonances can appear in the response function. Our results are consistent with existing experiments.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Model	3
3	Diagrammatic Expansion	4
4	Fractional Filling	6
5	Trapping Mismatch	8
6	Summary and Overlook	9
	References	10
A	The Analytical Formula for $\pi(\omega)$	13

1 Introduction

The ability to coherently storing photons and controlling their interaction with quantum matters is of vital importance for quantum science. Although single atoms and photons usually interact




Figure 1: Schematics of the model considered in this work: the atomic array in the optical lattices at fractional filling.

less efficiently, ensembles of atoms can show a cooperative response of photons. As an example, superradiance can be realized when the radiations between atoms interfere constructively [2–8]. Recently, atomic arrays with subwavelength lattice structures are found to be an ideal platform where electric dipole-dipole interactions between atoms are mediated by photons [9–18]. The analysis shows the atomic arrays exhibit subradiance and are nearly perfect mirrors for a wide range of incident angles [16], as observed in recent experiments [1]. Later, there are many theoretical studies on the fruitful physics in atomic arrays [19–26, 26–37]. For example, there are proposals for realizing non-trivial topology in atomic arrays [19–21], controlling atom-photon interaction using atomic arrays [22–26], and efforts in understanding their subradiant behaviors and ability of photon storage [26–32].

In most of these works, atoms are treated as point-like with no motional degree of freedom. The evolution of the system is described by using non-Hermitian Hamiltonian or Lindblad master equation [16, 17], with spin degree of freedom $\sigma_{im}^- = |\mathbf{r}_m, g\rangle\langle\mathbf{r}_m, e_i|$. Here $|\mathbf{r}_m, g\rangle$ is the s -wave ground state for the atom at position \mathbf{r}_m . $|\mathbf{r}_m, e_i\rangle$ is the p -wave excited labeled by the dipole moment $\mathbf{d} = d \mathbf{e}_i$ of the corresponding transition $g \rightarrow e_i$. However, in real experiments, the system consists of atoms moving in optical lattices. For deep optical lattices, although atoms are trapped near the potential minimum, the wave function for the motional degree of freedom may still play a role. Moreover, the consequence of fractional filling has been studied in the experiment. It is difficult to analyze the absence of an atom in the spin-operator language, and consequently, theoretical predictions for the fractional filling case are still absent.

In this work, we overcome this difficulty by using a microscopic model for the coupled system consisting of atoms in deep optical lattices and photons. After making plausible assumptions, we derive the cooperative response of the system using a diagrammatic approach on the Keldysh contour. By summing up bubble diagrams with dressed Green's function, we obtain neat results for the transmission coefficient and the reflection coefficient, with the contribution from motional wave function. Our result matches the previous analysis for unit filling when the potential of the excited state atoms are the same as that of the ground state. For fractional fillings, we find the cooperative linewidth is linear in n , consistent with the experimental observation. We then study the effect of the discrepancy of optical lattices for the ground state and excited state atoms, where the transition of the internal state can be accompanied by transitions in the motional degree of freedom. In particular, we find that multiple resonances can exist in the response function.

2 Model

We consider coupled systems with atoms and photons. The Hamiltonian reads

$$H = H_{\text{EM}} + H_{\text{A}} + H_{\text{int}}. \quad (1)$$

Here the first term is the Hamiltonian of the electromagnetic field

$$H_{\text{EM}} = \int d\mathbf{r} \left(\frac{\epsilon_0}{2} \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r})^2 - \frac{\mu_0}{2} \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{r})^2 \right), \quad (2)$$

The second term describes the motion of atoms in optical lattices

$$H_{\text{A}} = \int d\mathbf{r} \sum_i \psi_{e_i}^\dagger(\mathbf{r}) \left(\omega_0 - \frac{\nabla^2}{2} + V_e(\mathbf{r}) \right) \psi_{e_i}(\mathbf{r}) + \int d\mathbf{r} \psi_g^\dagger(\mathbf{r}) \left(-\frac{\nabla^2}{2} + V_g(\mathbf{r}) \right) \psi_g(\mathbf{r}), \quad (3)$$

$V_{g/e}(\mathbf{r})$ describes the optical lattice potential for ground/excited-state atoms. We have set $\hbar = 1$ and $m = 1$. We assume each site is occupied by at most one atom, which corresponds to choosing fermionic commutation relation $\{\psi_a^\dagger(\mathbf{r}), \psi_a(\mathbf{r}')\} = \delta_{ab}\delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}')$. The last term describes the interaction between atoms

$$H_{\text{int}} = - \int d\mathbf{r} \sum_i \left(P_i^+(\mathbf{r}) + P_i^-(\mathbf{r}) \right) \mathbf{e}_i \cdot \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}), \quad (4)$$

with

$$P_i^+(\mathbf{r}) = d \psi_{e_i}^\dagger(\mathbf{r}) \psi_g(\mathbf{r}) = P_i^-(\mathbf{r})^\dagger. \quad (5)$$

