

Electromagnetic corrections in hadronic tau decays

Alejandro Miranda^{1*}

¹ Institut de Física d'Altes Energies (IFAE) and The Barcelona Institute of Science and Technology, Campus UAB, 08193 Bellaterra (Barcelona), Spain.

* jmiranda@ifae.es



The 17th International Workshop on Tau Lepton Physics
 Louisville, USA, 4-8 December 2023
 doi:[10.21468/SciPostPhysProc.?](https://doi.org/10.21468/SciPostPhysProc.)

Abstract

We briefly review electromagnetic radiative corrections in semileptonic tau decays and their main applications.

Copyright attribution to authors.

This work is a submission to SciPost Physics Lecture Notes.

License information to appear upon publication.

Publication information to appear upon publication.

Received Date

Accepted Date

Published Date

1

2 Contents

3	1 Introduction	1
4	2 Amplitude, observables, RadCors and new physics tests	2
5	3 Conclusions	5
6	References	5

7

8

9 1 Introduction

10 The tau lepton is the only one massive enough to decay into hadrons, making it a valuable
 11 tool for studying the hadronization of QCD at low energies in rather clean conditions [1].
 12 Table 1 summarizes the branching fraction precision of the main hadron tau decay channels,
 13 the knowledge of the corresponding radiative corrections (RadCors) and the main applica-
 14 tions of these analyses. We used: LFU (Lepton Flavor Universality) and NSI (non-standard
 15 interactions), V_{us} enters Cabibbo unitarity tests.

16 The electromagnetic RadCors require the inclusion of virtual and real photons. The structure-
 17 independent (SI) contributions to the $K\pi$ channel were studied in Refs. [15, 16]. In Ref. [14]

H^-	Branching ratio precision [2]	RadCors	Application(s)
π^-	0.5%	[3–6]	LFU, NSI
K^-	1.4%	[3–6]	V_{us} , LFU, NSI
$\pi^- \pi^0$	0.4%	[7–14]	$\rho^{(\prime)}$, $(g-2)_{\mu}$, NSI
$K^- K^0$	2.3%	[14]	ρ' , NSI
$\bar{K}^0 \pi^-$	1.7%	[14–16]	K^* , V_{us} , CPV, NSI
$K^- \pi^0$	3.5%	[14–16]	K^* , V_{us} , NSI
$K^- \eta$	5.2%	[14]	$K^{*(\prime)}$, NSI
$\pi^- \pi^+ \pi^-$	0.5%	x	a_1 , NSI
$\pi^- \pi^- \pi^0$	1.1%	x	a_1 , NSI

Table 1: Main semileptonic tau decay channels, precision of their measurement, RadCors available (x when missing) and main applications. Short-distance corrections were computed in Refs. [17, 18].

18 we first computed the structure-dependent (SD) corrections for these decays and the remain-
 19 ing two-meson modes. Virtual photon corrections are IR divergent and induce a shift (and a
 20 dependence on an additional variable, u , due to the four-body kinematics) to the form factors,
 21 which was studied -within Chiral Perturbation Theory, χPT [19–21]- in Ref. [7]. We recall
 22 that the SI part of the radiative process is introduced via Low’s theorem [22], so that the lead-
 23 ing term in the photon low-energy expansion is fully determined by the non-radiative decay
 24 amplitude.

25 2 Amplitude, observables, RadCors and new physics tests

26 The most general amplitude for the processes $\tau^-(P) \rightarrow P^-(p_-)P^0(p_0)\nu_\tau(q)\gamma(k)$ is given
 27 by [11, 23]

$$\mathcal{M} = \frac{eG_F V_{ud}^*}{\sqrt{2}} \epsilon_\mu^* \left\{ \frac{H_\nu(p_-, p_0)}{k^2 - 2k \cdot P} \bar{u}(q) \gamma^\nu (1 - \gamma_5) (M_\tau + \not{p} - \not{k}) \gamma^\mu u(P) + (V^{\mu\nu} - A^{\mu\nu}) \bar{u}(q) \gamma_\nu (1 - \gamma_5) u(P) \right\}, \quad (1)$$

