Self-similar solutions for fuzzy dark matter

Raquel Galazo-Garcia[1] *, Philippe Brax[2] † and Patrick Valageas[3] ∗

1, 2, 3 Universit Paris-Saclay, CNRS, CEA, Institut de physique th´eorique,
* raquel.galazogarcia@ipht.fr, † philippe.brax@ipht.fr, ∗ patrick.valageas@ipht.fr

October 2, 2022

Abstract

Self-similar solutions for fuzzy dark matter are very different from their counterparts in the standard cold dark matter model. In contrast to the familiar hierarchical collapse of the current model for structure formation, they correspond to an inverse hierarchical blow-up. This fact highlights the gravitational cooling process, which in the absence of dissipation, allows the system to eject excess energy through the intermittent expulsion of clumps of matter. These surprising behaviours are due to the wave properties of the Schrödinger equation and to the quantum pressure. These features are printed in the Eulerian density-velocity representation of the nonrelativistic scalar field, or in the Lagrangian representation in the mass-shell trajectories.

1 Introduction

Many measurements have confirmed with great accuracy that dark matter (DM) represents about 83% of the matter content of the Universe. Thanks to all this evidence, cosmologists have converged to Standard Cosmological Model, where the hypothesis of weakly interacting massive particles (> 1 Gev) [1,2] has been favoured for theoretical and experimental reasons. However, as observations and simulations have improved, a number of discrepancies between the predictions of the standard cold dark matter model (CDM) and observations on galactic and subgalactic scales have emerged. This has revived interest in alternative scenarios, including the possibility that dark matter is associated with a scalar field. One of the most attractive features of these models is the formation of soliton-like structures of large sizes that could describe the core structure of galactic halos [3]. These equilibrium configurations lead to smooth density profile [4,5] at the origin solving one of the CDM tensions at galactic scales, the core-cusp problem.

Fuzzy dark matter (FDM) [6,7] is scalar field dark matter model, $m \sim 10^{-22}$ eV that takes the simplest possibility, so the field is only subjected to gravity. The mass is extraordinarily light so that the wave nature is manifest on galactic scales providing a non-CDM behavior which leads to a different and rich phenomenology for DM on those scales. On large scales DM behaves as CDM maintaining the observable successes of CDM on those scales [3].

In this work we go beyond the static solitons by investigating dynamical self-similar solutions. With this, we access to a much deeper understanding of the dynamic processes like the observed gravitational cooling effect [8, 9]. Moreover, this allow us to obtain dynamical configurations embedded in the expanding cosmological background and to compare them with the well-known CDM self-similar solutions [10–12].

2 Equations of motion

The action of FDM is that of a classical scalar field ϕ with just a minimal coupling to gravity [7]. Variation of this action in the nonrelativistic regime, relevant for astrophysical and large-scale structures, and introducing a complex scalar field ψ [6, 7], leads to the equations of motion for the complex field, known as the the Schrödinger-Poisson (SP).

We can go from the field picture to the hydrodynamical framework by taking the Madelung transformation [13] since it facilitates the comparison with the standard CDM scenario. Therefore, we can describe the system in terms of the curl-free velocity field $\vec{v}$, the density field ρ and the Newtonian potential Φ_N. Now, the dynamics is encoded in the continuity and Euler equation and the Poisson equation for the gravity:

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho \vec{v}) = 0, \tag{1}$$

$$\frac{\partial \vec{v}}{\partial t} + (\vec{v} \cdot \nabla)\vec{v} = -\nabla\left(\Phi_N + \Phi_Q\right). \tag{2}$$

$$\nabla^2 \Phi_N = 4\pi\rho. \tag{3}$$

Note that the Euler equation (2) appears an extra term, Φ_Q, which is the so called *quantum pressure* [14–16]:

$$\Phi_Q = -\frac{\epsilon^2}{2}\frac{\nabla^2\sqrt{\rho}}{\sqrt{\rho}}. \tag{4}$$

ϵ mimics $\hbar$ in quantum mechanics and it represents the ratio between the de Broglie wavelength λ_{dB} and the size of the system. It encodes the the wavelike effects thus in the limit $\epsilon \to 0$, we recover the usual continuity and Euler equations, which also describe CDM on large scales where shell crossing can be neglected.

3 Cosmological self-similar solutions

3.1 Self-similar ansatz

To compute self-similar solutions we look for time-dependent solutions of the following form:

$$\rho = t^{-\alpha}f\left(\frac{r}{t^\beta}\right), \quad v = t^{-\delta}g\left(\frac{r}{t^\beta}\right), \quad \Phi_N = t^{-\mu}h\left(\frac{r}{t^\beta}\right), \tag{5}$$

By substituting these expressions into the equations (1)-(3), we find the the exponents for (5):

$$\beta = 1/2, \quad \mu = 1, \quad \alpha = 2, \quad \delta = 1/2, \tag{6}$$

Note that the functions f, g and h must be computed by solving eq. (35)-(36) of [17] .