The full Hamiltonian (1) describes general model with interaction between atoms and light to the order of electric dipole transition. For atomic arrays, the ground state particle is always tightly trapped near the local minimum of optical lattices, with a spread of wave function $\sigma \ll a$, where a is the lattice constant [1]. Assuming the excited state is also trapped, we expand

$$\psi_g(\mathbf{r}) \approx \sum_n \varphi_a(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_n) \psi_g^a(\mathbf{r}_n), \quad \psi_{e_i}(\mathbf{r}) \approx \sum_n \varphi'_a(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_n) \psi_{e_i}^a(\mathbf{r}_n). \quad (6)$$

Here $\varphi_a(\mathbf{r})/\varphi'_a(\mathbf{r})$ is the motional wave function for ground/excited-state atoms near the local minimum $\mathbf{r}_n = \mathbf{0}$ with the energy e_a/e'_a , and we have $\mathbf{r}_n = a(n_1\mathbf{e}_1 + n_2\mathbf{e}_2)$. The commutation relation for $\psi_\eta^a(\mathbf{r}_n)$ now becomes $\{\psi_\eta^{a,\dagger}(\mathbf{r}_m), \psi_\xi^b(\mathbf{r}_n)\} = \delta_{\eta\xi}\delta_{ab}\delta_{mn}$. Using (6), the Hamiltonian H_{A} and H_{int} can be simplified. We have

$$H_{\text{A}} = \sum_{na} \left[\sum_i e'_a \psi_{e_i}^{a,\dagger} \psi_{e_i}^a(\mathbf{r}_n) + e_a \psi_g^{a,\dagger} \psi_g^a(\mathbf{r}_n) \right], \quad (7)$$

and (4) becomes

$$H_{\text{int}} = - \sum_{ni} \left(p_i^+(\mathbf{r}_n) \mathbf{e}_i \cdot \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}_n) + \text{H.C.} \right), \quad (8)$$

with

$$p_i^+(\mathbf{r}_n) = d \sum_{ab} \int d\mathbf{r} \varphi_a^*(\mathbf{r}) \varphi_b(\mathbf{r}) \psi_{e_i}^{a,\dagger}(\mathbf{r}_n) \psi_g^b(\mathbf{r}_n). \quad (9)$$

Equation (2), (7) and (8) describe the dynamics of the atomic array. Initially, we prepare all atoms in the s -wave internal ground state $|g\rangle$ with motional ground state $\varphi_0(\mathbf{r})$. The number of atoms in the excited states are suppressed due to the violation of energy conservation. We further add an external probe light, at fixed frequency ω , which is near-resonant with $\delta \equiv \omega - \omega_0 \ll \omega, \omega_0$. The electric field reads $\mathbf{E}_0(\mathbf{r}) = \mathbf{E}_0 e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}}$ with $c|\mathbf{k}| = \omega$. We take $c = 1$ from now on for conciseness.

This probe corresponds to the incident light in the scattering experiment. Its coupling to atoms reads

$$\delta H = - \sum_{ni} \left(p_i^+ (\mathbf{r}_n) e^{-i\omega t} \mathbf{e}_i \cdot \mathbf{E}_0(\mathbf{r}_n) + \text{H.C.} \right). \quad (10)$$

We assume the field strength \mathbf{E}_0 is weak and the response can be analyzed using the linear response theory. The total electric field including the incident light and the scattered light then reads

$$\mathbf{E}_{\text{tot}}(\omega, \mathbf{r}) = \mathbf{E}_0(\mathbf{r}) + \langle \mathbf{E}(\omega, \mathbf{r}) \rangle. \quad (11)$$

Far from the atomic array, when only a single diffraction order exists, we expect

$$\mathbf{E}_{\text{tot}}(\omega, \mathbf{r}) = \left(\mathbf{1} e^{ik_z z} + \mathbf{S}(\omega, \mathbf{k}_{\parallel}) e^{ik_z |z|} \right) \cdot \mathbf{E}_0 e^{i\mathbf{k}_{\parallel} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{\parallel}}, \quad (12)$$

and $\mathbf{S}(\omega, \mathbf{k}_{\parallel})$ is the corresponding \mathbf{S} matrix.

3 Diagrammatic Expansion

The contribution to the scattered light $\langle \mathbf{E}(\omega, \mathbf{r}) \rangle$ can be efficiently organized using path-integral formalism. In particular, we work on the Keldysh contour [38], which contains a forwardly evolving branch and a backwardly evolving branch, corresponding to e^{-iHt} and e^{iHt} in the Heisenberg evolution. It is one of standard techniques for analyzing quantum many-body dynamics and disorder systems.