28 where the hadron matrix element is

$$H^\nu(p_-, p_0) = C_V F_+(t) Q^\nu + C_S \frac{\Delta_{-0}}{t} q^\nu F_0(t), \quad t = q^2, \quad (2)$$

29 with $q^\nu = (p_- + p_0)^\nu$, $Q^\nu = (p_- - p_0)^\nu - \frac{\Delta_{-0}}{t} q^\nu$ and $\Delta_{ij} = m_i^2 - m_j^2$. The vector and axial-vector
 30 contributions can be split into the SI and SD parts, according to the Low and Burnett-Kroll
 31 [22, 24] theorems: $V^{\mu\nu} = V_{SI}^{\mu\nu} + V_{SD}^{\mu\nu}$, $A^{\mu\nu} = A_{SD}^{\mu\nu}$ at leading order in χPT and fulfill $k_\mu A^{\mu\nu} = 0$,
 32 $k_\mu V^{\mu\nu} = H^\nu(p_-, p_0)$ due to gauge invariance. For the $K^- \pi^0$ channel $C_V = C_S = 1/\sqrt{2}$, the
 33 coefficients for the other modes can be checked in Ref. [14]. The required form factors that we
 34 use have been constructed, within a dispersive framework, in Refs. [25–29]. At leading order,
 35 the $V^{\mu\nu}$ are saturated by the exchange of (axial-)vector resonances. QCD short-distance con-
 36 straints specify the resonance couplings that contribute up to next-to-leading order in χPT
 37 in terms of the pion decay constant, F [30, 31]: $F_V = \sqrt{2}F$, $G_V = F/\sqrt{2}$, $F_A = F$. In order
 38 to estimate the uncertainty due to missing higher chiral orders we also consider the relations
 39 that would be obtained adding the couplings at the next order [32–34] (which allow to comply
 40 with short-distance QCD not only for 2– but also for 3–point Green functions and related form
 41 factors), that include $F_V = \sqrt{3}F$, $G_V = F/\sqrt{3}$, $F_A = \sqrt{2}F$, and take the difference between the
 42 results with either set of constraints as a measure of our model-dependent uncertainty. To

43 isolate the SD effects in the decay rates, we consider $E_\gamma^{\text{cut}} \geq 300$ MeV in the decay spectra
 44 shown in Ref. [14] (in the K^-K^0 mode they are important even below 100 MeV, given the
 45 kaon masses). In any case, the Low's approximation is insufficient to describe these decays
 46 for $E_\gamma \geq 100$ MeV where SD effects dominate. We also predict that the relation between the
 47 $\bar{K}^0\pi^-/K^-\pi^0$ branching fractions $\sim 2m_K/m_\pi$ in the Low limit, gets substantially modified for
 48 larger photon energies due to an accidental cancellation among contributions from different
 49 orders in E_γ in the $\bar{K}^0\pi^-$ case. Measuring the photon/di-meson spectrum in this channel (cut-
 50 ting the lowest energy photons) would then be an important feedback for our SD input.

51

52 The photon-inclusive double differential decay rate can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\Gamma}{dt}\Big|_{P-P^0(\gamma)} &= \frac{G_F^2 |V_{ud}F_+(0)|^2 S_{\text{EW}} M_\tau^3}{768\pi^3 t^3} \left(1 - \frac{t}{M_\tau^2}\right)^2 \lambda^{1/2}(t, m_-^2, m_0^2) G_{\text{EM}}(t) \\ &\times \left[C_V^2 |\tilde{F}_+(t)|^2 \left(1 + \frac{2t}{M_\tau^2}\right) \lambda(t, m_-^2, m_0^2) + 3C_S^2 \Delta_-^2 |\tilde{F}_0(t)|^2 \right], \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

53 where the function $G_{\text{EM}}(t)$ [7] encodes the long-distance electromagnetic RadCors, $D = d, s$
 54 and the tilded form factors are normalized to $F_+(0)$. For simplicity, we split the contributions
 55 to the decay width as

$$\frac{d\Gamma}{dt}\Big|_{P-P^0(\gamma)} = \frac{d\Gamma}{dt}\Big|_{P-P^0} + \frac{d\Gamma}{dt}\Big|_{III} + \frac{d\Gamma}{dt}\Big|_{IV/III} + \frac{d\Gamma}{dt}\Big|_{\text{rest}}, \quad (4)$$

56 where the first two terms define the $G_{\text{EM}}^{(0)}(t)$ (namely the leading Low approximation plus non-
 57 radiative contributions), the third one is negligible and the last one gives the remainder of the
 58 $G_{\text{EM}}(t)$, which we call $\delta G_{\text{EM}}(t)$. The second and third term correspond to the Low approxi-
 59 mation, where we separated (IV/III) the phase space accessible through the four-body decay
 60 but not through the three-body one.

