

Boundary Conditions for Extremal Black Holes from 2d Gravity

Stéphane Detournay^{1*}, Thomas Smoes^{1†} and Raphaela Wutte^{1,2‡}

1 Physique Mathématique des Interactions Fondamentales, Université Libre de Bruxelles,
Campus Plaine - CP 231, 1050 Bruxelles, Belgium

2 Department of Physics and Beyond: Center for Fundamental Concepts in Science, Arizona
State University, Tempe, Arizona 85287, USA

* sdetourn@ulb.ac.be, † thomas.smoes@ulb.be, ‡ rwutte@hep.itp.tuwien.ac.at

Abstract

We devise new boundary conditions for the near-horizon geometries of extremal BTZ and Kerr black holes, as well as for the ultra-cold limit of the Kerr-de Sitter black hole. These boundary conditions are obtained as the higher-dimensional uplift of recently proposed boundary conditions in two-dimensional gravity. Their asymptotic symmetries consist in the semi-direct product of a Virasoro and a current algebra, of which we determine the central extensions.

Copyright attribution to authors.

This work is a submission to SciPost Physics.

License information to appear upon publication.

Publication information to appear upon publication.

Received Date

Accepted Date

Published Date

1

2 Contents

3	1 Introduction and Outlook	2
4	2 Extremal BTZ	5
5	2.1 Geometry and Near-horizon Limit	5
6	2.2 Phase Space and Asymptotic Killing Vectors	5
7	2.3 Charge Algebra	7
8	2.4 Boundary Conditions in Schwarzschild-like Coordinates	8
9	3 Extremal Kerr	9
10	3.1 Geometry and NHEK	9
11	3.2 Phase Space and Asymptotic Killing Vectors	10
12	3.3 Charge Algebra	10
13	3.4 Boundary Conditions in Boyer-Lindquist Coordinates	11
14	3.5 Comparison to other Boundary Conditions for extremal Kerr Black Holes	13
15	4 Ultra-cold Kerr-dS	14
16	4.1 Geometry and Phase Space	14
17	4.2 Asymptotic Killing Vectors	15
18	4.3 Charge Algebra	15
19	References	16

20
21

22 1 Introduction and Outlook

23 When formulating a physical problem, the equations of motion have to be supplemented by
24 boundary conditions (BCs) on the dynamical variables. In fact, the latter turn out to be as
25 important as the former [1] (cited in [2]). This is especially clear when the theory is for-
26 mulated in terms of an action principle and the partition function defined through a path
27 integral: the boundary conditions specify the off-shell configurations over which the integral
28 has to be performed. Systems with identical field equations but different boundary conditions
29 could describe significantly distinct physical phenomena and exhibit different contents (e.g.
30 closed/open strings, Dirichlet vs Neumann BCs).

31 Boundary conditions play a crucial role in gauge theories, in particular in theories of grav-
32 ity. There, the set of metrics satisfying given equations of motion and boundary conditions
33 constitute the configuration space of the theory, which can be identified with its phase space.
34 The identification of the symmetries of the phase space are of crucial importance since one
35 expects, upon quantization, that the Hilbert space of the corresponding quantum theory will
36 fall into a representation of the symmetry group, for instance in the spirit of the geometric
37 quantization program [3, 4].

38 In gauge theories, the symmetries of the phase space, mapping one solution onto another
39 with distinct physical charges, are of great importance. These are called *asymptotic symmetries*
40 and form the *asymptotic symmetry group* (ASG). The study of asymptotic symmetries in gravity
41 theories has a long history that started in 1962 with the founding papers [5, 6] which identified
42 the BMS group of supertranslations and Lorentz transformations as ASG of four-dimensional
43 asymptotically flat spacetimes. It was later extended to include superrotations in [7–9] and
44 diffeomorphisms on the 2-sphere in [10, 11]. The renewed interest in BMS symmetries is
45 largely due to recent work on BMS invariance of scattering amplitudes [12] and the “infrared
46 triangle” relating BMS supertranslation symmetries, Weinberg’s soft graviton theorem and the
47 displacement memory effect [13].

48 Equally impactful is the discovery by Brown and Henneaux of two-dimensional conformal
49 symmetry in the asymptotic structure of AdS_3 gravity [14], an early precursor of the
50 AdS/CFT correspondence [15]. It brought deep insights into the holographic nature of gravity
51 and in particular the identification of microscopic degrees of freedom for specific classes of
52 black holes, either asymptotically AdS_3 (the BTZ black hole [16, 17]) [18] or with an AdS_3
53 factor in their near-horizon geometry [19]. The three-dimensional situation in flat space has
54 been addressed more recently, identifying the BMS_3 asymptotic symmetry algebra at null infin-
55 ity [20, 21] and at spatial infinity [22]. The flat limit from AdS_3 to Minkowski was described
56 in [21] for the symmetry algebra, and for the full phase space in [23]. The flat spacetime
57 cosmologies [24, 25] – the flat counterparts of the BTZ black holes – and their thermodynam-
58 ical interpretation in terms of BMS_3 symmetries were addressed in [26, 27]. Interestingly, the
59 non-uniqueness of the ASG given a vacuum solution and non-trivial zero-mode solutions has
60 been brought to light only rather recently. Superrotations in four-dimensional asymptotically
61 flat space have been introduced almost half a century after the works of Bondi, van der Burg,
62 Metzner and Sachs. In three-dimensional gravity, a variety of alternative boundary conditions
63 – allowing e.g. for a fluctuating boundary metric, in contrast with the Dirichlet-like Brown-
64 Henneaux boundary conditions – have been proposed in recent years both for AdS_3 [28–33]
65 and Minkowski space [34–36] exhibiting in general different ASGs, hence potentially different

66 field theory dual interpretations. A particular way of relating different ASGs in three dimen-
67 sions has been discussed in [37].

68 Among holographic dualities involving AdS spaces, the two-dimensional case has always
69 stood out as more challenging. The boundary of AdS₂ consists in two disconnected pieces, and
70 finite energy excitations have been observed to destroy the asymptotic geometry [38,39]. This
71 has long been a hindrance for a microscopic understanding of extremal higher-dimensional
72 black holes, as these generally exhibit a near-horizon geometry including an AdS₂ factor
73 [40, 41] when the cosmological constant is non-positive (we will later discuss a situation
74 where AdS₂ is replaced by Mink₂ for the near-horizon limit of the ultra-cold Kerr-de Sitter
75 black hole [42]). It has however recently been found how to circumvent these obstructions
76 and identify the relevant degrees of freedom describing the low energy physics driving a black
77 hole away from extremality. It consists in considering *nearly-AdS₂* holography by including
78 the leading corrections away from pure AdS₂ [43, 44] (for reviews, see e.g. [45, 46] or App.B
79 of [47]). The physics near the horizon of near-extremal black holes in higher dimensions can
80 be shown to be universally described by a particular occurrence of two-dimensional dilaton
81 gravity theory – JT gravity [48,49], with certain Dirichlet boundary conditions at the boundary
82 of AdS₂. The latter exhibit time-reparametrization invariance whose generators¹ are reminis-
83 cent of (one half of) the Brown-Henneaux ones [50–52]. Again, like in higher dimensions,
84 different sets of boundary conditions with different symmetries can be considered [53]. Re-
85 cently, new boundary conditions for AdS₂ have been proposed [54], where the usual time-
86 reparametrization symmetry is enhanced with an additional local U(1) symmetry, extending
87 the symmetry algebra to a Virasoro-Kac-Moody U(1) algebra. The latter represent the sym-
88 metries of a so-called Warped CFT (WCFT) [55,56], a two-dimensional non-relativistic theory
89 with chiral scale invariance and $SL(2,R) \times U(1)$ global symmetry (see [29,57–62] for some of
90 their properties).

91 The goal of the present work will be to explore new boundary conditions for extremal
92 black holes, in particular determine whether the boundary conditions of [54] can be uplifted
93 to the near-horizon geometry in higher dimensions. Our work can thus be regarded as a proof
94 of principle that certain boundary conditions existing in 2d gravity have a natural uplift to
95 higher dimensions.

96 Motivations stem from the ubiquity of AdS₂ in the near-horizon geometry of extremal
97 black holes, but also from the Kerr/CFT correspondence [63] – an attempt to relate four-
98 dimensional extremal Kerr black holes to a chiral CFT in two dimensions. The argument there
99 parallels the connection between AdS₃ and 2d CFTs, where the AdS₃ near-region throat geom-
100 etry is replaced with the NHEK (near-horizon extreme Kerr) geometry found by Bardeen and
101 Horowitz [64] via a near-horizon limit. Constant polar sections of the NHEK geometry consist
102 in deformations of AdS₃, termed Warped AdS₃ (WAdS₃) spaces [65–71], where the original
103 undeformed $SO(2,2)$ isometries get broken down to $SL(2,R) \times U(1)$. Holographic properties
104 of WAdS₃ spaces have been explored over the years [56, 72–88] as a toy model for Kerr black
105 holes. For generic Kerr black holes, the relevance of WCFTs was pointed out in [89] in the
106 spirit of [90]. In the extremal limit, the question is still open.

107 The Kerr/CFT proposal is based on boundary conditions extending the U(1) part of the
108 isometry group into a Virasoro algebra, whose computed central charge allowed to reproduce
109 the macroscopic Bekenstein-Hawking extremal Kerr entropy. This was one of the landmarks
110 of the original proposal². From a gravity perspective these boundary conditions might seem
111 unnatural, as their symmetries do not include all the exact symmetries of the background.

¹Note that the reparameterisation symmetry is broken both spontaneously by pure AdS₂ and explicitly due to the non-trivial boundary condition for the dilaton

²This is currently being debated in recent works suggesting instead a vanishing entropy at low temperatures [91,92].

112 Soon after the Kerr/CFT proposal, other boundary conditions have been proposed extending
113 instead the $SL(2, R)$ part of the isometries, but found vanishing central extensions [93, 94]. In
114 this work, we will propose new boundary conditions for the NHEK geometry, inspired by the
115 Godet-Martreau analysis in two dimensions [54]. One feature of these boundary conditions and
116 their symmetries is the dependence of the generators on (retarded) time. Extracting a non-
117 trivial symmetry algebra therefore requires to integrate charges over time instead of the usual
118 constant-time, angular integration. This procedure has been applied both in two and higher
119 dimensions [51, 53, 95, 96]. Integration over time produces time-averaged charges which can
120 be seen to give a canonical representation of the asymptotic symmetry algebra with non-trivial
121 central extensions. The procedure can also be interpreted from the boundary perspective, in
122 particular when the putative dual theory is two-dimensional (CFT, WCFT, or other) and enjoys
123 modular invariance. A modular invariant field theory at finite chemical potentials is naturally
124 defined on a torus with two cycles, the spatial one (angular identifications) and the thermal
125 one (in particular, time has a period set by the inverse temperature). Its partition function can
126 be expressed either as a trace over states defined on spatial cycles (with charges integrated
127 over a spatial cycle) and evolved with the usual hamiltonian operator, or as states defined on
128 thermal cycles (hence with time periodic in particular and charges integrated over a thermal
129 cycle) and evolved with the angular momentum operator. This yields one possible boundary
130 interpretation of a bulk time integration.