The expectation of fluctuation field becomes non-zero due to the coupling to atoms. Diagrammatically, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathbf{E}(\omega, \mathbf{r}) \rangle &= \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{E}(\omega, \mathbf{r}) \quad \mathbf{p}^-(\omega, \mathbf{r}_n) \\ \text{---} \text{wavy line} \text{---} \\ \bullet \end{array} \\ &= - \sum_n \mathbf{G}_R^E(\omega, \mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_n) \cdot \langle \mathbf{p}^-(\omega, \mathbf{r}_n) \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Here we use the wavy line to represent the propagation of photons. \mathbf{G}_R^E is the retarded Green's function matrix of \mathbf{E} in free space defined as

$$\mathbf{G}_R^E(t, \mathbf{r}) \equiv -i\theta(t) \langle [E(t, \mathbf{r}), E(0, \mathbf{0})] \rangle_{d=0}. \quad (14)$$

In frequency and momentum space, we have

$$\tilde{\mathbf{G}}_R^E(\omega, \mathbf{k}) = (\epsilon_0 \mathbf{1} + \epsilon_0 \mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{k} \times / \omega^2)^{-1} = -\frac{\omega^2}{\epsilon_0} \tilde{\mathbf{G}}(\omega, \mathbf{k}). \quad (15)$$

Here $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}(\omega, \mathbf{k})$ is the standard dyadic Green's function [16, 39]. Note that we have added an additional tilde for the Green's function of photons in momentum space to avoid possible confusion. The local dipole moment \mathbf{p}^- is related to the incident light \mathbf{E}_0 by the Kubo formula [40]

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathbf{p}^-(\omega, \mathbf{r}_n) \rangle &= \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{p}^-(\omega, \mathbf{r}_n) \quad \mathbf{p}^+(-\omega, \mathbf{r}_m) \\ \text{---} \text{double solid line} \text{---} \\ \bullet \end{array} \\ &= - \sum_m \mathbf{G}_R^P(\omega, \mathbf{r}_{nm}) \cdot \mathbf{E}_0(\omega, \mathbf{r}_m). \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

We use the double solid line for the retarded Green's function for dipole momentums $\mathbf{G}_R^P(\omega, \mathbf{r})$ and $\mathbf{r}_{nm} \equiv \mathbf{r}_n - \mathbf{r}_m$. This is consistent with the semi-classical analysis [16]. The remaining task is to derive approximate formula for $\mathbf{G}_R^P(\omega, \mathbf{r})$, which includes renormalization due to the coupling with photons.




Figure 2: Numerical result for the fractional-filling effect with normal incident light with $\omega a = 2\pi \times 0.68$. Here we take $V_e(\mathbf{r}) = V_g(\mathbf{r})$. (a). The reflection coefficient $R(\omega)$ as a function of detuning $\delta - n\Delta$ for different filling fraction n . (b). The transmission coefficient $T(\omega)$ as a function of detuning $\delta - n\Delta$ for different filling number n . (c). The filling-normalized absorptance A and reflectance \tilde{R} , together with $T + R$ at cooperative resonance $\delta = n\Delta$, as a function of filling fraction n .

Since the summation in (16) is discrete, the Fourier transform is defined as

$$\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathbf{R}}^{\mathbf{E}}(\omega, \mathbf{k}_{\parallel}) = \sum_n \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{R}}^{\mathbf{E}}(\omega, \mathbf{k}_{\parallel}) e^{-i\mathbf{k}_{\parallel} \cdot \mathbf{r}_n}. \quad (24)$$

In particular, the denominator of (23) is a generalization of the corresponding result under non-Hermitian Hamiltonians, which is $\omega \mathbf{1} - \mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}}$. As we will see later, (21) takes such a form only for unit filling and $V_e(r) = V_g(r)$. This implies the breakdown of non-Hermitian Hamiltonian description for general setups.

Then, using the relation (15), we obtain the relation between $\langle \mathbf{p}^- \rangle$ and \mathbf{E}_0 in momentum space as

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathbf{p}^- (\omega, \mathbf{k}_{\parallel}) \rangle &= \boldsymbol{\alpha}(\omega, \mathbf{k}_{\parallel}) \cdot \mathbf{E}_0(\mathbf{k}_{\parallel}), \\ \boldsymbol{\alpha}(\omega, \mathbf{k}_{\parallel})^{-1} &= -\mathbf{1}/\Pi_{\mathbf{R}}(\omega) + \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathbf{R}}^{\mathbf{E}}(\omega, \mathbf{k}_{\parallel}), \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

Finally, for a single diffraction order, using (13), $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ is related to the \mathcal{S} matrix as [16]

$$\mathcal{S}(\omega, \mathbf{k}_{\parallel}) = \frac{i\omega^2}{2a^2\epsilon_0 k_z} \mathcal{P}(\omega, \mathbf{k}_{\parallel}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\alpha}(\omega, \mathbf{k}_{\parallel}). \quad (26)$$

Here $\mathcal{P}_{ij}(\omega, \mathbf{k}_{\parallel}) = \delta_{ij} - \xi_{ij} \frac{k_i k_j}{\omega^2}$. $\xi_{ij} = -1$ if only one of (i, j) is in z direction, and at the same time $z < 0$. In other cases, $\xi_{ij} = 1$.

Equation (18), (22), (25), and (26) together determine the cooperative optical response of the atomic array. In the next sections, we further focus on two different simple setups to study the cooperative resonances in the atomic array below unit filling and the effect of trapping using the formalism developed above. Both of these effects have been observed in the recent experimental realization of the atomic array [1].