61

62 There are two models in the literature for incorporating the RadCors into the form factors.
 63 Differences between them are negligible in kaon decays, where they were introduced [35, 36],
 64 but we have found this no longer holds in tau decays [14]. Indeed, the dominant source of
 65 uncertainty of our RadCors comes from the difference between both factorization models in
 66 defining $F_{+/0}(t, u) = F_{+/0}(t) + \delta F_{+/0}(t, u)$, where $\delta F_0(t, u) = \delta F_+(t, u) + \frac{t}{\Delta_-} \delta \tilde{f}_-(u)$. We
 67 just quote here our preferred factorization approach¹, according to which

$$\frac{\delta F_+(t, u)}{F_+(t)} = \frac{\alpha}{4\pi} \left[2(m_-^2 + M_\tau^2 - u) \mathcal{C}(u, M_\gamma) + 2 \log \left(\frac{m_- M_\tau}{M_\gamma^2} \right) \right] + \delta \tilde{f}_+(u), \quad (5)$$

68 including the regulator for the photon mass, which cancels in all observables, permitting to
 69 take the vanishing M_γ limit straightforwardly.

70

71 Integrating Eq. (3) upon t gives the partial decay width

$$\Gamma_{P-P^0(\gamma)} = \frac{G_F^2 S_{\text{EW}} M_\tau^5}{96\pi^3} |V_{ud}F_+(0)|^2 I_{P-P^0}^\tau (1 + \delta_{\text{EM}}^{P-P^0})^2, \quad (6)$$

¹Reasons are discussed in detail in Ref. [14]. Essentially, it warrants smoother RadCors, which is physically expected, by construction.

72 which defines the RadCor $\delta_{EM}^{P-P^0}$, with

$$I_{P-P^0}^\tau = \frac{1}{8M_\tau^2} \int_{t_{thr}}^{M_\tau^2} \frac{dt}{t^3} \left(1 - \frac{t}{M_\tau^2}\right)^2 \lambda^{1/2}(t, m_-^2, m_0^2) \left[C_V^2 |\tilde{F}_+(t)|^2 \left(1 + \frac{2t}{M_\tau^2}\right) \lambda(t, m_-^2, m_0^2) + 3C_S^2 \Delta_{-0}^2 |\tilde{F}_0(t)|^2 \right]. \quad (7)$$

73

74 Our main results correspond to the following RadCors (expressed always in %)

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{EM}^{K^-\pi^0} &= -\left(0.009_{-0.118}^{+0.010}\right), & \delta_{EM}^{\bar{K}^0\pi^-} &= -\left(0.166_{-0.157}^{+0.100}\right), \\ \delta_{EM}^{K^-K^0} &= -\left(0.030_{-0.180}^{+0.032}\right), & \delta_{EM}^{\pi^0\pi^-} &= -\left(0.186_{-0.203}^{+0.114}\right), \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

75 where the uncertainty due to the so far missing virtual photon SD corrections is taken into
 76 account (estimating it from the corresponding results in the one-meson modes, [5]). This cor-
 77 rection will be presented elsewhere. As expected, from the mass-dependence on the radiating
 78 particle in the Low limit, the RadCors in Eqs. (8) are considerably larger for the modes with
 79 a π^- than for those with a K^- . In the latter, the uncertainty is completely asymmetric as it
 80 is dominated by the missing virtual SD correction (of known sign); while in the former, the
 81 asymmetry of the error is reduced since the uncertainty associated to the resonance couplings
 82 (with an effect of unknown sign) is non-negligible. For completeness we also quote our esti-
 83 mates for the RadCors in the $K^-\eta^{(\prime)}$ modes, which were obtained exploiting the dominance
 84 of the vector (η) and scalar (η') form factor, respectively:

$$\delta_{EM}^{K^-\eta} = -\left(0.026_{-0.163}^{+0.029}\right), \quad \delta_{EM}^{K^-\eta'} = -\left(0.304_{-0.185}^{+0.422}\right). \quad (9)$$