131 The paper is organized as follows. As a warm-up, we devise in Sect. 2 new boundary
132 conditions for the near-horizon limit of extremal BTZ black holes, the so-called selfdual orb-
133 ifold. Kerr/CFT-like boundary conditions had appeared e.g. in [97]. Here we define a new
134 phase space with WCFT symmetries of which we identify the non-trivial central extensions,
135 the Virasoro one coinciding with the Brown-Henneaux central charge. In Sect. 3 we turn to
136 boundary conditions including the NHEK geometry. Following a similar strategy, we define a
137 phase space, identify their asymptotic symmetries, and compute the asymptotic charges. The
138 latter are shown to satisfy through their Poisson bracket a WCFT algebra with non trivial cen-
139 tral extensions both for the Virasoro and current algebra. The Virasoro central extensions is
140 seen to match that of the original Kerr/CFT correspondence. We address a slightly different
141 case in Sect. 4. It consists in boundary conditions including the near-horizon limit of the
142 ultra-cold Kerr-de Sitter black hole in 4 dimensions (where the 3 horizons come to coincide).
143 There is no known way to associate a CFT or any other boundary theory for that matter to
144 the ultracold limit [42] (see however [98] studying the response of ultracold black holes to
145 small perturbations). The latter does not fall in the general category of AdS_2 near-horizon ge-
146 ometry. Instead, the AdS_2 factor is replaced by two-dimensional Minkowski space. As it turns
147 out, boundary conditions for $Mink_2$ have been proposed and their asymptotic symmetries de-
148 termined [99, 100]. We uplift these boundary conditions to 4 dimensions, demonstrating that
149 they yield well defined charges and asymptotic symmetry algebras, again consisting in a WCFT
150 algebra of which we compute the central extensions. This provides a first step towards building
151 a holographic dual for ultracold Kerr-dS black holes. The implications of these new boundary
152 conditions from a boundary perspective and black hole thermodynamics in particular is left
153 for future work.

154 2 Extremal BTZ

155 2.1 Geometry and Near-horizon Limit

156 The metric of the extremal BTZ black hole is

$$ds^2 = -\frac{(r^2 - r_h^2)^2}{r^2} dt^2 + \frac{r^2}{(r^2 - r_h^2)^2} dr^2 + r^2 \left(d\phi - \frac{r_h^2}{r^2} dt \right)^2, \quad (1)$$

157 where r_h is the horizon radius and where the AdS radius l has been set to one. We consider
158 the change of coordinates

$$t = \frac{\tau}{\epsilon}, \quad r^2 = r_h^2 + \epsilon \rho, \quad \phi = \varphi + \frac{\tau}{\epsilon} \quad (2)$$

159 and then study the near-horizon limit (NHL) by taking $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. The extremal BTZ metric
160 becomes [101]

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= \frac{1}{4} \frac{d\rho^2}{\rho^2} + 2\rho d\tau d\varphi + r_h^2 d\varphi^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \frac{d\rho^2}{\rho^2} - \frac{\rho^2}{r_h^2} d\tau^2 + r_h^2 \left(d\varphi + \frac{\rho}{r_h^2} d\tau \right)^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

161 In order to apply Godet-Marteanu boundary conditions on this metric, we will write it in a
162 system of coordinates that is similar to the Bondi gauge described in [54] for AdS₂. We thus
163 define new coordinates $(u, \hat{r}, \hat{\varphi})$ such that

$$\tau = \frac{u}{2} - \frac{1}{2\hat{r}}, \quad \rho = r_h \hat{r}, \quad \varphi = \frac{1}{2r_h} (\hat{\varphi} - \ln \hat{r}) \quad (4)$$

164 and the metric becomes

$$ds^2 = \frac{1}{4} (-\hat{r}^2 du^2 - 2du d\hat{r}) + \frac{1}{4} (\hat{r} du + d\hat{\varphi})^2. \quad (5)$$

165 From now on, we will omit " $\hat{}$ " of the coordinates, keeping in mind that the new coordinates
166 are different from the ones in (3).

167 2.2 Phase Space and Asymptotic Killing Vectors

168 Inspired by the Godet-Marteanu boundary conditions for AdS₂ [54], we consider the following
169 family of metrics

$$ds^2 = \frac{1}{4} \left((-r^2 + 2P(u)r + 2T(u)) du^2 - 2dudr \right) + \frac{1}{4} (r du + d\varphi)^2, \quad (6a)$$

$$= ds_{2d}^2 + \frac{1}{4} (r du + d\varphi)^2 \quad (6b)$$

170 where P and T are arbitrary functions of u . Here, the first part of the metric ds_{2d}^2 corresponds
171 to boundary conditions that were previously imposed for 2d gravity [54]. The boundary con-
172 ditions (6) can be obtained from (5) by applying the finite coordinate transformation

$$u \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(u), \quad r \rightarrow \frac{1}{\mathcal{F}'} (r + \mathcal{G}'(u)), \quad \varphi \rightarrow \varphi - \mathcal{G}(u). \quad (7)$$

173 The functions P, T, \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} are related by

$$P(u) = -\mathcal{G}'(u) + \frac{\mathcal{F}''(u)}{\mathcal{F}'(u)}, \quad (8)$$

$$T(u) = -\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{G}'(u)^2 + \mathcal{G}'(u)\frac{\mathcal{F}''(u)}{\mathcal{F}'(u)} - \mathcal{G}''(u). \quad (9)$$

174 The asymptotic Killing vectors generating the transformations (7) are given by

$$\xi = \epsilon(u)\partial_u + (-r\epsilon'(u) - \zeta'(u))\partial_r + \zeta(u)\partial_\varphi, \quad (10)$$

175 where $\epsilon(u)$ and $\zeta(u)$ are two arbitrary functions of u . By applying the Lie derivative on the
176 metric (6), we can also find the variations of $P(u)$ and $T(u)$

$$\delta_\xi P = \epsilon P' + \epsilon' P + \epsilon'' + \zeta', \quad (11)$$

$$\delta_\xi T = \epsilon T' + 2\epsilon' T - \zeta' P + \zeta''. \quad (12)$$

177 Alternatively, we can define a perturbation $h_{\mu\nu}$ on the background metric (5) such that

$$h_{uu} = \mathcal{O}(r), \quad h_{ur} = \mathcal{O}(r^{-2}), \quad h_{u\varphi} = \mathcal{O}(r^{-1}), \quad (13a)$$

$$h_{rr} = \mathcal{O}(r^{-3}), \quad h_{r\varphi} = \mathcal{O}(r^{-2}), \quad h_{\varphi\varphi} = \mathcal{O}(r^{-1}) \quad (13b)$$

178 and the vectors solving the asymptotic Killing equation are given by

$$\xi = (\epsilon(u) + \mathcal{O}(r^{-2}))\partial_u + (-r\epsilon'(u) - \zeta'(u) + \mathcal{O}(r^{-1}))\partial_r + (\zeta(u) + \mathcal{O}(r^{-2}))\partial_\varphi. \quad (14)$$

179 Fixing the coordinate system, by setting $g_{ur} = -1/4$, $g_{rr} = 0$ and $g_{r\varphi} = 0$, and assuming that
180 the remaining components admit an expansion in powers of r

$$g_{uu} = r g_{uu1}(u, \varphi) + g_{uu0}(u, \varphi) + \mathcal{O}(r^{-1}), \quad (15a)$$

$$g_{u\varphi} = \frac{r}{4} + \frac{g_{u\varphi0}(u, \varphi)}{r} + \mathcal{O}(r^{-2}), \quad (15b)$$

$$g_{\varphi\varphi} = \frac{1}{4} + \frac{g_{\varphi\varphi-1}(u, \varphi)}{r} + \mathcal{O}(r^{-2}), \quad (15c)$$

181 one readily obtains that (6) is the unique class of metrics that solves the vacuum Einstein
182 equations with a negative cosmological constant and the fall-off conditions (13). It is in this
183 sense, that (13) and (14) are equivalent to (6) and (10). In the following, we will always work
184 with a class of metrics instead of directly working with boundary conditions.

185 From now on, we assume that u is periodic with period L and define the modes of the
186 vectors (10) as

$$l_n = \xi \left(\epsilon = \frac{L}{2\pi} e^{2\pi i n u/L}, \zeta = 0 \right), \quad j_n = \xi \left(\epsilon = 0, \zeta = \frac{L}{2\pi i} e^{2\pi i n u/L} \right), \quad (16)$$

187 where $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. These modes satisfy a warped Witt algebra under the Lie bracket:

$$i[l_m, l_n] = (m - n)l_{m+n}, \quad (17a)$$

$$i[l_m, j_n] = -n j_{m+n}, \quad (17b)$$

$$i[j_m, j_n] = 0. \quad (17c)$$

188 2.3 Charge Algebra

189 The infinitesimal charge difference between two geometries $g_{\mu\nu}$ and $g_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu}$, where $h_{\mu\nu}$ is
190 an infinitesimal perturbation, is given by

$$\delta Q_\xi[h, g] = \int_{\partial\Sigma} k_\xi[h, g]. \quad (18)$$

191 The differential form k_ξ associated to an asymptotic Killing vector ξ is defined by³

$$k_\xi[h, g] = \frac{\sqrt{-g}}{8\pi G} (d^{n-2}x)_{\mu\nu} \left(\xi^\mu \nabla_\sigma h^{\nu\sigma} - \xi^\mu \nabla^\nu h + \xi_\sigma \nabla^\nu h^{\mu\sigma} + \frac{1}{2} h \nabla^\nu \xi^\mu - h^\rho{}^\nu \nabla_\rho \xi^\mu \right), \quad (19)$$

192 where n is the space-time dimension, ∇ is the covariant derivative of $g_{\mu\nu}$ and $h = g^{\mu\nu} h_{\mu\nu}$.
193 One readily checks that integrating (18) along the direction of φ over a constant u surface and
194 taking the limit $r \rightarrow \infty$, yields zero – all surface charges vanish. One may obtain non-zero
195 surface charges by integrating (18) along the direction of u over a constant φ surface and then
196 taking the limit $r \rightarrow \infty$, which is what we will do in what follows.