4 Fractional Filling

We begin with analyzing the effect of fractional filling $n < 1$. Here we take the special case $V_e(\mathbf{r}) = V_g(\mathbf{r})$, which is valid when the optical lattice is formed by a light with the magic wavelength [42]. This leads to $\varphi'_a(\mathbf{r}) = \varphi_a(\mathbf{r})$, $e'_a = e_a$, and (9) becomes diagonal in a . As a result, the transition of internal state does not couple to the motional degree of freedom. Since the initial state only contains fermions in the motional ground state $g = 0$, motional excited states are never

occupied. Consequently, we only keep the $a = 0$ part of the Green's function. Projecting into the corresponding subspace, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Sigma_{\mathbf{R}}^e(\omega, \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') &\approx -\frac{i\gamma}{2}(1-n)\varphi_0(\mathbf{r})\varphi_0^*(\mathbf{r}'), \\ G_{\mathbf{R}}^e(\omega, \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') &\approx \frac{\varphi_0(\mathbf{r})\varphi_0^*(\mathbf{r}')}{\omega - \omega_0 - e_0 + i\frac{\gamma(1-n)}{2}}.\end{aligned}\quad (27)$$

The bubble $i\Pi_{\mathbf{R}}(\omega)$ is also simplified since the integral over \mathbf{r} and \mathbf{r}' is now trivial. We arrive at

$$\Pi_{\mathbf{R}}(\omega) = \frac{d^2n}{\omega - \omega_0 + i\frac{\gamma(1-n)}{2}} = \frac{d^2n}{\delta + i\frac{\gamma(1-n)}{2}}.\quad (28)$$

Now we focus on the normal incident case as in experiment [1]. In this case, we have $k_x = k_y = 0$, $k_z = \omega$. Moreover, \mathbf{E}_0 lies in the x - y plane, and we can take $\mathcal{P} = \mathbf{1}$ and $\alpha = \alpha\mathbf{1}$ as scalars. Following the convention [16], we define

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(\mathbf{k}_{\parallel}) &= -\frac{3\pi\gamma}{\omega} \sum_{n \neq 0} \text{Re } G(\omega, \mathbf{r}_n) e^{-i\mathbf{r}_n \cdot \mathbf{k}_{\parallel}}, \\ \Gamma(\mathbf{k}_{\parallel}) &= \frac{6\pi\gamma}{\omega} \sum_{n \neq 0} \text{Im } G(\omega, \mathbf{r}_n) e^{-i\mathbf{r}_n \cdot \mathbf{k}_{\parallel}},\end{aligned}\quad (29)$$

which also become scalars Δ and Γ in the x - y plane for normal incident light. In particular, it is known that $\Gamma + \gamma = \gamma \frac{3\pi}{a^2\omega^2}$ [16]. Using these definition, we have

$$\alpha = -\frac{6\pi\epsilon_0}{\omega^3} \frac{n\gamma/2}{\delta - n\Delta + i(\gamma + n\Gamma)/2}, \quad S = -\frac{i n(\gamma + \Gamma)/2}{\delta - n\Delta + i(\gamma + n\Gamma)/2}.\quad (30)$$

The cooperative linewidth becomes $\gamma + n\Gamma$. For $n < 1$, we find $|S| < 1$ even at the resonance and the mirror becomes imperfect. The transmission coefficient $T = |1 + S|^2$ and reflection coefficient $R = |S|^2$ are found to be

$$T = \frac{(\delta - n\Delta)^2 + (1-n)^2\gamma^2/4}{(\delta - n\Delta)^2 + (\gamma + n\Gamma)^2/4}, \quad R = \frac{n^2(\gamma + \Gamma)^2/4}{(\delta - n\Delta)^2 + (\gamma + n\Gamma)^2/4}.\quad (31)$$

The filling-normalized absorptance $A = (1 - T)/n$ and reflectance $\tilde{R} = R/n$ then reads

$$A = \frac{(n\Gamma + (2-n)\gamma)(\gamma + \Gamma)/4}{(\delta - n\Delta)^2 + (\gamma + n\Gamma)^2/4}, \quad \tilde{R} = \frac{n(\gamma + \Gamma)^2/4}{(\delta - n\Delta)^2 + (\gamma + n\Gamma)^2/4}.\quad (32)$$

We plot the numerical result for $\omega a = 2\pi \times 0.68$ as in the experiment [1] for various n in Figure 2, where we have $\Delta/\gamma \approx 0.18$ and $\Gamma/\gamma \approx -0.48$. Several comments are as follows

1. All above results reduces to the semi-classical results using spin operators when $n = 1$, where the frequency shift is Δ and the linewidth becomes $\gamma + \Gamma$. On the other hand, for $n \rightarrow 0$, we get back to the single-atom response with natural linewidth γ . For general n , the frequency shift $n\Delta$ and linewidth $\gamma + n\Gamma$ is linear in n , consistent with the experimental observation and numerical simulation in [1]. For $\Gamma < 0$, this corresponds to a suppression of the subradiance. As we will see in the next section, the linear dependence is universal and also valid for $V_e(\mathbf{r}) \neq V_g(\mathbf{r})$.
2. As observed in the experiment [1], generally, we have $T + R < 1$. This is due to the fact that the self-energy of the excited state (18) contains the contribution of spontaneous emission of photons in arbitrary directions with random phases, which can not be observed by averaged \mathbf{E}_{tot} . However, the corresponding contribution exists if we measure energy density of electromagnetic field $\langle E^2(\mathbf{r}) \rangle$.
3. The filling-normalized absorptance A show a weak dependence of n , while \tilde{R} vanishes as $n \rightarrow 0$, consistent with the experimental observation and numerical simulation in [1].