85 The largest RadCor is obtained for the $K^-\eta'$ mode due to the dominance of the scalar form
 86 factor in the decay spectra [26], as the corresponding kinematical dependence enhances the
 87 effect of the RadCors. Our results for the two-meson RadCors agree with earlier estimations
 88 (with improved precision) where available, and fill the gap for those yet uncomputed.

89

90 Together with our improved computation of the RadCors in the one-meson tau decays
 91 [5, 6, 37–39], the results presented here enable more precise new physics tests using a low-
 92 energy Effective Field Theory of the $\tau^- \rightarrow \bar{u}D\nu_\tau$ decays [40], which has been exploited in
 93 Refs. [41–52] (see Ref. [53] for a more detailed summary than the one presented here). Par-
 94 ticularly, in Ref. [14] we update our fits for either $\Delta S = 0$ and $\Delta S = 1$ one- and two-meson tau
 95 decays as well as our joint fit assuming minimal flavor violation [54] to allow for their com-
 96 bination, breaking thereby a degeneracy in the new physics parameter space. Interestingly,
 97 the inclusion of our RadCors increases the compatibility with the SM in the largest Wilson
 98 coefficient appearing in the strangeness-conserving channels (the one accounting for scalar
 99 non-standard interactions, ϵ_S^τ). The changes induced by our RadCors are, in all other in-
 100 stances, much smaller and covered by the uncertainties. Our dominant errors are statistical in
 101 the $|\Delta S| = 1$ processes (we emphasize once again the importance of improving the measure-
 102 ments of the strange tau spectral function and their contributing channels), and theoretical
 103 both in the $\Delta S = 0$ channels and in the joint analysis. Under the weak coupling assumption,
 104 our limits push the new physics affecting the $\tau^- \rightarrow \bar{u}D\nu_\tau$ processes to energies larger than
 105 a few TeVs. It will also be interesting to include our improved RadCors in updated studies of
 106 lepton universality and CKM unitarity using two-meson tau decays.

107 3 Conclusions

108 RadCors are needed to improve the precision of new physics analyses beyond the percent
109 level. Here we have reviewed our evaluation of those entering two-meson tau decays and
110 their application in searches for non-standard interactions. This information was available for
111 the $\pi^-\pi^0$ case and only the SI part, for the $K\pi$ channels, was known before. We have filled
112 this gap and computed the SD part stemming from real photons (the corresponding virtual
113 contributions were only estimated and their calculation is in progress) in this work, which has
114 also included the K^-K^0 and $K^-\eta^{(\prime)}$ modes. Our main results are the numerical values (in %)
115 of the RadCors in Eqs. (8) (see also Eq. (9)). These are in agreement with earlier publications
116 and reduce the uncertainty band to a half, approximately. We have also put forward that
117 the factorization prescription is very important in semileptonic tau (contrary to the kaon case)
118 decays and explained our preferred choice for it, accounting for the corresponding uncertainty
119 in our results. The measurement of the spectra (for $E_\gamma \gtrsim 100$ MeV, to be sensitive to the
120 SD contributions) would help us to reduce our theory uncertainty substantially, benefiting all
121 related new physics searches.

122 Acknowledgements

123 The author acknowledges a pleasant collaboration with Rafel Escribano and Pablo Roig in the
124 topic here discussed. Congratulations to Swagato and his team for the excellent organization
125 of this workshop.

126 **Funding information** A. M. is supported by MICINN with funding from European Union
127 NextGenerationEU (PRTR-C17.I1). IFAE is partially funded by the CERCA program of the
128 Generalitat de Catalunya. This work has been partly financed by the Ministerio de Ciencia e
129 Innovación under grant PID2020-112965GB-I00, and by the Departament de Recerca i Univer-
130 sitats from Generalitat de Catalunya to the Grup de Recerca ‘Grup de Física Teòrica UAB/IFAE’
131 (Codi: 2021 SGR 00649).