3.2 Cosmological background

Since we are interested in cosmological self-similar solutions, first, we define the cosmological background using the Einstein-de Sitter universe, as for CDM. This choice is well motivate since it is a good description to the matter era when most large-scale structures are formed and because the scale factor grows as a power law of time, $a \propto t^{2/3}$, so we are not including any specific scale that would break the self-similarity. As the background expressions (see eq.(48) in [17]) are solutions of the continuity, Euler and Poisson (1)-(3) and follow the self-similar form (5) with (6) we can investigate self-similar solutions that correspond to perturbations around this expanding background.

3.3 Spherical self-similar solutions

We write the density and velocity fields and the gravitational potential as

$$\rho = \bar{\rho}(1 + \delta), \ \vec{v} = \bar{\vec{v}} + \vec{u}, \ \Phi_N = \bar{\Phi}_N + \varphi_N, \tag{7}$$

where δ is the density contrast and $\vec{u}$ the peculiar velocity. Using comoving coordinates $\vec{x} = \vec{r}/a$ and substituting these expressions into the continuity, Euler and Poisson equations (1)-(3), we obtain the usual comoving fluid equations (see equations (50)-(53) in [17]). Therefore, the spherical self-similar solutions are given by of the following form in agreement with (5) and (6):

$$\delta(x, t) = \hat{\delta}(\eta), \quad u(x, t) = \epsilon^{1/2} t^{-1/2} \hat{u}(\eta), \quad \varphi_N(x, t) = \epsilon t^{-1} \hat{\varphi}_N(\eta), \tag{8}$$

where we introduced the scaling variable

$$\eta = \frac{t^{1/6} x}{\epsilon^{1/2}} = \frac{r}{\sqrt{\epsilon t}}. \tag{9}$$

that includes an additional scaling in ϵ and is consistent with the self-similar exponents obtained in Sec. 3.1 .

3.4 Overdensities

Applying semi-analytical techniques and solving the closed equation (93) in [17] we can compute self-similar solutions in the nonlinear regime. In this section we focus on showing only the main results for the nonlinear overdensity case $\delta(0) = 100$.

Figure 1: Overdensity case $\delta(0) = 100$. *Left panel:* nonlinear density contrast $\hat{\delta}$ (red solid line) and linear density contrast $\hat{\delta}_L$ (green dashed line). *Middle panel:* nonlinear and linear velocity fields. *Right panel:* Trajectory $x(t)$ of the comoving radius associated with a fixed mass, as a function of cosmic time t.

In the left plot of Fig.(1) we can check that the impact of the nonlinear corrections. They make the first peak of the density profile narrower and all the higher-order peaks are shifted to the center by comparing with the linear computation for the same overdensity (green dashed). In the velocity field, middle panel of Fig.(1), we can observe how the oscillations grow and become much sharper in contrast to the linear version. Moreover, the velocity shows high and narrow positive spikes at the density minima. Therefore, we have a scalar matter flux which is better understood in the right panel of Fig.(1) with the Lagrangian representation that tracks the trajectory of a mass shell. Starting close to the origin, inside the central peak, the comoving radius grows very slowly, and when the shell leaves the clump, the trajectory has an intermittent character with well-distinguishable because of the fast accelerations of the velocity spikes found in the velocity plot.

This ejection of matter recalls the gravitational cooling effect observed in numerical simulations. This phenomena is a mechanism that allows the system to relax towards equilibrium configurations, in spite of the absence of dissipative processes, by ejecting extra matter and energy to infinity [8, 9].

4   High-density asymptotic limit

Since the static soliton is a huge non-linear overdensity, we can study the asymptotic behaviour of self-similar solutions in the high-density limit where the background density becomes negligible as compared with the central density peak.

Figure 2: Asymptotic self-similar (blue solid line) and soliton (black dashed line) density profiles, normalized to $\rho(0) = 1$.

The two curves are different and the central peak of the self-similar solution is narrower than the soliton peak. This is due to the kinetic terms and because essentially the soliton balance equation is different from the self-similar Bernoulli equation (see eq.(31) and eq. (64) of [17]). Therefore, the high density asymptotic profile does not relax toward the static soliton profile. This shows that the convergence toward the soliton core is not guaranteed in all configurations.