Figure 3: Numerical result for the trapping effect with normal incident light with $\omega a = 2\pi \times 0.68$. We fix $\omega_g^h = \gamma/4$ in (a-d) and $\omega_e^h = \gamma/20$ in (e-f). (a). The reflection coefficient $R(\omega)$ as a function of detuning for $n = 1$ with different ω_e^h/ω_g^h . (b). The transmission coefficient $T(\omega)$ as a function of detuning for $n = 1$ with different ω_e^h/ω_g^h . (c). The fitted A_{res} and \tilde{R}_{res} as a function of n for different ω_e^h/ω_g^h . Here the dashed lines corresponds to A_{res} . (d). The fitted linewidth Γ_{cor} as a function of n for different ω_e^h/ω_g^h . (e). The fitted A_{res} as a function of ω_g^h/γ for different n . (f). The fitted linewidth Γ_{cor} as a function of ω_g^h/γ for different n .

5 Trapping Mismatch

In this section, we discuss the effect of having $V_e(\mathbf{r}) \neq V_g(\mathbf{r})$. We further expand the potential of near the minimum of each site and use the approximation of 3D isotropic harmonic potential. Ground-state atoms $|g\rangle$ and excited-state atoms $|e\rangle$ have a trapping frequency ω_g^h and ω_e^h correspondingly. The motional ground state wave function $\varphi_0(\mathbf{r})$ reads

$$\varphi_0(\mathbf{r}) = \left(\frac{\omega_g^h}{\pi}\right)^{\frac{3}{4}} e^{-\frac{\omega_g^h r^2}{2}}. \quad (33)$$

The dressed Green's function G_{R}^e can be further simplified by separating the contribution from the delta function and φ_0 (19). We have

$$\varphi_0^* \circ G_{\text{R}}^e \circ \varphi_0 = \sum_a \frac{(\varphi_0 \circ \varphi'_a)^* \varphi'_a \circ \varphi_0}{\delta + e_0 - e'_a + \frac{i\gamma}{2}} + \frac{i\gamma n}{2} \left(\sum_a \frac{(\varphi_0 \circ \varphi'_a)^* \varphi'_a \circ \varphi_0}{\delta + e_0 - e'_a + \frac{i\gamma}{2}} \right)^2 + \dots \quad (34)$$

Here we use \circ to represent the integral over spatial dimension for conciseness. If we define summing up the geometric series, we find

$$\Pi_{\text{R}}(\omega) = \frac{d^2 n}{\pi(\omega)^{-1} - i\frac{\gamma n}{2}}, \quad \pi(\omega) \equiv \sum_a \frac{(\varphi_0 \circ \varphi'_a)^* \varphi'_a \circ \varphi_0}{\delta + e_0 - e'_a + \frac{i\gamma}{2}}. \quad (35)$$

The analytical expression for $\pi(\omega)$ is presented in Appendix A. It contains multiple resonances near $\delta = (3/2 + 2n)\omega_e^h - 3\omega_g^h/2$, broadened by the natural lifetime γ of the excited state. For

$\omega_e^h \gtrsim \gamma$, this leads to different peaks in the spectral $-\text{Im}\pi(\omega)/\pi$. For $\omega_e^h \lesssim \gamma$, different resonances merges, and only a single peak exists.

Again, we focus on the normal incident case. The S matrix can be obtained as

$$\alpha = -\frac{6\pi\epsilon_0}{\omega_0^3} \frac{n\gamma/2}{\pi^{-1} - n\Delta + in\Gamma/2}, \quad S = -\frac{in(\gamma + \Gamma)/2}{\pi^{-1} - n\Delta + in\Gamma/2}. \quad (36)$$

It is straightforward to check that (36) reduces to (30) when $\omega_1 = \omega_2$. Since $\pi(\omega)$ is independent of n , the cooperative linewidth is still linear in filling fraction n .

The parameters in the experiment [1] corresponds to $\omega_g^h < \gamma$, but at the same order \sim MHz. We plot our results (36) for different ω_e^h/γ , ω_g^h/γ and n in Figure 3. We first fix $\omega_g^h/\gamma = 1/4$ and study the effect of $\omega_e^h \neq \omega_g^h$. As shown in (a) and (b), either $\omega_e^h > \omega_g^h$ or $\omega_g^h > \omega_e^h$, the atomic mirror becomes imperfect with $\max R < 1$ and $\min T > 0$. For $\omega_e^h \gtrsim \gamma$, we see multi-peak structures at energy $2n\omega_e^h$, where the transition from $|g\rangle$ to $|e_i\rangle$ is accompanied with the excitation of motional degree of freedom. For small $\omega_e^h \lesssim \gamma$, both $R(\omega)$ and $T(\omega)$ show a single Lorentzian peak.