132 References

- 133 [1] A. Pich, “Precision Tau Physics,” Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **75** (2014), 41-85,
134 doi:[10.1016/j.pnpnp.2013.11.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pnpnp.2013.11.002).
- 135 [2] R. L. Workman *et al.* [Particle Data Group], “Review of Particle Physics,” PTEP **2022**
136 (2022), 083C01, doi:[10.1093/ptep/ptac097](https://doi.org/10.1093/ptep/ptac097).
- 137 [3] R. Decker and M. Finkemeier, “Radiative corrections to the decay tau \rightarrow pi (K) tau-
138 neutrino. 2,” Phys. Lett. B **334** (1994), 199-202, doi:[10.1016/0370-2693\(94\)90611-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(94)90611-4).
- 139 [4] R. Decker and M. Finkemeier, “Short and long distance effects in the decay tau
140 to pi tau-neutrino (gamma),” Nucl. Phys. B **438** (1995), 17-53, doi:[10.1016/0550-3213\(95\)00597-L](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(95)00597-L).
- 142 [5] M. A. Arroyo-Ureña, G. Hernández-Tomé, G. López-Castro, P. Roig and I. Rosell, “Radi-
143 ative corrections to $\tau \rightarrow \pi(K)\nu_\tau[\gamma]$: A reliable new physics test,” Phys. Rev. D **104** (2021)
144 no.9, L091502, doi:[10.1103/PhysRevD.104.L091502](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.104.L091502).

- 145 [6] M. A. Arroyo-Ureña, G. Hernández-Tomé, G. López-Castro, P. Roig and I. Rosell, “One-
146 loop determination of $\tau \rightarrow \pi(\mathbf{K})\nu_\tau[\gamma]$ branching ratios and new physics tests,” JHEP **02**
147 (2022), 173, doi:[10.1007/JHEP02\(2022\)173](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02(2022)173).
- 148 [7] V. Cirigliano, G. Ecker and H. Neufeld, “Isospin violation and the magnetic moment of
149 the muon,” Phys. Lett. B **513** (2001), 361-370, doi:[10.1016/S0370-2693\(01\)00764-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(01)00764-X).
- 150 [8] V. Cirigliano, G. Ecker and H. Neufeld, “Radiative tau decay and the magnetic moment
151 of the muon,” JHEP **08** (2002), 002, doi:[10.1088/1126-6708/2002/08/002](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2002/08/002).
- 152 [9] A. Flores-Tlalpa, G. López Castro and G. Toledo Sánchez, “Radiative two-pion decay of
153 the tau lepton,” Phys. Rev. D **72** (2005), 113003, doi:[10.1103/PhysRevD.72.113003](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.72.113003).
- 154 [10] F. Flores-Báez, A. Flores-Tlalpa, G. López Castro and G. Toledo Sánchez, “Long-distance
155 radiative corrections to the di-pion tau lepton decay,” Phys. Rev. D **74** (2006), 071301,
156 doi:[10.1103/PhysRevD.74.071301](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.74.071301).
- 157 [11] J. A. Miranda and P. Roig, “New τ -based evaluation of the hadronic contribution to the
158 vacuum polarization piece of the muon anomalous magnetic moment,” Phys. Rev. D **102**