197 We begin by defining the variation of the metric (6) as

$$h_{\mu\nu} \equiv \delta g_{\mu\nu} = \frac{\partial g_{\mu\nu}}{\partial P} \delta P + \frac{\partial g_{\mu\nu}}{\partial T} \delta T. \quad (20)$$

198 Computing the variation of the charges, we find

$$\delta Q_\xi = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \int_0^L du (\epsilon \delta T - \zeta \delta P). \quad (21)$$

199 We see that this expression can be directly integrated in order to obtain the finite charges

$$Q_\xi = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \int_0^L du (T(u)\epsilon(u) - P(u)\zeta(u)), \quad (22)$$

200 where the metric of the extremal black hole in the NHL, which has $P(u) = T(u) = 0$, has been
201 chosen as the background metric. In particular, we define

$$L_n = Q_{l_n} = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \int_0^L du T(u) \frac{L}{2\pi} e^{2\pi i n u/L}, \quad (23)$$

$$J_n = Q_{j_n} = -\frac{1}{16\pi G} \int_0^L du P(u) \frac{L}{2\pi i} e^{2\pi i n u/L}, \quad (24)$$

202 Computing the algebra of these charges under the Dirac bracket yields

$$i\{L_m, L_n\} = i\delta_{l_n} L_m = (m-n)L_{m+n}, \quad (25a)$$

$$i\{L_m, J_n\} = i\delta_{j_n} L_m = -nJ_{m+n} - \frac{L}{16\pi G} m^2 \delta_{m+n,0}, \quad (25b)$$

$$i\{J_m, J_n\} = i\delta_{j_n} J_m = \frac{L^2}{32\pi^2 G} m \delta_{m+n,0}. \quad (25c)$$

203 The algebra described by the relations (25) corresponds to a Virasoro-Kac-Moody $U(1)$
204 algebra, the symmetry algebra of a WCFT,

$$i\{L_m, L_n\} = (m-n)L_{m+n} + \frac{c}{12} m^3 \delta_{m+n,0}, \quad (26a)$$

$$i\{L_m, J_n\} = -nJ_{m+n} - i\kappa m^2 \delta_{m+n,0}, \quad (26b)$$

$$i\{J_m, J_n\} = \frac{k}{2} m \delta_{m+n,0}. \quad (26c)$$

³See e.g. [102] for a pedagogical account and references

205 with central charges c , κ and k

$$c = 0, \quad \kappa = \frac{L}{16\pi i G}, \quad k = \frac{L^2}{16\pi^2 G}. \quad (27)$$

206 2.4 Boundary Conditions in Schwarzschild-like Coordinates

207 Previously, we applied the Godet-Marteau boundary conditions on the extremal BTZ black hole
 208 by introducing a new system of coordinates (with a retarded time u). With this system of coor-
 209 dinates, the metric was written in a form that was similar to the Bondi gauge for AdS₂. Here,
 210 we perform our analysis in the Schwarzschild-like system of coordinates. In these coordinates,
 211 the metric of the extremal BTZ black hole (in the NHL) reads (3). Upon rescaling $\rho \rightarrow (r_h \rho)/2$
 212 and $\varphi \rightarrow \varphi/(2r_h)$, the metric (3) becomes

$$ds^2 = \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{d\rho^2}{\rho^2} - \rho^2 d\tau^2 \right) + \frac{1}{4} (d\varphi + \rho d\tau)^2. \quad (28)$$

213 We now impose Godet-Marteau boundary conditions on this metric by applying a finite coor-
 214 dinate transformation given by

$$\tau \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\tau), \quad \rho \rightarrow \frac{1}{\mathcal{F}'}(\rho + \mathcal{G}'(\tau)), \quad \varphi \rightarrow \varphi - \mathcal{G}(\tau). \quad (29)$$

215 Defining a function $\mathcal{H}(\tau) \equiv \mathcal{F}''(\tau)/\mathcal{F}'(\tau)$, this transformation yields the metric components

$$g_{\tau\tau} = -\frac{1}{2}\rho\mathcal{G}'(\tau) - \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{G}'(\tau)^2 + \frac{((\rho + \mathcal{G}'(\tau))\mathcal{H}(\tau) - \mathcal{G}''(\tau))^2}{4(\rho + \mathcal{G}'(\tau))^2}, \quad (30a)$$

$$g_{\tau\rho} = \frac{-(\rho + \mathcal{G}'(\tau))\mathcal{H}(\tau) + \mathcal{G}''(\tau)}{4(\rho + \mathcal{G}'(\tau))^2}, \quad g_{\tau\varphi} = \frac{\rho}{4}, \quad (30b)$$

$$g_{\rho\rho} = \frac{1}{4(\rho + \mathcal{G}'(\tau))^2}, \quad g_{\rho\varphi} = 0, \quad g_{\varphi\varphi} = \frac{1}{4}. \quad (30c)$$

216 The metric of the extremal BTZ black hole (in the NHL) corresponds to (30) with $\mathcal{G}'(\tau) = 0$
 217 and $\mathcal{H}(\tau) = 0$.

218 The asymptotic Killing vectors generating the transformations (29) are given by

$$\xi = \epsilon(\tau)\partial_\tau - (\rho\epsilon'(\tau) + \zeta'(\tau))\partial_\rho + \zeta(\tau)\partial_\varphi, \quad (31)$$

219 where $\epsilon(\tau)$ and $\zeta(\tau)$ are two arbitrary functions of τ . By applying the Lie derivative on the
 220 metric, we find the variations of $\mathcal{G}'(\tau)$ and $\mathcal{H}(\tau)$:

$$\delta_\xi \mathcal{G}'(\tau) = \epsilon'(\tau)\mathcal{G}'(\tau) + \epsilon(\tau)\mathcal{G}''(\tau) - \zeta'(\tau), \quad (32)$$

$$\delta_\xi \mathcal{H}(\tau) = \epsilon'(\tau)\mathcal{H}(\tau) + \epsilon''(\tau) + \epsilon(\tau)\mathcal{H}'(\tau). \quad (33)$$

221 In the following, we assume that τ is periodic with period L . We define modes as

$$l_n = \xi \left(\epsilon = \frac{L}{2\pi} e^{2\pi i n \tau / L}, \zeta = 0 \right), \quad j_n = \xi \left(\epsilon = 0, \zeta = \frac{L}{2\pi i} e^{2\pi i n \tau / L} \right). \quad (34)$$

222 Under the Lie bracket, these modes satisfy the warped Witt algebra (17).

223 Here, the integral considered in (18) for the computation of the charges is taken over τ
 224 while $\rho \rightarrow \infty$ and φ is constant. Explicitly computing the charges yields

$$Q_\xi = \frac{1}{32\pi G} \int_0^L d\tau \left(2\zeta(\tau)\mathcal{G}'(\tau) - \epsilon(\tau)\mathcal{G}'(\tau)^2 + 2\epsilon'(\tau)\mathcal{H}(\tau) + \epsilon(\tau)\mathcal{H}(\tau)^2 \right), \quad (35)$$

225 where the metric of the extremal black hole in the NHL, which has $\mathcal{G}'(\tau) = \mathcal{H}(\tau) = 0$, has
 226 been chosen as the background metric. We define

$$L_n = Q_{l_n} = \frac{1}{32\pi G} \int_0^L d\tau \left(-\mathcal{G}'(\tau)^2 + \frac{4\pi i n}{L} \mathcal{H}(\tau) + \mathcal{H}(\tau)^2 \right) \frac{L}{2\pi} e^{2\pi i n \tau / L}, \quad (36)$$

$$J_n = Q_{j_n} = \frac{1}{32\pi G} \int_0^L d\tau (2\mathcal{G}'(\tau)) \frac{L}{2\pi i} e^{2\pi i n \tau / L}. \quad (37)$$

227 The algebra of the charges L_n and J_n is given by (26a)-(26c) with central charges

$$c^* = \frac{3}{2G}, \quad \kappa^* = 0, \quad k^* = \frac{L^2}{16\pi^2 G}, \quad (38)$$

228 which are different from those found in (27). We would like to know if it is possible to relate
 229 algebras (26) with different central charges, given by (27) and (38), respectively. By defining
 230 new surface charges [54]

$$L_n^* := L_n + \frac{2i\kappa}{k} n J_n \quad (39)$$

231 it is possible to go from an algebra with central charges c , κ and k to a new algebra with central
 232 charges given by

$$c^* = c - \frac{24\kappa^2}{k}, \quad \kappa^* = 0, \quad k^* = k. \quad (40)$$

233 Using this relation, the central charges found here, (c^*, κ^*, k^*) , can be related to those found
 234 in (27), (c, κ, k) . Explicitly, we have

$$c^* = 0 - 24 \left(\frac{-L^2}{(16\pi)^2 G^2} \right) \frac{16\pi^2 G}{L^2} = \frac{3}{2G} \quad (41)$$

235 and the relations for κ^* and k^* are trivial. Note that c^* is recognized as the Brown-Henneaux
 236 central charge for AdS₃ gravity [14].

237 3 Extremal Kerr

238 3.1 Geometry and NHEK

239 The analysis of the previous sections can also be applied to extremal Kerr black holes. The
 240 metric of the extremal Kerr black hole in Boyer-Lindquist coordinates reads

$$ds^2 = -\frac{\Delta}{\rho^2} (dt - a \sin^2 \theta d\phi)^2 + \frac{\sin^2 \theta}{\rho^2} ((r^2 + a^2)d\phi - a dt)^2 + \frac{\rho^2}{\Delta} dr^2 + \rho^2 d\theta^2, \quad (42)$$

241 where

$$\Delta = (r - a)^2, \quad \rho^2 = r^2 + a^2 \cos^2 \theta, \quad a = GM. \quad (43)$$

242 We consider the change of coordinates

$$\hat{r} = \frac{r - GM}{\lambda GM}, \quad \hat{t} = \frac{\lambda t}{2GM}, \quad \hat{\phi} = \phi - \frac{t}{2GM} \quad (44)$$

243 and take the limit $\lambda \rightarrow 0$, yielding the near-horizon extremal Kerr (NHEK) geometry

$$ds^2 = G^2 M^2 (1 + \cos^2 \theta) \left(\frac{d\hat{r}^2}{\hat{r}^2} + d\theta^2 - \hat{r}^2 d\hat{t}^2 \right) + \frac{4G^2 M^2 \sin^2 \theta}{1 + \cos^2 \theta} (d\hat{\phi} + \hat{r} d\hat{t})^2. \quad (45)$$

244 Hereafter, we will omit " \wedge " of the coordinates. In order to apply Godet-Marteau boundary
245 conditions to this metric, we write it in a system of coordinates similar to the Bondi coordinates

$$t = u - \frac{1}{r}, \quad \phi = \varphi - \ln r, \quad (46)$$

246 such that the metric becomes

$$ds^2 = G^2 M^2 (1 + \cos^2 \theta) (-r^2 du^2 - 2du dr + d\theta^2) + \frac{4G^2 M^2 \sin^2 \theta}{1 + \cos^2 \theta} (d\varphi + r du)^2. \quad (47)$$

247 3.2 Phase Space and Asymptotic Killing Vectors

248 Inspired by the Godet-Marteau boundary conditions for AdS₂ [54], we consider the following
249 family of metrics

$$ds^2 = G^2 M^2 (1 + \cos^2 \theta) (-r^2 du^2 + 2P(u)r + 2T(u)) du^2 - 2du dr + d\theta^2 + \frac{4G^2 M^2 \sin^2 \theta}{1 + \cos^2 \theta} (d\varphi + r du)^2, \quad (48)$$

250 where P and T are arbitrary functions of u . They can be obtained from (47) by applying a
251 finite coordinate transformation given by

$$u \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(u), \quad r \rightarrow \frac{1}{\mathcal{F}'}(r + \mathcal{G}'(u)), \quad \varphi \rightarrow \varphi - \mathcal{G}(u), \quad \theta \rightarrow \theta. \quad (49)$$

252 The functions P, T, \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} are related by

$$P(u) = -\mathcal{G}'(u) + \frac{\mathcal{F}''(u)}{\mathcal{F}'(u)}, \quad (50)$$

$$T(u) = -\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{G}'(u)^2 + \mathcal{G}'(u) \frac{\mathcal{F}''(u)}{\mathcal{F}'(u)} - \mathcal{G}''(u). \quad (51)$$

253 The asymptotic Killing vectors generating the transformations (49) are given by

$$\xi = \epsilon(u) \partial_u - (r\epsilon'(u) + \zeta'(u)) \partial_r + \zeta(u) \partial_\varphi, \quad (52)$$

254 where $\epsilon(u)$ and $\zeta(u)$ are two arbitrary functions of u . By applying the Lie derivative on the
255 metric (48), we can also find

$$\delta_\xi P = \epsilon P' + \epsilon' P + \epsilon'' + \zeta', \quad (53)$$

$$\delta_\xi T = \epsilon T' + 2\epsilon' T - \zeta' P + \zeta''. \quad (54)$$

256 From now on we assume that u is periodic with period L . We define the modes

$$l_n = \xi \left(\frac{L}{2\pi} e^{2\pi i n u/L}, 0 \right), \quad j_n = \xi \left(0, \frac{L}{2\pi i} e^{2\pi i n u/L} \right), \quad (55)$$

257 where $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Under the Lie bracket, these modes satisfy the warped Witt algebra (17).