Motivated by the experimental result, we study the the cooperative linewidth of the atomic array by fitting the numerical result for $R(\omega)$ near $\delta = n\Delta + 3(\omega_e^h - \omega_g^h)/2$ as

$$R(\omega) = \frac{R_{\text{res}}\Gamma_{\text{cor}}^2/4}{(\delta - n\Delta - 3(\omega_e^h - \omega_g^h)/2 - \delta_0)^2 + \Gamma_{\text{cor}}^2/4}, \quad (37)$$

and define $T_{\text{res}} = T(n\Delta + 3(\omega_e^h - \omega_g^h)/2 + \delta_0)$. \tilde{R}_{res} and A_{res} can then be computed correspondingly using R_{res} and T_{res} . The numerical results in (c-d) show \tilde{R}_{res} and A_{res} also decreases when $\omega_e^h \neq \omega_g^h$, and Γ_{cor} is linear in n . However, the linewidth for $\omega_e^h > \gamma$ receives corrections from multi-peaks. We then study A_{res} and Γ_{cor} as a function of ω_g^h . We fix a small $\omega_e^h = \gamma/20$, as an analogy of the anti-trapped excited state in experiment [1], and tune ω_g^h . We find for small ω_g^h , the decrease in A_{res} and the increase of the decay rate show quadratic dependence, while for large ω_g^h , the dependence becomes linear. This is a close analogy of the experimental observation in [1].

6 Summary and Outlook

In this work, we study quantum atomic arrays using a microscopic model with atoms in optical lattices. We take a diagrammatic approach with PRA-like diagrams and obtain concise results for transmission and reflection coefficients. We find both fractional filling and trapping mismatch can result in the imperfectness of mirrors. For the fractional filling, we derive the cooperative lifetime and resonant frequency, which show linear dependence with the filling fraction n . We also find multiple peaks exist when the local trapping frequency of the excited state $\omega_e^g \sim \gamma$, and study the trapping frequency effects on the cooperative lifetime.

Our results can be tested in the experimental platforms similar to that in [1]. Recently, there are also experimental studies on the Pauli blocking of light scattering in degenerate fermions [43, 44]. The diagrammatic approach developed here can also be applied to study the optical response of degenerate fermion gases.

Acknowledgments

We especially thank Yu Chen and Jianwen Jie for helpful discussions. P.Z. acknowledges support from the Walter Burke Institute for Theoretical Physics at Caltech.

References

- [1] J. Rui, D. Wei, A. Rubio-Abadal, S. Hollerith, J. Zeiher, D. M. Stamper-Kurn, C. Gross and I. Bloch, *A subradiant optical mirror formed by a single structured atomic layer*, Nature **583**(7816), 369 (2020).
- [2] R. H. Dicke, *Coherence in spontaneous radiation processes*, Physical review **93**(1), 99 (1954).
- [3] K. Baumann, C. Guerlin, F. Brennecke and T. Esslinger, *Dicke quantum phase transition with a superfluid gas in an optical cavity*, nature **464**(7293), 1301 (2010).
- [4] R. Mottl, F. Brennecke, K. Baumann, R. Landig, T. Donner and T. Esslinger, *Roton-type mode softening in a quantum gas with cavity-mediated long-range interactions*, Science **336**(6088), 1570 (2012).
- [5] Y. Chen, Z. Yu and H. Zhai, *Superradiance of degenerate fermi gases in a cavity*, Physical review letters **112**(14), 143004 (2014).
- [6] J. Keeling, M. Bhaseen and B. Simons, *Fermionic superradiance in a transversely pumped optical cavity*, Physical review letters **112**(14), 143002 (2014).
- [7] F. Piazza and P. Strack, *Umklapp superradiance with a collisionless quantum degenerate fermi gas*, Physical review letters **112**(14), 143003 (2014).
- [8] K. Roux, H. Konishi, V. Helson and J.-P. Brantut, *Strongly correlated fermions strongly coupled to light*, Nature Communications **11**(1), 1 (2020).
- [9] D. Porras and J. I. Cirac, *Collective generation of quantum states of light by entangled atoms*, Physical review A **78**(5), 053816 (2008).
- [10] S. D. Jenkins and J. Ruostekoski, *Controlled manipulation of light by cooperative response of atoms in an optical lattice*, Physical Review A **86**(3), 031602 (2012).
- [11] S. D. Jenkins and J. Ruostekoski, *Metamaterial transparency induced by cooperative electromagnetic interactions*, Physical review letters **111**(14), 147401 (2013).
- [12] A. González-Tudela, C.-L. Hung, D. E. Chang, J. I. Cirac and H. Kimble, *Subwavelength vacuum lattices and atom–atom interactions in two-dimensional photonic crystals*, Nature Photonics **9**(5), 320 (2015).
- [13] J. S. Douglas, H. Habibian, C.-L. Hung, A. V. Gorshkov, H. J. Kimble and D. E. Chang, *Quantum many-body models with cold atoms coupled to photonic crystals*, Nature Photonics **9**(5), 326 (2015).
- [14] G. Facchinetti, S. D. Jenkins and J. Ruostekoski, *Storing light with subradiant correlations in arrays of atoms*, Physical review letters **117**(24), 243601 (2016).
- [15] R. J. Bettles, S. A. Gardiner and C. S. Adams, *Enhanced optical cross section via collective coupling of atomic dipoles in a 2d array*, Physical review letters **116**(10), 103602 (2016).
- [16] E. Shahmoon, D. S. Wild, M. D. Lukin and S. F. Yelin, *Cooperative resonances in light scattering from two-dimensional atomic arrays*, Physical review letters **118**(11), 113601 (2017).