159 (2020), 114017, doi:[10.1103/PhysRevD.102.114017](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.102.114017).
- 160 [12] J. L. Gutiérrez Santiago, G. López Castro and P. Roig, “Lepton-pair produc-
161 tion in dipion τ lepton decays,” Phys. Rev. D **103** (2021) no.1, 014027,
162 doi:[10.1103/PhysRevD.103.014027](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.103.014027).
- 163 [13] P. Masjuan, A. Miranda and P. Roig, “ τ data-driven evaluation of Euclidean win-
164 dows for the hadronic vacuum polarization,” Phys. Lett. B **850** (2024), 138492,
165 doi:[10.1016/j.physletb.2024.138492](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2024.138492).
- 166 [14] R. Escribano, J. A. Miranda and P. Roig, “Radiative corrections to the
167 $\tau^- \rightarrow (\mathbf{P}_1\mathbf{P}_2)^-\nu_\tau (\mathbf{P}_{1,2} = \pi, \mathbf{K})$ decays,” Phys. Rev. D **109** (2024) no.5, 053003,
168 doi:[10.1103/PhysRevD.109.053003](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.109.053003).
- 169 [15] M. Antonelli, V. Cirigliano, A. Lusiani and E. Passemar, “Predicting the τ
170 strange branching ratios and implications for V_{us} ,” JHEP **10** (2013), 070,
171 doi:[10.1007/JHEP10\(2013\)070](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP10(2013)070).
- 172 [16] F. V. Flores-Báez and J. R. Morones-Ibarra, “Model Independent Electromag-
173 netic corrections in hadronic τ decays,” Phys. Rev. D **88** (2013) no.7, 073009,
174 doi:[10.1103/PhysRevD.88.073009](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.88.073009).
- 175 [17] A. Sirlin, “Current Algebra Formulation of Radiative Corrections in Gauge Theories and
176 the Universality of the Weak Interactions,” Rev. Mod. Phys. **50** (1978), 573 [erratum:
177 Rev. Mod. Phys. **50** (1978), 905], doi:[10.1103/RevModPhys.50.573](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.50.573).
- 178 [18] W. J. Marciano and A. Sirlin, “Electroweak Radiative Corrections to tau Decay,” Phys.
179 Rev. Lett. **61** (1988), 1815-1818, doi:[10.1103/PhysRevLett.61.1815](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.61.1815).
- 180 [19] S. Weinberg, “Phenomenological Lagrangians,” Physica A **96** (1979) no.1-2, 327-340,
181 doi:[10.1016/0378-4371\(79\)90223-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-4371(79)90223-1).
- 182 [20] J. Gasser and H. Leutwyler, “Chiral Perturbation Theory to One Loop,” Annals Phys. **158**
183 (1984), 142, doi:[10.1016/0003-4916\(84\)90242-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0003-4916(84)90242-2).
- 184 [21] J. Gasser and H. Leutwyler, “Chiral Perturbation Theory: Expansions in the Mass of the
185 Strange Quark,” Nucl. Phys. B **250** (1985), 465-516, doi:[10.1016/0550-3213\(85\)90492-](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(85)90492-4)
186 [4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(85)90492-4).