5   Conclusion

CDM self-similar solutions describe the gravitational collapse of spherical overdense regions. At early times, a small linear perturbation grows, until it reaches the nonlinear regime. So transition from the linear regime to the non-linear regime occurs. However, in FDM is not the

case since the amplitude of the linear perturbation remains constant in time [17]. Moreover, instead of having the familiar gravitational collapse, in FDM we have the gravitational cooling effect. In terms of the characteristic length scale (9), in FDM it grows as $\sqrt{t}$ in physical units but decreases as $t^{-1/6}$ in comoving units. Therefore, their size grows in physical units but more slowly than the scale factor, so that they actually shrink in comoving units. This feature is different from the CDM self-similar solutions that grow both in comoving size and in mass [10, 11].

Acknowledgements

R.G.G. was supported by the CEA NUMERICS program, which has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under the Marie Sklodowska-Curie grant agreement No 800945. This project has received funding /support from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Sklodowska -Curie grant agreement No 860881-HIDDeN. This work was made possible by with the support of the Institut Pascal at University Paris-Saclay during the Paris-Saclay Astroparticle Symposium 2021, with the support of the P2IO Laboratory of Excellence (program "Investissements d'avenir" ANR-11-IDEX-0003-01 Paris- Saclay and ANR-10-LABX-0038), the P2I axis of the Graduate School Physics of University Paris-Saclay, as well as IJCLab, CEA, IPhT, APPEC, the IN2P3 master project UCMN and EuCAPT ANR-11-IDEX-0003-01 Paris-Saclay and ANR-10-LABX-0038.

References

[1] L. E. Strigari, *Galactic searches for dark matter*, Physics Reports **531**(1), 1 (2013), doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2013.05.004, Galactic searches for dark matter.

[2] M. Schumann, *Direct detection of WIMP dark matter: concepts and status*, Journal of Physics G: Nuclear and Particle Physics **46**(10), 103003 (2019), doi:10.1088/1361-6471/ab2ea5.

[3] H. Y. Schive, T. Chiueh and T. Broadhurst, *Cosmic structure as the quantum interference of a coherent dark wave*, Nature Physics 2014 10:7 **10**(7), 496 (2014), doi:10.1038/nphys2996, 1406.6586.

[4] A. Burkert, *The structure of dark matter halos in dwarf galaxies*, The Astrophysical Journal **447**(1) (1995), doi:10.1086/309560.

[5] W. J. G. de Blok, *The Core-Cusp Problem*, Advances in Astronomy **2010** (2009), doi:10.1155/2010/789293, 0910.3538v1.

[6] W. Hu, R. Barkana and A. Gruzinov, *Fuzzy cold dark matter: The wave properties of ultralight particles*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 1158 (2000), doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.85.1158.

[7] L. Hui, J. P. Ostriker, S. Tremaine and E. Witten, *Ultralight scalars as cosmological dark matter*, Physical Review D **95**(4), 043541 (2017), doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.95.043541.

[8] E. Seidel and W. M. Suen, *Formation of solitonic stars through gravitational cooling*, Physical Review Letters **72**(16), 2516 (1994), doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.72.2516.

[9] F. S. Guzman and L. A. Urena-Lopez, *Gravitational Cooling of Self-gravitating Bose Condensates*, The Astrophysical Journal **645**(2), 814 (2006), doi:10.1086/504508/FULLTEXT/, 0603613.

[10] J. A. Fillmore and P. Goldreich, *Self-similiar gravitational collapse in an expanding universe*, Astrophys. J. **281**, 1 (1984), doi:10.1086/162070.

[11] E. Bertschinger, *Self - similar secondary infall and accretion in an Einstein-de Sitter universe*, Astrophys. J. Suppl. **58**, 39 (1985), doi:10.1086/191028.

[12] R. Teyssier, J.-P. Chièze and J.-M. Alimi, *Self-similar Spherical Collapse Revisited: A Comparison between Gas and Dark Matter Dynamics*, The Astrophysical Journal **480**(1), 36 (1997), doi:10.1086/303965.

[13] E. Madelung and I. Frankfurt, *322 Quantentheorie in hydrodynamischer Form*, Ann. d. Phys **79** (1926).

[14] E. A. Spiegel, *Fluid dynamical form of the linear and nonlinear Schrödinger equations*, Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena **1**(2), 236 (1980), doi:10.1016/0167-2789(80)90015-9.

[15] P.-H. Chavanis, *Mass-radius relation of Newtonian self-gravitating Bose-Einstein condensates with short-range interactions: I. Analytical results*, Phys. Rev. D **84**, 043531 (2011), doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.84.043531, 1103.2050.

[16] D. Marsh, *Nonlinear hydrodynamics of axion dark matter: Relative velocity effects and quantum forces*, Physical Review D **91** (2015), doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.91.123520.

[17] R. Galazo-García, P. Brax and P. Valageas, *Self-similar solutions for fuzzy dark matter*, Phys. Rev. D **105**, 123528 (2022), doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.105.123528.