- [17] A. Asenjo-Garcia, M. Moreno-Cardoner, A. Albrecht, H. Kimble and D. E. Chang, *Exponential improvement in photon storage fidelities using subradiance and “selective radiance” in atomic arrays*, Physical Review X **7**(3), 031024 (2017).
- [18] L. Williamson, M. O. Borgh and J. Ruostekoski, *Superatom picture of collective nonclassical light emission and dipole blockade in atom arrays*, Physical review letters **125**(7), 073602 (2020).
- [19] J. Perczel, J. Borregaard, D. E. Chang, H. Pichler, S. F. Yelin, P. Zoller and M. D. Lukin, *Topological quantum optics in two-dimensional atomic arrays*, Physical review letters **119**(2), 023603 (2017).
- [20] B. Wang and C. Zhao, *Topological photonic states in one-dimensional dimerized ultracold atomic chains*, Physical Review A **98**(2), 023808 (2018).
- [21] R. J. Bettles, J. Minář, C. S. Adams, I. Lesanovsky and B. Olmos, *Topological properties of a dense atomic lattice gas*, Physical Review A **96**(4), 041603 (2017).
- [22] T. L. Patti, D. S. Wild, E. Shahmoon, M. D. Lukin and S. F. Yelin, *Controlling interactions between quantum emitters using atom arrays*, Physical Review Letters **126**(22), 223602 (2021).
- [23] S. J. Masson and A. Asenjo-Garcia, *Atomic-waveguide quantum electrodynamics*, Phys. Rev. Research **2**, 043213 (2020), doi:[10.1103/PhysRevResearch.2.043213](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevResearch.2.043213).
- [24] O. A. Iversen and T. Pohl, *Strongly correlated states of light and repulsive photons in chiral chains of three-level quantum emitters*, Physical Review Letters **126**(8), 083605 (2021).
- [25] Y. He, L. Ji, Y. Wang, L. Qiu, J. Zhao, Y. Ma, X. Huang, S. Wu and D. E. Chang, *Geometric control of collective spontaneous emission*, Physical Review Letters **125**(21), 213602 (2020).
- [26] Y.-X. Zhang and K. Mølmer, *Subradiant emission from regular atomic arrays: Universal scaling of decay rates from the generalized Bloch theorem*, Physical Review Letters **125**(25), 253601 (2020).
- [27] Y.-X. Zhang and K. Mølmer, *Theory of subradiant states of a one-dimensional two-level atom chain*, Physical review letters **122**(20), 203605 (2019).
- [28] Y. Ke, A. V. Poshakinskiy, C. Lee, Y. S. Kivshar and A. N. Poddubny, *Inelastic scattering of photon pairs in qubit arrays with subradiant states*, Physical review letters **123**(25), 253601 (2019).
- [29] K. Ballantine and J. Ruostekoski, *Subradiance-protected excitation spreading in the generation of collimated photon emission from an atomic array*, Physical Review Research **2**(2), 023086 (2020).
- [30] M. Manzoni, M. Moreno-Cardoner, A. Asenjo-Garcia, J. V. Porto, A. V. Gorshkov and D. Chang, *Optimization of photon storage fidelity in ordered atomic arrays*, New journal of physics **20**(8), 083048 (2018).
- [31] M. O. Scully, *Single photon subradiance: quantum control of spontaneous emission and ultrafast readout*, Physical review letters **115**(24), 243602 (2015).
- [32] P.-O. Guimond, A. Grankin, D. Vasilyev, B. Vermersch and P. Zoller, *Subradiant Bell states in distant atomic arrays*, Physical review letters **122**(9), 093601 (2019).