258 3.3 Charge Algebra

259 We can now compute the surface charges by using the expression (18). For this, we integrate
260 over u and θ while keeping φ fixed and taking $r \rightarrow \infty$. Defining

$$h_{\mu\nu} \equiv \delta g_{\mu\nu} = \frac{\partial g_{\mu\nu}}{\partial P} \delta P + \frac{\partial g_{\mu\nu}}{\partial T} \delta T, \quad (56)$$

261 we compute

$$\delta Q_\xi = \frac{G^2 M^2}{4\pi G} \int_0^L du \int_0^\pi d\theta \sin \theta (\delta T \epsilon - \delta P \zeta), \quad (57)$$

262 which upon integration yields

$$Q_\xi = \frac{GM^2}{2\pi} \int_0^L du (T(u)\epsilon(u) - P(u)\zeta(u)), \quad (58)$$

263 where the NHEK geometry, which has $P(u) = T(u) = 0$, has been chosen as the background
264 metric. In particular, we define

$$L_n = Q_{l_n} = \frac{GM^2}{2\pi} \int_0^L du T(u) \frac{L}{2\pi} e^{2\pi i n u/L}, \quad (59)$$

$$J_n = Q_{j_n} = -\frac{GM^2}{2\pi} \int_0^L du P(u) \frac{L}{2\pi i} e^{2\pi i n u/L}. \quad (60)$$

265 The charges L_n and J_n respect the algebra (26) with central charges given by

$$c = 0, \quad \kappa = \frac{LGM^2}{2\pi i}, \quad k = \frac{L^2 GM^2}{2\pi^2}. \quad (61)$$

266 3.4 Boundary Conditions in Boyer-Lindquist Coordinates

267 So far, we studied the NHEK geometry by writing it in a new system of coordinates (with a
268 retarded time u). Now, we perform the same analysis in Boyer-Lindquist coordinates. Again,
269 we obtain a phase space of metrics from (45) by applying the finite coordinate transformation

$$t \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(t), \quad r \rightarrow \frac{1}{\mathcal{F}'}(r + \mathcal{G}'(t)), \quad \phi \rightarrow \phi - \mathcal{G}(t). \quad (62)$$

270 Defining $\mathcal{H}(t) \equiv \mathcal{F}''(t)/\mathcal{F}'(t)$, yields

$$g_{tt} = \frac{4r^2 G^2 M^2 \sin^2 \theta}{1 + \cos^2 \theta} - G^2 M^2 (1 + \cos^2 \theta) (r + \mathcal{G}'(t))^2 + \frac{G^2 M^2 (1 + \cos^2 \theta)}{(r + \mathcal{G}'(t))^2} ((r + \mathcal{G}'(t))\mathcal{H}(t) - \mathcal{G}''(t))^2, \quad (63a)$$

$$g_{tr} = -G^2 M^2 (1 + \cos^2 \theta) \frac{((r + \mathcal{G}'(t))\mathcal{H}(t) - \mathcal{G}''(t))}{(r + \mathcal{G}'(t))^2}, \quad (63b)$$

$$g_{t\theta} = 0, \quad g_{t\phi} = \frac{4r G^2 M^2 \sin^2 \theta}{1 + \cos^2 \theta}, \quad (63c)$$

$$g_{rr} = \frac{G^2 M^2 (1 + \cos^2 \theta)}{(r + \mathcal{G}'(t))^2}, \quad g_{r\theta} = 0, \quad g_{r\phi} = 0, \quad (63d)$$

$$g_{\theta\theta} = G^2 M^2 (1 + \cos^2 \theta), \quad g_{\theta\phi} = 0, \quad g_{\phi\phi} = \frac{4G^2 M^2 \sin^2 \theta}{1 + \cos^2 \theta}, \quad (63e)$$

271 where the NHEK geometry is obtained by setting $\mathcal{G}'(t) = 0$ and $\mathcal{H}(t) = 0$. Hence, the order of
272 the non-zero fluctuations of the boundary metric is given by

$$h_{tt} = \mathcal{O}(r), \quad h_{tr} = \mathcal{O}(r^{-1}), \quad h_{rr} = \mathcal{O}(r^{-3}). \quad (64)$$

273 The asymptotic Killing vectors generating the transformations (62) are given by

$$\xi(\epsilon, \zeta) = \epsilon(t)\partial_t + (-r\epsilon'(t) - \zeta'(t))\partial_r + \zeta(t)\partial_\phi, \quad (65)$$

274 where $\epsilon(t)$ and $\zeta(t)$ are two arbitrary functions of t .

275 We recall that the group of exact isometries of the NHEK geometry, $SL(2, \mathbb{R}) \times U(1)$, is
276 generated by the Killing vectors

$$\xi_{-1} = \partial_t, \quad \xi_0 = t\partial_t - r\partial_r, \quad \xi_1 = \left(t^2 + \frac{1}{r^2}\right)\partial_t - 2tr\partial_r - \frac{2}{r}\partial_\phi, \quad (66)$$

$$\xi_\phi = \partial_\phi. \quad (67)$$

277 Comparing these vectors with (65), we find that $\xi_{-1} = \xi(\epsilon = 1, \zeta = 0)$, $\xi_0 = \xi(\epsilon = t, \zeta = 0)$,
278 $\xi_\phi = \xi(\epsilon = 0, \zeta = 1)$ and that ξ_1 correspond to $\xi(\epsilon = t^2, \zeta = 0)$ up to subleading terms
279 in r . Hence, the asymptotic symmetry group contains all the exact isometries of the NHEK
280 geometry, which was not the case for the boundary conditions studied in [63].

281 By applying the Lie derivative on (63), we find

$$\delta_\xi \mathcal{G}'(t) = \epsilon'(t)\mathcal{G}'(t) + \epsilon(t)\mathcal{G}''(t) - \zeta'(t), \quad (68)$$

$$\delta_\xi \mathcal{H}(t) = \epsilon'(t)\mathcal{H}(t) + \epsilon''(t) + \epsilon(t)\mathcal{H}'(t). \quad (69)$$

282 From now on we assume that t is periodic with period L . We define modes as

$$l_n = \xi\left(\frac{L}{2\pi}e^{2\pi i n t/L}, 0\right), \quad j_n = \xi\left(0, \frac{L}{2\pi i}e^{2\pi i n t/L}\right), \quad (70)$$

283 with $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, which satisfy (17).

284 Here, the integral considered in (18) for the computation of the charges is taken over t
285 and θ while $r \rightarrow \infty$ and ϕ is constant. Computing the charges explicitly, we find

$$Q_\xi = \frac{GM^2}{4\pi} \int_0^L dt (2\mathcal{G}'(t)\zeta(t) - \mathcal{G}'(t)^2\epsilon(t) + 2\epsilon'(t)\mathcal{H}(t) + \epsilon(t)\mathcal{H}(t)^2), \quad (71)$$

286 where the NHEK geometry, which has $\mathcal{G}'(t) = \mathcal{H}(t) = 0$, has been chosen as the background
287 metric. In particular, we define

$$L_n = Q_{l_n} = \frac{GM^2}{4\pi} \int_0^L dt \left(-\mathcal{G}'(t)^2 + \frac{4\pi i n}{L}\mathcal{H}(t) + \mathcal{H}(t)^2\right) \frac{L}{2\pi} e^{2\pi i n t/L}, \quad (72)$$

$$J_n = Q_{j_n} = \frac{GM^2}{4\pi} \int_0^L dt 2\mathcal{G}'(t) \frac{L}{2\pi i} e^{2\pi i n t/L}. \quad (73)$$

288 The charges L_n and J_n fulfill the algebra (26) with central charges given by

$$c^* = 12GM^2 = 12J, \quad \kappa^* = 0, \quad k^* = \frac{L^2 GM^2}{2\pi^2} = \frac{JL^2}{2\pi^2}. \quad (74)$$

289 The algebra (26) with central charges (74), (c^*, κ^*, k^*) can be related to the one with
290 central charges (61), (c, κ, k) , by the transformation (39) and (40). Indeed, we have

$$c^* = 0 - 24 \left(\frac{LGM^2}{2\pi i}\right)^2 \frac{2\pi^2}{L^2 GM^2} = 12GM^2 \quad (75)$$

291 and the relations for κ^* and k^* are trivial. Here c^* is recognized as the Kerr/CFT central
292 charge [63].

293 3.5 Comparison to other Boundary Conditions for extremal Kerr Black Holes

294 We now compare our results with those obtained in [93]. There, the perturbations defined on
295 the background metric (45) were

$$h_{tt} = \mathcal{O}(r^0), \quad h_{tr} = \mathcal{O}(r^{-3}), \quad h_{t\theta} = \mathcal{O}(r^{-3}), \quad h_{t\phi} = \mathcal{O}(r^{-2}), \quad (76a)$$

$$h_{rr} = \mathcal{O}(r^{-4}), \quad h_{r\theta} = \mathcal{O}(r^{-4}), \quad h_{r\phi} = \mathcal{O}(r^{-3}), \quad (76b)$$

$$h_{\theta\theta} = \mathcal{O}(r^{-3}), \quad h_{\theta\phi} = \mathcal{O}(r^{-3}), \quad h_{\phi\phi} = \mathcal{O}(r^{-2}) \quad (76c)$$

296 and the vectors solving the asymptotic Killing equation took the general form

$$\begin{aligned} \xi = & \left(\epsilon(t) + \frac{\epsilon''(t)}{2r^2} + \mathcal{O}(r^{-3}) \right) \partial_t + \left(-r\epsilon'(t) + \frac{\epsilon'''(t)}{2r} + \mathcal{O}(r^{-2}) \right) \partial_r \\ & + \left(\mathcal{C} - \frac{\epsilon''(t)}{r} + \mathcal{O}(r^{-3}) \right) \partial_\phi + \mathcal{O}(r^{-3}) \partial_\theta, \end{aligned} \quad (77)$$

297 where $\epsilon(t)$ is an arbitrary function of t and \mathcal{C} is an arbitrary constant. The boundary conditions
298 (76) are different from ours, compare equation (64). Neglecting the subleading terms, we see
299 that (65) reduces to (77) upon setting $\zeta(t) = \mathcal{C} = \text{const}$. Hence, in both cases the expression
300 (77) contains the vectors (66)-(67) generating the $SL(2, \mathbb{R}) \times U(1)$ group of isometries.