- 187 [22] F. E. Low, “Scattering of light of very low frequency by systems of spin 1/2,” Phys. Rev.
188 **96** (1954), 1428-1432, doi:[10.1103/PhysRev.96.1428](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.96.1428).
- 189 [23] A. Guevara, G. López-Castro and P. Roig, “ $\tau^- \rightarrow \eta^{(\prime)}\pi^- \nu_\tau \gamma$ decays as backgrounds
190 in the search for second class currents,” Phys. Rev. D **95** (2017) no.5, 054015,
191 doi:[10.1103/PhysRevD.95.054015](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.95.054015).
- 192 [24] T. H. Burnett and N. M. Kroll, “Extension of the Low soft photon theorem,” Phys. Rev.
193 Lett. **20** (1968), 86, doi:[10.1103/PhysRevLett.20.86](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.20.86).
- 194 [25] D. Gómez Dumm and P. Roig, “Dispersive representation of the pion vector form factor
195 in $\tau \rightarrow \pi\pi\nu_\tau$ decays,” Eur. Phys. J. C **73** (2013) no.8, 2528, doi:[10.1140/epjc/s10052-
196 013-2528-1](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-013-2528-1).
- 197 [26] R. Escribano, S. Gonzalez-Solis and P. Roig, “ $\tau^- \rightarrow K^- \eta^{(\prime)} \nu_\tau$ decays in Chiral Perturba-
198 tion Theory with Resonances,” JHEP **10** (2013), 039, doi:[10.1007/JHEP10\(2013\)039](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP10(2013)039).
- 199 [27] R. Escribano, S. González-Solís, M. Jamin and P. Roig, “Combined analysis of
200 the decays $\tau^- \rightarrow K_S \pi^- \nu_\tau$ and $\tau^- \rightarrow K^- \eta \nu_\tau$,” JHEP **09** (2014), 042,
201 doi:[10.1007/JHEP09\(2014\)042](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP09(2014)042).
- 202 [28] R. Escribano, S. Gonzalez-Solis and P. Roig, “Predictions on the second-class
203 current decays $\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \eta^{(\prime)} \nu_\tau$,” Phys. Rev. D **94** (2016) no.3, 034008,
204 doi:[10.1103/PhysRevD.94.034008](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.94.034008).
- 205 [29] S. González-Solís and P. Roig, “A dispersive analysis of the pion vector form factor and
206 $\tau^- \rightarrow K^- K_S \nu_\tau$ decay,” Eur. Phys. J. C **79** (2019) no.5, 436, doi:[10.1140/epjc/s10052-
207 019-6943-9](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-019-6943-9).
- 208 [30] G. Ecker, J. Gasser, A. Pich and E. de Rafael, “The Role of Resonances in Chiral Perturba-
209 tion Theory,” Nucl. Phys. B **321** (1989), 311-342, doi:[10.1016/0550-3213\(89\)90346-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(89)90346-5).
- 210 [31] G. Ecker, J. Gasser, H. Leutwyler, A. Pich and E. de Rafael, “Chiral Lagrangians for Massive
211 Spin 1 Fields,” Phys. Lett. B **223** (1989), 425-432, doi:[10.1016/0370-2693\(89\)91627-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(89)91627-4).
- 212 [32] V. Cirigliano, G. Ecker, M. Eidemuller, R. Kaiser, A. Pich and J. Portolés, “Towards a con-
213 sistent estimate of the chiral low-energy constants,” Nucl. Phys. B **753** (2006), 139-177,
214 doi:[10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2006.07.010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2006.07.010).
- 215 [33] K. Kampf and J. Novotny, “Resonance saturation in the odd-intrinsic parity sector of low-
216 energy QCD,” Phys. Rev. D **84** (2011), 014036, doi:[10.1103/PhysRevD.84.014036](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.84.014036).
- 217 [34] P. Roig and J. J. Sanz Cillero, “Consistent high-energy constraints in the anomalous QCD
218 sector,” Phys. Lett. B **733** (2014), 158-163, doi:[10.1016/j.physletb.2014.04.034](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2014.04.034).
- 219 [35] V. Cirigliano, M. Knecht, H. Neufeld, H. Rupertsberger and P. Talavera, “Radiative correc-
220 tions to $K(l3)$ decays,” Eur. Phys. J. C **23** (2002), 121-133, doi:[10.1007/s100520100825](https://doi.org/10.1007/s100520100825).
- 221 [36] V. Cirigliano, M. Giannotti and H. Neufeld, “Electromagnetic effects in $K(l3)$ decays,”
222 JHEP **11** (2008), 006 doi:[10.1088/1126-6708/2008/11/006](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2008/11/006)
- 223 [37] Z. H. Guo and P. Roig, Phys. Rev. D **82** (2010), 113016,
224 doi:[10.1103/PhysRevD.82.113016](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.82.113016).
- 225 [38] A. Guevara, G. López Castro and P. Roig, Phys. Rev. D **88** (2013) no.3, 033007,
226 doi:[10.1103/PhysRevD.88.033007](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.88.033007).