- [33] R. Bekenstein, I. Pikovski, H. Pichler, E. Shahmoon, S. F. Yelin and M. D. Lukin, *Quantum metasurfaces with atom arrays*, Nature Physics **16**(6), 676 (2020).
- [34] R. J. Bettles, M. D. Lee, S. A. Gardiner and J. Ruostekoski, *Quantum and nonlinear effects in light transmitted through planar atomic arrays*, Communications Physics **3**(1), 1 (2020).
- [35] A. Glicenstein, G. Ferioli, N. Šibalić, L. Brossard, I. Ferrier-Barbut and A. Browaeys, *Collective shift in resonant light scattering by a one-dimensional atomic chain*, Physical Review Letters **124**(25), 253602 (2020).
- [36] A. S. Solntsev, G. S. Agarwal and Y. S. Kivshar, *Metasurfaces for quantum photonics*, Nature Photonics **15**(5), 327 (2021).
- [37] A. Cidrim, T. do Espirito Santo, J. Schachenmayer, R. Kaiser and R. Bachelard, *Photon blockade with ground-state neutral atoms*, Physical Review Letters **125**(7), 073601 (2020).
- [38] A. Kamenev, *Field theory of non-equilibrium systems*, Cambridge University Press (2011).
- [39] L. Novotny and B. Hecht, *Principles of nano-optics*, Cambridge university press (2012).
- [40] R. Kubo, *Statistical-mechanical theory of irreversible processes. i. general theory and simple applications to magnetic and conduction problems*, Journal of the Physical Society of Japan **12**(6), 570 (1957).
- [41] A. Altland and B. D. Simons, *Condensed matter field theory*, Cambridge university press (2010).
- [42] H. Zhai, *Ultracold Atomic Physics*, Cambridge University Press (2021).
- [43] Y. Margalit, Y.-K. Lu, F. C. Top and W. Ketterle, *Pauli blocking of light scattering in degenerate fermions*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2103.06921 (2021).
- [44] A. B. Deb and N. Kjærgaard, *Observation of pauli blocking in light scattering from quantum degenerate fermions*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2103.02319 (2021).

A The Analytical Formula for $\pi(\omega)$

In this Appendix, we present detailed derivation of the analytical formula for $\pi(\omega)$. We trick is to use the transformation to the time domain

$$\begin{aligned}\pi(\omega) &= \sum_a \int d\mathbf{r}d\mathbf{r}' \varphi_0(\mathbf{r})^* \varphi'_a(\mathbf{r}) \frac{1}{\delta + e_0 - e'_a + \frac{i\gamma}{2}} \varphi'_a(\mathbf{r}')^* \varphi_0(\mathbf{r}') \\ &= - \sum_a \int d\mathbf{r}d\mathbf{r}' \int_0^\infty d\tau \varphi_0(\mathbf{r})^* \varphi'_a(\mathbf{r}) e^{(\delta + e_0 - e'_a + \frac{i\gamma}{2})\tau} \varphi'_a(\mathbf{r}')^* \varphi_0(\mathbf{r}') \\ &= - \int d\mathbf{r}d\mathbf{r}' \int_0^\infty d\tau e^{(\delta + e_0 + \frac{i\gamma}{2})\tau} \varphi_0(\mathbf{r})^* K_{\omega_e^h}(\tau, \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') \varphi_0(\mathbf{r}').\end{aligned}\quad (38)$$

Here we have assumed the integral over τ is convergent by restricting the $\delta + e_0 < 3\omega_e^h/2$. After the integration, analytical continuation can be applied to release this restriction. Here $K_{\omega_e^h}(\tau, \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')$ is the imaginary time Green's function in a harmonic trap with trapping frequency ω_e^h . We have

$$K_{\omega_e^h}(\tau, \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = \left(\frac{\omega_e^h}{2\pi \sinh \omega_e^h \tau} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \exp \left(-\frac{\omega_e^h}{2} \left[(r^2 + r'^2) \coth \omega_e^h \tau - \frac{2\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{r}'}{\sinh \omega_e^h \tau} \right] \right). \quad (39)$$

The integral over \mathbf{r} and \mathbf{r}' can be carried out first. We find

$$\pi(\omega) = -2\sqrt{2} \int_0^\infty d\tau e^{a_0\tau} \left(\frac{\omega_e^h \omega_g^h}{2\omega_e^h \omega_g^h \cosh \omega_e^h \tau + [(\omega_e^h)^2 + (\omega_g^h)^2] \sinh \omega_e^h \tau} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}}. \quad (40)$$

Here we have defined $a_0 = \left(\delta + \frac{3\omega_g^h}{2} + \frac{i\gamma}{2} \right)$ for conciseness. Then the integral over τ gives

$$\pi(\omega) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\omega_e^h} \left(\frac{2\omega_e^h \omega_g^h}{(\omega_e^h + \omega_g^h)^2} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{q^{-p-1} \left((-2p(q-1) - q + 2) B_q \left(p + 1, \frac{1}{2} \right) - (2p+3) B_q \left(p + 1, \frac{3}{2} \right) \right)}{1 - q}, \quad (41)$$

where $p \equiv -\frac{2a_0 + \omega_e^h}{4\omega_e^h}$ and $q \equiv \frac{(\omega_e^h + \omega_g^h)^2}{(\omega_e^h - \omega_g^h)^2}$. $B_z(a, b)$ is the incomplete beta function defined as $B_z(a, b) = \int_0^z t^{a-1} (1-t)^{b-1} dt$. For $\omega_e^h = \omega_g^h$, one can check that above result can be simplified as $\pi(\omega)^{-1} = a_0 - 3\omega_e^h/2$.