301 In [93] it is claimed that the charges associated to the vectors (77) with $\mathcal{C} = 0$ form a
302 Virasoro algebra with vanishing central extension, contrary to our result. Indeed, restricting to
303 a subset of our charges by considering only asymptotic Killing vectors (65) that have $\zeta(t) = 0$,
304 we obtain a Virasoro algebra (26a) with non-zero central charge.

305 Different boundary conditions encompassing the NHEK geometry were also presented in
306 [103, 104]. Starting from the background metric (45), a phase space of metrics was obtained
307 by applying a finite coordinate transformation

$$\begin{aligned} t & \rightarrow f(t) + \frac{2f''(t)f'(t)^2}{4r^2f'(t)^2 - f''(t)^2}, \\ r & \rightarrow \frac{4r^2f'(t)^2 - f''(t)^2}{4rf'(t)^3}, \\ \phi & \rightarrow \phi + \log\left(\frac{2rf'(t) - f''(t)}{2rf'(t) + f''(t)}\right), \end{aligned} \quad (78)$$

308 yielding the line element

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 = & G^2M^2(1 + \cos^2\theta) \left(-r^2 \left(1 + \frac{\{f(t), t\}}{2r^2} \right)^2 dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{r^2} + d\theta^2 \right) \\ & + \frac{4G^2M^2 \sin^2\theta}{1 + \cos^2\theta} \left(d\phi + r \left(1 - \frac{\{f(t), t\}}{2r^2} \right) dt \right)^2 \end{aligned} \quad (79)$$

309 with the Schwarzian derivative

$$\{f(t), t\} = \left(\frac{f''}{f'} \right)' - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{f''}{f'} \right)^2. \quad (80)$$

310 Equivalently, the components of this metric read

$$g_{tt} = \frac{4r^2 G^2 M^2 \sin^2 \theta}{1 + \cos^2 \theta} \left(1 - \frac{\{f(t), t\}}{2r^2} \right)^2 - G^2 M^2 (1 + \cos^2 \theta) r^2 \left(1 + \frac{\{f(t), t\}}{2r^2} \right)^2, \quad (81a)$$

$$g_{tr} = 0, \quad g_{t\theta} = 0, \quad g_{t\phi} = \frac{4G^2 M^2 \sin^2 \theta}{1 + \cos^2 \theta} r \left(1 - \frac{\{f(t), t\}}{2r^2} \right), \quad (81b)$$

$$g_{rr} = \frac{G^2 M^2 (1 + \cos^2 \theta)}{r^2}, \quad g_{r\theta} = 0, \quad g_{r\phi} = 0, \quad (81c)$$

$$g_{\theta\theta} = G^2 M^2 (1 + \cos^2 \theta), \quad g_{\theta\phi} = 0, \quad g_{\phi\phi} = \frac{4G^2 M^2 \sin^2 \theta}{1 + \cos^2 \theta}, \quad (81d)$$

311 which are different from the components (63) that we obtained from applying the transfor-
312 mation (62). The order of the non-zero fluctuations of the boundary metric

$$h_{tt} = \mathcal{O}(r^{-2}), \quad h_{t\varphi} = \mathcal{O}(r^{-1}) \quad (82)$$

313 are different from (76) and ours, compare equation (64). Furthermore, while here the com-
314 ponents only depend on one free function of t , our class of metrics (63a)-(63e) depends on
315 two. Expanding $f(t) = t + \epsilon(t) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2)$, the asymptotic Killing vectors generating the trans-
316 formations (78) are given by

$$\xi = \left(\epsilon(t) + \frac{\epsilon''(t)}{2r^2} \right) \partial_t - r \epsilon'(t) \partial_r - \frac{\epsilon''(t)}{r} \partial_\phi, \quad (83)$$

317 where $\epsilon(t)$ is an arbitrary function of t . Again, up to subleading terms, these vectors are a
318 subset of the vectors (65), obtained by setting $\zeta(t) = 0$.

319 4 Ultra-cold Kerr-dS

320 4.1 Geometry and Phase Space

321 In this section, we study the near horizon geometry of the Kerr-dS black hole in the ultracold
322 limit where the inner, outer and cosmological horizon coincide. In this limit, the metric takes
323 the form [42]

$$\frac{ds^2}{\ell^2} = \Gamma(\theta) \left(-dt^2 + dr^2 + \alpha(\theta) d\theta^2 \right) + \gamma(\theta) (d\phi + \bar{k} r dt)^2 \quad (84)$$

324 with

$$\Gamma(\theta) = \frac{\sqrt{2\sqrt{3}-3} \left((3-2\sqrt{3}) \cos^2(\theta) - 1 \right)}{2(\sqrt{3}-3)}, \quad \alpha(\theta) = \frac{2\sqrt{14\sqrt{3}-24}}{(7\sqrt{3}-12) \cos^2(\theta) + \sqrt{3}}, \quad (85)$$

$$\gamma(\theta) = \frac{\sin^2(\theta) \left((15\sqrt{3}-26) \cos^2(\theta) + \sqrt{3} - 2 \right)}{3(4\sqrt{3}-7) \cos(2\theta) + 8\sqrt{3} - 15}, \quad \bar{k} = -\sqrt{3}, \quad (86)$$

325 where the bar has been introduced to avoid possible confusions between the parameter \bar{k} with
326 the central extension k . Here, we have chosen our units such that the cosmological constant
327 $\Lambda = 3/\ell^2$, with ℓ being the dS radius. The sign of \bar{k} is arbitrary and can be changed by sending
328 $t \rightarrow -t$. We change to Eddington-Finkelstein-like coordinates

$$u = t - r, \quad \phi = \bar{\varphi} - \frac{\bar{k} r^2}{2}, \quad (87)$$

329 yielding

$$\frac{ds^2}{\ell^2} = \Gamma(\theta)(-du^2 - 2dudr + \alpha(\theta)d\theta^2) + \gamma(\theta)(d\bar{\varphi} + \bar{k}rdu)^2. \quad (88)$$

330 Upon setting

$$\bar{\varphi} = \bar{k}\varphi, \quad \gamma(\theta) = \frac{\bar{\gamma}(\theta)}{\bar{k}^2}, \quad (89)$$

331 we get

$$\frac{ds^2}{\ell^2} = \Gamma(\theta)(-du^2 - 2dudr + \alpha(\theta)d\theta^2) + \bar{\gamma}(\theta)(d\varphi + rdu)^2. \quad (90)$$

332 Inspired by [99], we consider the following family of metrics

$$\frac{ds^2}{\ell^2} = \Gamma(\theta)\left(2(P(u)r + T(u))du^2 - 2dudr + \alpha(\theta)d\theta^2\right) + \bar{\gamma}(\theta)(d\varphi + rdu)^2, \quad (91)$$

333 where P and T are arbitrary functions of u . This family can be obtained by applying the finite
334 coordinate transformation

$$u \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(u), \quad r \rightarrow \frac{1}{\mathcal{F}'}(r + \mathcal{G}'(u)), \quad \varphi \rightarrow \varphi - \mathcal{G}(u) \quad (92)$$

335 to (90). The functions P, T, \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} are related by

$$T(u) = -\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{F}'(u)^2 - \mathcal{G}''(u) + \frac{\mathcal{G}'(u)\mathcal{F}''(u)}{\mathcal{F}'(u)}, \quad P(u) = \frac{\mathcal{F}''(u)}{\mathcal{F}'(u)}. \quad (93)$$

336 4.2 Asymptotic Killing Vectors

337 The asymptotic Killing vectors generating the transformations (92) read

$$\xi = \epsilon(u)\partial_u - (r\epsilon'(u) + \zeta'(u))\partial_r + \zeta(u)\partial_\varphi, \quad (94)$$

338 where $\epsilon(u)$ and $\zeta(u)$ are two arbitrary functions of u . We take the retarded time u to be periodic
339 with period L , and define the generators

$$l_n = \xi(\epsilon = \frac{L}{2\pi}e^{2\pi i n u/L}, \zeta = 0), \quad j_n = \xi(\epsilon = 0, \zeta = \frac{L}{2\pi i}e^{2\pi i n u/L}), \quad (95)$$

340 which obey (17). By applying the Lie derivative on the metric (91), we find the variations of
341 $T(u)$ and $P(u)$

$$\delta_\xi T(u) = \left(2T(u)\epsilon'(u) + \epsilon(u)T'(u) - P(u)\zeta'(u) + \zeta''(u)\right), \quad (96a)$$

$$\delta_\xi P(u) = \left(P(u)\epsilon'(u) + \epsilon(u)P'(u) + \epsilon''(u)\right). \quad (96b)$$

342 4.3 Charge Algebra

343 We compute the surface charges from (18), yielding

$$Q = \frac{\ell^2}{8\pi G} \int_0^L du (\sqrt{3} - 1)(\epsilon(u)T(u) - \zeta(u)P(u)), \quad (97)$$

344 where we have integrated over a constant r, φ surface and taken the limit $r \rightarrow \infty$. Defining

$$L_n = Q_{l_n} = \frac{L\ell^2}{16\pi^2 G} \int_0^L du (\sqrt{3} - 1)e^{2\pi i n u/L} T(u), \quad (98a)$$

$$J_n = Q_{j_n} = -\frac{L\ell^2}{16\pi^2 i G} \int_0^L du (\sqrt{3} - 1)e^{2\pi i n u/L} P(u), \quad (98b)$$

345 one readily computes that the charges L_n, J_n obey (26) with $c = k = 0$ and

$$\kappa = \frac{1}{i} \frac{L\ell^2}{8\pi G} (\sqrt{3} - 1). \quad (99)$$

346 Acknowledgements

347 The authors thank Dionysios Anninos, Alejandra Castro, Daniel Grumiller, Tom Hartman, and
348 Chiara Toldo for useful discussions and exchanges on the topics covered in this work. We
349 thank Katharina Schäfer for initial collaboration on Sect. 4 of this paper. RW acknowledges
350 support of the Fonds de la Recherche Scientifique F.R.S.-FNRS (Belgium) through the PDR/OL
351 C62/5 project “Black hole horizons: away from conformality” (2022-2025) and RW thanks the
352 Erwin Schrödinger Institute for hospitality, where part of this work was carried out. RW also
353 acknowledges support by the Heising-Simons Foundation under the “Observational Signatures
354 of Quantum Gravity” collaboration grant 2021-2818 and the U.S. Department of Energy, Of-
355 fice of High Energy Physics, under Award No. DE-SC0019470 during the final stages of this
356 work. TS is a Research Fellow of the Fonds de la Recherche Scientifique – FNRS (Belgium).
357 SD is a Senior Research Associate of the Fonds de la Recherche Scientifique F.R.S.-FNRS (Bel-
358 gium). SD was supported in part by IISN – Belgium (convention 4.4503.15) and benefited
359 from the support of the Solvay Family. SD acknowledges support of the Fonds de la Recherche
360 Scientifique F.R.S.-FNRS (Belgium) through the CDR project C 60/5 - CDR/OL “Horizon holog-
361 raphy: black holes and field theories” (2020-2022), and the PDR/OL C62/5 project “Black hole
362 horizons: away from conformality” (2022-2025)