- 227 [39] A. Guevara, G. López-Castro and P. Roig, “Improved description of dilepton pro-
228 duction in $\tau^- \rightarrow \nu_\tau P^-$ decays,” Phys. Rev. D **105** (2022) no.7, 076007,
229 doi:[10.1103/PhysRevD.105.076007](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.105.076007).
- 230 [40] V. Cirigliano, J. Jenkins and M. González-Alonso, “Semileptonic decays of
231 light quarks beyond the Standard Model,” Nucl. Phys. B **830** (2010), 95-115,
232 doi:[10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2009.12.020](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2009.12.020).
- 233 [41] E. A. Garcés, M. Hernández Villanueva, G. López Castro and P. Roig, “Effective-
234 field theory analysis of the $\tau^- \rightarrow \eta^{(\prime)}\pi^- \nu_\tau$ decays,” JHEP **12** (2017), 027,
235 doi:[10.1007/JHEP12\(2017\)027](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP12(2017)027).
- 236 [42] V. Cirigliano, A. Crivellin and M. Hoferichter, “No-go theorem for nonstandard explana-
237 tions of the $\tau \rightarrow K_S \pi \nu_\tau$ CP asymmetry,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **120** (2018) no.14, 141803,
238 doi:[10.1103/PhysRevLett.120.141803](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.120.141803).
- 239 [43] J. A. Miranda and P. Roig, “Effective-field theory analysis of the $\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \nu_\tau$ decays,”
240 JHEP **11** (2018), 038, doi:[10.1007/JHEP11\(2018\)038](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP11(2018)038).
- 241 [44] V. Cirigliano, A. Falkowski, M. González-Alonso and A. Rodríguez-Sánchez, “Hadronic
242 τ Decays as New Physics Probes in the LHC Era,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **122** (2019) no.22,
243 221801, doi:[10.1103/PhysRevLett.122.221801](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.122.221801).
- 244 [45] J. Rendón, P. Roig and G. Toledo Sánchez, “Effective-field theory analy-
245 sis of the $\tau^- \rightarrow (K\pi)^- \nu_\tau$ decays,” Phys. Rev. D **99** (2019) no.9, 093005,
246 doi:[10.1103/PhysRevD.99.093005](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.99.093005).
- 247 [46] F. Z. Chen, X. Q. Li, Y. D. Yang and X. Zhang, “CP asymmetry in $\tau \rightarrow K_S \pi \nu_\tau$ de-
248 cays within the Standard Model and beyond,” Phys. Rev. D **100** (2019) no.11, 113006,
249 doi:[10.1103/PhysRevD.100.113006](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.100.113006).
- 250 [47] S. González-Solís, A. Miranda, J. Rendón and P. Roig, “Effective-field theory analy-
251 sis of the $\tau^- \rightarrow K^-(\eta^{(\prime)}, K^0) \nu_\tau$ decays,” Phys. Rev. D **101** (2020) no.3, 034010,
252 doi:[10.1103/PhysRevD.101.034010](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.101.034010).
- 253 [48] S. González-Solís, A. Miranda, J. Rendón and P. Roig, “Exclusive hadronic tau
254 decays as probes of non-SM interactions,” Phys. Lett. B **804** (2020), 135371,
255 doi:[10.1016/j.physletb.2020.135371](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2020.135371).
- 256 [49] F. Z. Chen, X. Q. Li and Y. D. Yang, “CP asymmetry in the angular distribution of
257 $\tau \rightarrow K_S \pi \nu_\tau$ decays,” JHEP **05** (2020), 151, doi:[10.1007/JHEP05\(2020\)151](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05(2020)151).
- 258 [50] F. Z. Chen, X. Q. Li, S. C. Peng, Y. D. Yang and H. H. Zhang, “CP asymmetry in the angular
259 distributions of $\tau \rightarrow K_S \pi \nu_\tau$ decays. Part II. General effective field theory analysis,” JHEP
260 **01** (2022), 108, doi:[10.1007/JHEP01\(2022\)108](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP01(2022)108).
- 261 [51] V. Cirigliano, D. Díaz-Calderón, A. Falkowski, M. González-Alonso and A. Rodríguez-
262 Sánchez, “Semileptonic tau decays beyond the Standard Model,” JHEP **04** (2022), 152,
263 doi:[10.1007/JHEP04\(2022\)152](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04(2022)152).
- 264 [52] S. Arteaga, L. Y. Dai, A. Guevara and P. Roig, “Tension between $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^-\pi^+$ and
265 $\tau^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^-\pi^0 \nu_\tau$ data and nonstandard interactions,” Phys. Rev. D **106** (2022) no.9,
266 096016, doi:[10.1103/PhysRevD.106.096016](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.106.096016).
- 267 [53] P. Roig Garcés, “Radiative corrections and new physics tests in semileptonic tau decays,”
268 Rev. Mex. Fis. Suppl. **4** (2023) no.2, 021104, doi:[10.31349/SuplRevMexFis.4.021104](https://doi.org/10.31349/SuplRevMexFis.4.021104).

- 269 [54] G. D'Ambrosio, G. F. Giudice, G. Isidori and A. Strumia, "Minimal flavor violation: An Ef-
270 fective field theory approach," Nucl. Phys. B **645** (2002), 155-187, doi:[10.1016/S0550-](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(02)00836-2)
271 [3213\(02\)00836-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(02)00836-2).