363 References

- 364 [1] V. A. Fok, *The Theory of Space, Time and Gravitation*, Macmillan, New York, (1959).
- 365 [2] C. Bunster, M. Henneaux, A. Perez, D. Tempo and R. Troncoso, *Generalized Black Holes in*
366 *Three-dimensional Spacetime*, JHEP **05**, 031 (2014), doi:[10.1007/JHEP05\(2014\)031](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05(2014)031),
367 [1404.3305](https://arxiv.org/abs/1404.3305).
- 368 [3] B. Kostant, *Quantization and unitary representations* (1970).
- 369 [4] J.-M. Souriau, *Structure des systèmes dynamiques*, Dunod, Paris, Maîtrises de mathé-
370 matiques (1970).
- 371 [5] H. Bondi, M. G. J. van der Burg and A. W. K. Metzner, *Gravitational waves in general*
372 *relativity. 7. Waves from axisymmetric isolated systems*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A **269**, 21
373 (1962), doi:[10.1098/rspa.1962.0161](https://doi.org/10.1098/rspa.1962.0161).
- 374 [6] R. K. Sachs, *Gravitational waves in general relativity. 8. Waves in asymptotically flat*
375 *space-times*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A **270**, 103 (1962), doi:[10.1098/rspa.1962.0206](https://doi.org/10.1098/rspa.1962.0206).
- 376 [7] J. de Boer and S. N. Solodukhin, *A Holographic reduction of Minkowski space-time*, Nucl.
377 Phys. B **665**, 545 (2003), doi:[10.1016/S0550-3213\(03\)00494-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(03)00494-2), [hep-th/0303006](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0303006).
- 378 [8] G. Barnich and C. Troessaert, *Symmetries of asymptotically flat 4 dimensional*
379 *spacetimes at null infinity revisited*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 111103 (2010),
380 doi:[10.1103/PhysRevLett.105.111103](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.105.111103), [0909.2617](https://arxiv.org/abs/0909.2617).
- 381 [9] G. Barnich and C. Troessaert, *Aspects of the BMS/CFT correspondence*, JHEP **05**, 062
382 (2010), doi:[10.1007/JHEP05\(2010\)062](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05(2010)062), [1001.1541](https://arxiv.org/abs/1001.1541).
- 383 [10] M. Campiglia and A. Laddha, *Asymptotic symmetries and subleading soft graviton*
384 *theorem*, Phys. Rev. D **90**(12), 124028 (2014), doi:[10.1103/PhysRevD.90.124028](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.90.124028),
385 [1408.2228](https://arxiv.org/abs/1408.2228).

- 386 [11] M. Campiglia and A. Laddha, *New symmetries for the Gravitational S-matrix*, JHEP **04**,
387 076 (2015), doi:[10.1007/JHEP04\(2015\)076](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04(2015)076), [1502.02318](https://arxiv.org/abs/1502.02318).
- 388 [12] A. Strominger, *On BMS Invariance of Gravitational Scattering*, JHEP **07**, 152 (2014),
389 doi:[10.1007/JHEP07\(2014\)152](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP07(2014)152), [1312.2229](https://arxiv.org/abs/1312.2229).
- 390 [13] A. Strominger, *Lectures on the Infrared Structure of Gravity and Gauge Theory*, ISBN
391 978-0-691-17973-5 (2017), [1703.05448](https://arxiv.org/abs/1703.05448).
- 392 [14] J. D. Brown and M. Henneaux, *Central Charges in the Canonical Realization of Asymptotic*
393 *Symmetries: An Example from Three-Dimensional Gravity*, Commun.Math.Phys. **104**,
394 207 (1986), doi:[10.1007/BF01211590](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01211590).
- 395 [15] J. M. Maldacena and A. Strominger, *AdS(3) black holes and a stringy exclusion principle*,
396 JHEP **12**, 005 (1998), doi:[10.1088/1126-6708/1998/12/005](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/1998/12/005), [hep-th/9804085](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9804085).
- 397 [16] M. Banados, M. Henneaux, C. Teitelboim and J. Zanelli, *Geometry of the (2+1) black*
398 *hole*, Phys. Rev. **D48**, 1506 (1993), doi:[10.1103/PhysRevD.48.1506](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.48.1506), [10.1103/Phys-](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.88.069902)
399 [RevD.88.069902](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.88.069902), [Erratum: Phys. Rev.D88,069902(2013)], [gr-qc/9302012](https://arxiv.org/abs/gr-qc/9302012).
- 400 [17] M. Banados, C. Teitelboim and J. Zanelli, *The Black hole in three-dimensional space-time*,
401 Phys. Rev. Lett. **69**, 1849 (1992), doi:[10.1103/PhysRevLett.69.1849](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.69.1849), [hep-th/9204099](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9204099).
- 402 [18] A. Strominger, *AdS(2) quantum gravity and string theory*, JHEP **01**, 007 (1999),
403 doi:[10.1088/1126-6708/1999/01/007](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/1999/01/007), [hep-th/9809027](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9809027).
- 404 [19] M. Cvetič and F. Larsen, *Near horizon geometry of rotating black holes in five-*
405 *dimensions*, Nucl. Phys. B **531**, 239 (1998), doi:[10.1016/S0550-3213\(98\)00604-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(98)00604-X),
406 [hep-th/9805097](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9805097).
- 407 [20] A. Ashtekar, J. Bicak and B. G. Schmidt, *Asymptotic structure of symmetry reduced*
408 *general relativity*, Phys. Rev. D **55**, 669 (1997), doi:[10.1103/PhysRevD.55.669](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.55.669),
409 [gr-qc/9608042](https://arxiv.org/abs/gr-qc/9608042).
- 410 [21] G. Barnich and G. Compère, *Classical central extension for asymptotic symmetries*
411 *at null infinity in three spacetime dimensions*, Class. Quant. Grav. **24**, F15 (2007),
412 doi:[10.1088/0264-9381/24/5/F01](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/24/5/F01), [gr-qc/0610130](https://arxiv.org/abs/gr-qc/0610130).
- 413 [22] G. Compère and A. Fiorucci, *Asymptotically flat spacetimes with BMS₃ symmetry*, Class.
414 Quant. Grav. **34**(20), 204002 (2017), doi:[10.1088/1361-6382/aa8aad](https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6382/aa8aad), [1705.06217](https://arxiv.org/abs/1705.06217).
- 415 [23] G. Barnich, A. Gomberoff and H. A. Gonzalez, *The Flat limit of three dimen-*
416 *sional asymptotically anti-de Sitter spacetimes*, Phys. Rev. D **86**, 024020 (2012),
417 doi:[10.1103/PhysRevD.86.024020](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.86.024020), [1204.3288](https://arxiv.org/abs/1204.3288).
- 418 [24] L. Cornalba and M. S. Costa, *A New cosmological scenario in string theory*, Phys. Rev. D
419 **66**, 066001 (2002), doi:[10.1103/PhysRevD.66.066001](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.66.066001), [hep-th/0203031](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0203031).
- 420 [25] L. Cornalba and M. S. Costa, *Time dependent orbifolds and string cosmology*, Fortsch.
421 Phys. **52**, 145 (2004), doi:[10.1002/prop.200310123](https://doi.org/10.1002/prop.200310123), [hep-th/0310099](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0310099).
- 422 [26] G. Barnich, *Entropy of three-dimensional asymptotically flat cosmological solutions*, JHEP
423 **1210**, 095 (2012), doi:[10.1007/JHEP10\(2012\)095](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP10(2012)095), [1208.4371](https://arxiv.org/abs/1208.4371).
- 424 [27] A. Bagchi, S. Detournay, R. Fareghbal and J. Simon, *Holography of 3d Flat Cosmological*
425 *Horizons*, Phys.Rev.Lett. **110**, 141302 (2013), doi:[10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.141302](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.141302),
426 [1208.4372](https://arxiv.org/abs/1208.4372).

- 427 [28] A. P. Porfyriadis and F. Wilczek, *Effective Action, Boundary Conditions, and Virasoro*
428 *Algebra for AdS_3* (2010), [1007.1031](https://arxiv.org/abs/1007.1031).
- 429 [29] G. Compere, W. Song and A. Strominger, *Chiral Liouville Gravity*, *JHEP* **1305**, 154
430 (2013), doi:[10.1007/JHEP05\(2013\)154](https://arxiv.org/abs/10.1007/JHEP05(2013)154), [1303.2660](https://arxiv.org/abs/1303.2660).
- 431 [30] H. Afshar, S. Detournay, D. Grumiller, W. Merbis, A. Perez, D. Tempo and R. Troncoso,
432 *Soft Heisenberg hair on black holes in three dimensions*, *Phys. Rev. D* **93**(10), 101503
433 (2016), doi:[10.1103/PhysRevD.93.101503](https://arxiv.org/abs/10.1103/PhysRevD.93.101503), [1603.04824](https://arxiv.org/abs/1603.04824).
- 434 [31] C. Troessaert, *Enhanced asymptotic symmetry algebra of AdS_3* , *JHEP* **08**, 044 (2013),
435 doi:[10.1007/JHEP08\(2013\)044](https://arxiv.org/abs/10.1007/JHEP08(2013)044), [1303.3296](https://arxiv.org/abs/1303.3296).
- 436 [32] S. G. Avery, R. R. Poojary and N. V. Suryanarayana, *An $sl(2, \mathbb{R})$ current algebra from AdS_3*
437 *gravity*, *JHEP* **01**, 144 (2014), doi:[10.1007/JHEP01\(2014\)144](https://arxiv.org/abs/10.1007/JHEP01(2014)144), [1304.4252](https://arxiv.org/abs/1304.4252).
- 438 [33] D. Grumiller and M. Riegler, *Most general AdS_3 boundary conditions*, *JHEP* **10**, 023
439 (2016), doi:[10.1007/JHEP10\(2016\)023](https://arxiv.org/abs/10.1007/JHEP10(2016)023), [1608.01308](https://arxiv.org/abs/1608.01308).
- 440 [34] H. Afshar, D. Grumiller, W. Merbis, A. Perez, D. Tempo and R. Troncoso, *Soft*
441 *hairy horizons in three spacetime dimensions*, *Phys. Rev. D* **95**(10), 106005 (2017),
442 doi:[10.1103/PhysRevD.95.106005](https://arxiv.org/abs/10.1103/PhysRevD.95.106005), [1611.09783](https://arxiv.org/abs/1611.09783).
- 443 [35] S. Detournay and M. Riegler, *Enhanced Asymptotic Symmetry Algebra*
444 *of 2+1 Dimensional Flat Space*, *Phys. Rev. D* **95**(4), 046008 (2017),
445 doi:[10.1103/PhysRevD.95.046008](https://arxiv.org/abs/10.1103/PhysRevD.95.046008), [1612.00278](https://arxiv.org/abs/1612.00278).
- 446 [36] D. Grumiller, W. Merbis and M. Riegler, *Most general flat space boundary conditions*
447 *in three-dimensional Einstein gravity*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **34**(18), 184001 (2017),
448 doi:[10.1088/1361-6382/aa8004](https://arxiv.org/abs/10.1088/1361-6382/aa8004), [1704.07419](https://arxiv.org/abs/1704.07419).
- 449 [37] D. Grumiller, M. M. Sheikh-Jabbari, C. Troessaert and R. Wutte, *Interpolat-*
450 *ing Between Asymptotic and Near Horizon Symmetries*, *JHEP* **03**, 035 (2020),
451 doi:[10.1007/JHEP03\(2020\)035](https://arxiv.org/abs/10.1007/JHEP03(2020)035), [1911.04503](https://arxiv.org/abs/1911.04503).
- 452 [38] T. M. Fiola, J. Preskill, A. Strominger and S. P. Trivedi, *Black hole thermody-*
453 *namics and information loss in two-dimensions*, *Phys. Rev. D* **50**, 3987 (1994),
454 doi:[10.1103/PhysRevD.50.3987](https://arxiv.org/abs/10.1103/PhysRevD.50.3987), [hep-th/9403137](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9403137).
- 455 [39] J. M. Maldacena, J. Michelson and A. Strominger, *Anti-de Sitter fragmentation*, *JHEP*
456 **02**, 011 (1999), doi:[10.1088/1126-6708/1999/02/011](https://arxiv.org/abs/10.1088/1126-6708/1999/02/011), [hep-th/9812073](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9812073).
- 457 [40] H. K. Kunduri and J. Lucietti, *Classification of near-horizon geometries of extremal black*
458 *holes*, *Living Rev. Rel.* **16**, 8 (2013), doi:[10.12942/lrr-2013-8](https://arxiv.org/abs/10.12942/lrr-2013-8), [1306.2517](https://arxiv.org/abs/1306.2517).
- 459 [41] M. Dunajski and J. Lucietti, *Intrinsic rigidity of extremal horizons* (2023), [2306.17512](https://arxiv.org/abs/2306.17512).
- 460 [42] D. Anninos and T. Hartman, *Holography at an Extremal De Sitter Horizon*, *JHEP* **03**,
461 096 (2010), doi:[10.1007/JHEP03\(2010\)096](https://arxiv.org/abs/10.1007/JHEP03(2010)096), [0910.4587](https://arxiv.org/abs/0910.4587).
- 462 [43] A. Almheiri and J. Polchinski, *Models of AdS_2 backreaction and holography*, *JHEP* **11**,
463 014 (2015), doi:[10.1007/JHEP11\(2015\)014](https://arxiv.org/abs/10.1007/JHEP11(2015)014), [1402.6334](https://arxiv.org/abs/1402.6334).
- 464 [44] J. Maldacena, D. Stanford and Z. Yang, *Conformal symmetry and its breaking*
465 *in two dimensional Nearly Anti-de-Sitter space*, *PTEP* **2016**(12), 12C104 (2016),
466 doi:[10.1093/ptep/ptw124](https://arxiv.org/abs/10.1093/ptep/ptw124), [1606.01857](https://arxiv.org/abs/1606.01857).

- 467 [45] G. Sárosi, *AdS₂ holography and the SYK model*, PoS **Modave2017**, 001 (2018),
468 doi:[10.22323/1.323.0001](https://doi.org/10.22323/1.323.0001), [1711.08482](https://arxiv.org/abs/1711.08482).
- 469 [46] T. G. Mertens and G. J. Turiaci, *Solvable models of quantum black holes: a review on*
470 *Jackiw–Teitelboim gravity*, Living Rev. Rel. **26**(1), 4 (2023), doi:[10.1007/s41114-023-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s41114-023-00046-1)
471 [00046-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s41114-023-00046-1), [2210.10846](https://arxiv.org/abs/2210.10846).
- 472 [47] A. Castro, V. Godet, J. Simón, W. Song and B. Yu, *Gravitational perturbations from NHEK*
473 *to Kerr*, JHEP **07**, 218 (2021), doi:[10.1007/JHEP07\(2021\)218](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP07(2021)218), [2102.08060](https://arxiv.org/abs/2102.08060).
- 474 [48] C. Teitelboim, *Gravitation and Hamiltonian Structure in Two Space-Time Dimensions*,
475 Phys. Lett. **126B**, 41 (1983), doi:[10.1016/0370-2693\(83\)90012-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(83)90012-6).
- 476 [49] R. Jackiw, *Lower Dimensional Gravity*, Nucl. Phys. **B252**, 343 (1985),
477 doi:[10.1016/0550-3213\(85\)90448-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(85)90448-1).
- 478 [50] M. Hotta, *Asymptotic isometry and two-dimensional anti-de Sitter gravity* (1998), [gr-qc/
479 9809035](https://arxiv.org/abs/gr-qc/9809035).
- 480 [51] M. Cadoni and S. Mignemi, *Asymptotic symmetries of AdS(2) and conformal group in d*
481 *= 1*, Nucl. Phys. B **557**, 165 (1999), doi:[10.1016/S0550-3213\(99\)00398-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(99)00398-3), [hep-th/
482 9902040](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9902040).
- 483 [52] J. Navarro-Salas and P. Navarro, *AdS(2) / CFT(1) correspondence and near extremal black*
484 *hole entropy*, Nucl. Phys. B **579**, 250 (2000), doi:[10.1016/S0550-3213\(00\)00165-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(00)00165-6),
485 [hep-th/9910076](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9910076).
- 486 [53] D. Grumiller, R. McNees, J. Salzer, C. Valcárcel and D. Vassilevich, *Menagerie of AdS₂*
487 *boundary conditions*, JHEP **10**, 203 (2017), doi:[10.1007/JHEP10\(2017\)203](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP10(2017)203), [1708.
488 08471](https://arxiv.org/abs/1708.08471).
- 489 [54] V. Godet and C. Marteau, *New boundary conditions for AdS₂*, JHEP **12**, 020 (2020),
490 doi:[10.1007/JHEP12\(2020\)020](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP12(2020)020), [2005.08999](https://arxiv.org/abs/2005.08999).
- 491 [55] D. M. Hofman and A. Strominger, *Chiral Scale and Conformal Invari-*
492 *ance in 2D Quantum Field Theory*, Phys.Rev.Lett. **107**, 161601 (2011),
493 doi:[10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.161601](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.161601), [1107.2917](https://arxiv.org/abs/1107.2917).
- 494 [56] S. Detournay, T. Hartman and D. M. Hofman, *Warped Conformal Field Theory*, Phys.Rev.
495 **D86**, 124018 (2012), doi:[10.1103/PhysRevD.86.124018](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.86.124018), [1210.0539](https://arxiv.org/abs/1210.0539).
- 496 [57] A. Castro, D. M. Hofman and G. Sárosi, *Warped Weyl fermion partition functions*, JHEP
497 **11**, 129 (2015), doi:[10.1007/JHEP11\(2015\)129](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP11(2015)129), [1508.06302](https://arxiv.org/abs/1508.06302).
- 498 [58] A. Castro, D. M. Hofman and N. Iqbal, *Entanglement Entropy in Warped Conformal Field*
499 *Theories*, JHEP **02**, 033 (2016), doi:[10.1007/JHEP02\(2016\)033](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02(2016)033), [1511.00707](https://arxiv.org/abs/1511.00707).
- 500 [59] W. Song and J. Xu, *Correlation Functions of Warped CFT*, JHEP **04**, 067 (2018),
501 doi:[10.1007/JHEP04\(2018\)067](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04(2018)067), [1706.07621](https://arxiv.org/abs/1706.07621).
- 502 [60] L. Apolo and W. Song, *Bootstrapping holographic warped CFTs or: how I*
503 *learned to stop worrying and tolerate negative norms*, JHEP **07**, 112 (2018),
504 doi:[10.1007/JHEP07\(2018\)112](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP07(2018)112), [1804.10525](https://arxiv.org/abs/1804.10525).
- 505 [61] P. Chaturvedi, Y. Gu, W. Song and B. Yu, *A note on the complex SYK model and warped*
506 *CFTs*, JHEP **12**, 101 (2018), doi:[10.1007/JHEP12\(2018\)101](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP12(2018)101), [1808.08062](https://arxiv.org/abs/1808.08062).

- 507 [62] A. Aggarwal, A. Castro, S. Detournay and B. Mühlmann, *Near-Extremal Limits of Warped*
508 *CFTs* (2022), [2211.03770](https://arxiv.org/abs/2211.03770).
- 509 [63] M. Guica, T. Hartman, W. Song and A. Strominger, *The Kerr/CFT Correspondence*, *Phys.*
510 *Rev. D* **80**, 124008 (2009), doi:[10.1103/PhysRevD.80.124008](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.80.124008), [0809.4266](https://arxiv.org/abs/0809.4266).
- 511 [64] J. M. Bardeen and G. T. Horowitz, *The Extreme Kerr throat geometry: A Vacuum analog*
512 *of AdS(2) x S**2*, *Phys. Rev. D* **60**, 104030 (1999), doi:[10.1103/PhysRevD.60.104030](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.60.104030),
513 [hep-th/9905099](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9905099).
- 514 [65] K. A. Moussa, G. Clement and C. Leygnac, *The Black holes of topologically massive*
515 *gravity*, *Class.Quant.Grav.* **20**, L277 (2003), doi:[10.1088/0264-9381/20/24/L01](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/20/24/L01),
516 [gr-qc/0303042](https://arxiv.org/abs/gr-qc/0303042).
- 517 [66] A. Bouchareb and G. Clement, *Black hole mass and angular momentum in topo-*
518 *logically massive gravity*, *Class.Quant.Grav.* **24**, 5581 (2007), doi:[10.1088/0264-](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/24/22/018)
519 [9381/24/22/018](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/24/22/018), [0706.0263](https://arxiv.org/abs/0706.0263).
- 520 [67] M. Banados, G. Barnich, G. Compere and A. Gomberoff, *Three dimensional*
521 *origin of Godel spacetimes and black holes*, *Phys. Rev. D* **73**, 044006 (2006),
522 doi:[10.1103/PhysRevD.73.044006](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.73.044006), [hep-th/0512105](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0512105).
- 523 [68] S. Detournay and M. Guica, *Stringy Schrödinger truncations*, *JHEP* **08**, 121 (2013),
524 doi:[10.1007/JHEP08\(2013\)121](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP08(2013)121), [1212.6792](https://arxiv.org/abs/1212.6792).
- 525 [69] E. Tonni, *Warped black holes in 3D general massive gravity*, *JHEP* **08**, 070 (2010),
526 doi:[10.1007/JHEP08\(2010\)070](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP08(2010)070), [1006.3489](https://arxiv.org/abs/1006.3489).
- 527 [70] L. Donnay and G. Giribet, *Holographic entropy of Warped-AdS₃ black holes*, *JHEP* **06**,
528 099 (2015), doi:[10.1007/JHEP06\(2015\)099](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06(2015)099), [1504.05640](https://arxiv.org/abs/1504.05640).
- 529 [71] S. Detournay, L.-A. Douchamps, G. S. Ng and C. Zwikel, *Warped AdS₃*
530 *black holes in higher derivative gravity theories*, *JHEP* **06**, 014 (2016),
531 doi:[10.1007/JHEP06\(2016\)014](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06(2016)014), [1602.09089](https://arxiv.org/abs/1602.09089).
- 532 [72] G. Compere and S. Detournay, *Centrally extended symmetry algebra of asymptoti-*
533 *cally Godel spacetimes*, *JHEP* **03**, 098 (2007), doi:[10.1088/1126-6708/2007/03/098](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2007/03/098),
534 [hep-th/0701039](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0701039).
- 535 [73] G. Compere and S. Detournay, *Semi-classical central charge in topologically massive*
536 *gravity*, *Class.Quant.Grav.* **26**, 012001 (2009), doi:[10.1088/0264-9381/26/1/012001](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/26/1/012001),
537 [10.1088/0264-9381/26/13/139801](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/26/13/139801), [0808.1911](https://arxiv.org/abs/0808.1911).
- 538 [74] G. Compere and S. Detournay, *Boundary conditions for spacelike and timelike warped*
539 *AdS₃ spaces in topologically massive gravity*, *JHEP* **08**, 092 (2009), doi:[10.1088/1126-](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2009/08/092)
540 [6708/2009/08/092](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2009/08/092), [0906.1243](https://arxiv.org/abs/0906.1243).
- 541 [75] M. Henneaux, C. Martinez and R. Troncoso, *Asymptotically warped anti-de Sitter*
542 *spacetimes in topologically massive gravity*, *Phys. Rev. D* **84**, 124016 (2011),
543 doi:[10.1103/PhysRevD.84.124016](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.84.124016), [1108.2841](https://arxiv.org/abs/1108.2841).
- 544 [76] W. Song, Q. Wen and J. Xu, *Modifications to Holographic Entanglement Entropy in*
545 *Warped CFT*, *JHEP* **02**, 067 (2017), doi:[10.1007/JHEP02\(2017\)067](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02(2017)067), [1610.00727](https://arxiv.org/abs/1610.00727).
- 546 [77] T. Azeyanagi, S. Detournay and M. Riegler, *Warped Black Holes in Lower-Spin Gravity*,
547 *Phys. Rev. D* **99**(2), 026013 (2019), doi:[10.1103/PhysRevD.99.026013](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.99.026013), [1801.07263](https://arxiv.org/abs/1801.07263).

- 548 [78] A. Aggarwal, L. Ciambelli, S. Detournay and A. Somerhausen, *Boundary*
549 *conditions for warped AdS_3 in quadratic ensemble*, JHEP **22**, 013 (2020),
550 doi:[10.1007/JHEP05\(2022\)013](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05(2022)013), [2112.13116](https://arxiv.org/abs/2112.13116).
- 551 [79] D. Anninos, W. Li, M. Padi, W. Song and A. Strominger, *Warped $AdS(3)$ Black Holes*,
552 JHEP **0903**, 130 (2009), doi:[10.1088/1126-6708/2009/03/130](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2009/03/130), [0807.3040](https://arxiv.org/abs/0807.3040).
- 553 [80] B. Chen, B. Ning and Z.-b. Xu, *Real-time correlators in warped AdS/CFT correspondence*,
554 JHEP **02**, 031 (2010), doi:[10.1007/JHEP02\(2010\)031](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02(2010)031), [0911.0167](https://arxiv.org/abs/0911.0167).
- 555 [81] W. Song, Q. Wen and J. Xu, *Generalized Gravitational Entropy for Warped Anti-de Sitter*
556 *Space*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **117**(1), 011602 (2016), doi:[10.1103/PhysRevLett.117.011602](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.117.011602),
557 [1601.02634](https://arxiv.org/abs/1601.02634).
- 558 [82] G. Compère, M. Guica and M. J. Rodriguez, *Two Virasoro symmetries in stringy warped*
559 *AdS_3* , JHEP **12**, 012 (2014), doi:[10.1007/JHEP12\(2014\)012](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP12(2014)012), [1407.7871](https://arxiv.org/abs/1407.7871).
- 560 [83] M. Guica, *Decrypting the warped black strings*, JHEP **11**, 025 (2013),
561 doi:[10.1007/JHEP11\(2013\)025](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP11(2013)025), [1305.7249](https://arxiv.org/abs/1305.7249).
- 562 [84] S. El-Showk and M. Guica, *Kerr/ CFT , dipole theories and nonrelativistic $CFTs$* , JHEP **12**,
563 009 (2012), doi:[10.1007/JHEP12\(2012\)009](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP12(2012)009), [1108.6091](https://arxiv.org/abs/1108.6091).
- 564 [85] W. Song and A. Strominger, *Warped AdS_3 /Dipole- CFT Duality*, JHEP **1205**, 120 (2012),
565 doi:[10.1007/JHEP05\(2012\)120](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05(2012)120), [1109.0544](https://arxiv.org/abs/1109.0544).
- 566 [86] M. Guica, *An integrable Lorentz-breaking deformation of two-dimensional $CFTs$* , SciPost
567 Phys. **5**(5), 048 (2018), doi:[10.21468/SciPostPhys.5.5.048](https://doi.org/10.21468/SciPostPhys.5.5.048), [1710.08415](https://arxiv.org/abs/1710.08415).
- 568 [87] A. Bzowski and M. Guica, *The holographic interpretation of $J\bar{T}$ -deformed $CFTs$* , JHEP
569 **01**, 198 (2019), doi:[10.1007/JHEP01\(2019\)198](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP01(2019)198), [1803.09753](https://arxiv.org/abs/1803.09753).
- 570 [88] A. Aggarwal, A. Castro, S. Detournay and B. Mühlmann, *Near-Extremal Limits of Warped*
571 *Black Holes*, SciPost Phys. **15**, 083 (2023), doi:[10.21468/SciPostPhys.15.3.083](https://doi.org/10.21468/SciPostPhys.15.3.083), [2304.](https://arxiv.org/abs/2304.10102)
572 [10102](https://arxiv.org/abs/2304.10102).
- 573 [89] A. Aggarwal, A. Castro and S. Detournay, *Warped Symmetries of the Kerr Black Hole*,
574 JHEP **01**, 016 (2020), doi:[10.1007/JHEP01\(2020\)016](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP01(2020)016), [1909.03137](https://arxiv.org/abs/1909.03137).
- 575 [90] S. Haco, S. W. Hawking, M. J. Perry and A. Strominger, *Black Hole Entropy and Soft*
576 *Hair*, JHEP **12**, 098 (2018), doi:[10.1007/JHEP12\(2018\)098](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP12(2018)098), [1810.01847](https://arxiv.org/abs/1810.01847).
- 577 [91] I. Rakic, M. Rangamani and G. J. Turiaci, *Thermodynamics of the near-extremal Kerr*
578 *spacetime* (2023), [2310.04532](https://arxiv.org/abs/2310.04532).
- 579 [92] D. Kapec, A. Sheta, A. Strominger and C. Toldo, *Logarithmic Corrections to Kerr Ther-*
580 *modynamics* (2023), [2310.00848](https://arxiv.org/abs/2310.00848).
- 581 [93] Y. Matsuo, T. Tsukioka and C.-M. Yoo, *Another Realization of Kerr/ CFT Correspondence*,
582 Nucl. Phys. B **825**, 231 (2010), doi:[10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2009.09.025](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2009.09.025), [0907.0303](https://arxiv.org/abs/0907.0303).
- 583 [94] Y. Matsuo, T. Tsukioka and C.-M. Yoo, *Yet Another Realization of Kerr/ CFT Correspon-*
584 *dence*, EPL **89**(6), 60001 (2010), doi:[10.1209/0295-5075/89/60001](https://doi.org/10.1209/0295-5075/89/60001), [0907.4272](https://arxiv.org/abs/0907.4272).
- 585 [95] M. Cadoni and S. Mignemi, *Entropy of 2-D black holes from counting microstates*, Phys.
586 Rev. D **59**, 081501 (1999), doi:[10.1103/PhysRevD.59.081501](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.59.081501), [hep-th/9810251](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9810251).

- 587 [96] H. Afshar, S. Detournay, D. Grumiller and B. Oblak, *Near-Horizon Geometry and Warped*
588 *Conformal Symmetry*, JHEP **03**, 187 (2016), doi:[10.1007/JHEP03\(2016\)187](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP03(2016)187), [1512.08233](https://arxiv.org/abs/1512.08233).
589
- 590 [97] V. Balasubramanian, J. de Boer, M. M. Sheikh-Jabbari and J. Simon, *What is a chiral*
591 *2d CFT? And what does it have to do with extremal black holes?*, JHEP **02**, 017 (2010),
592 doi:[10.1007/JHEP02\(2010\)017](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02(2010)017), [0906.3272](https://arxiv.org/abs/0906.3272).
- 593 [98] A. Castro, F. Mariani and C. Toldo, *Near-extremal limits of de Sitter black holes*, JHEP
594 **07**, 131 (2023), doi:[10.1007/JHEP07\(2023\)131](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP07(2023)131), [2212.14356](https://arxiv.org/abs/2212.14356).
- 595 [99] H. Afshar, H. A. González, D. Grumiller and D. Vassilevich, *Flat space hologra-*
596 *phy and the complex Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev model*, Phys. Rev. D **101**(8), 086024 (2020),
597 doi:[10.1103/PhysRevD.101.086024](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.101.086024), [1911.05739](https://arxiv.org/abs/1911.05739).
- 598 [100] H. Afshar and B. Oblak, *Flat JT gravity and the BMS-Schwarzian*, JHEP **11**, 172 (2022),
599 doi:[10.1007/JHEP11\(2022\)172](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP11(2022)172), [2112.14609](https://arxiv.org/abs/2112.14609).
- 600 [101] J. de Boer, M. M. Sheikh-Jabbari and J. Simon, *Near Horizon Limits of Massless BTZ*
601 *and Their CFT Duals*, Class. Quant. Grav. **28**, 175012 (2011), doi:[10.1088/0264-](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/28/17/175012)
602 [9381/28/17/175012](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/28/17/175012), [1011.1897](https://arxiv.org/abs/1011.1897).
- 603 [102] G. Compère and A. Fiorucci, *Advanced Lectures on General Relativity* (2018), [1801.](https://arxiv.org/abs/1801.07064)
604 [07064](https://arxiv.org/abs/1801.07064).
- 605 [103] D. Kapec and A. Lupsasca, *Particle motion near high-spin black holes*, Class. Quant. Grav.
606 **37**(1), 015006 (2020), doi:[10.1088/1361-6382/ab519e](https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6382/ab519e), [1905.11406](https://arxiv.org/abs/1905.11406).
- 607 [104] A. Castro and V. Godet, *Breaking away from the near horizon of extreme Kerr* (2019),
608 [1906.09083](https://arxiv.org/abs/1906.09083).