

Searches for lepton flavor violation in meson decays at Belle

Sourav Patra
(on behalf of Belle Collaboration)

University of Louisville
sourav@post.kek.jp

June 20, 2024



The 17th International Workshop on Tau Lepton Physics
Louisville, USA, 4-8 December 2023
doi:[10.21468/SciPostPhysProc.7](https://doi.org/10.21468/SciPostPhysProc.7)

Abstract

We present the recent results on searches for charged lepton flavor violations (CLFV) using the Belle data. In the first section, we present a search for CLFV decays $B_s^0 \rightarrow \ell^\mp \tau^\pm$, where $\ell = e, \mu$, using 121 fb^{-1} data collected at the $\Upsilon(5S)$ resonance. We also report the results on searches for CLFV $B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \tau^\pm \ell^\mp$ decays, with $\ell = (e, \mu)$, using 711 fb^{-1} $\Upsilon(4S)$ data sample. Finally, we present a search for $\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \ell^\pm \ell'^\mp$ and radiative CLFV $\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \ell^\pm \ell'^\mp$ [$\ell, \ell' = e, \mu, \tau$] decays using 25 fb^{-1} data recorded at $\Upsilon(2S)$ resonance. This search uses $\Upsilon(1S)$ mesons produced in $\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \Upsilon(1S)$ transitions.

Contents

| | | |
|----------|--|----------|
| 1 | Introduction | 1 |
| 2 | Search for $B_s^0 \rightarrow \ell^\mp \tau^\pm$ | 2 |
| 3 | Search for $B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \tau^\pm \ell^\mp$ | 3 |
| 4 | Search for $\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \ell^\pm \ell'^\mp$ and $\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \ell^\pm \ell'^\mp$ | 3 |
| 5 | Conclusion | 4 |
| | References | 4 |

1 Introduction

Over the last decade, studying the leptoquark operators in light of discrepancies in semi-leptonic B-decays [1] has become more interesting. Standard Model (SM) gauge couplings are the same for different generations of leptons. But, several new physics (NP) models like leptoquarks [2], Z' model [3], predict different couplings for different generations of leptons,

which indicates the violation of lepton flavor universality (LFU). Also, the violation of LFU generically implies the violation of lepton flavor [4, 5]. Thus, one can study NP models predicting LFU violations using the results of searches for charged lepton flavor violations (CLFV).

The Wilson coefficients of the NP operators, such as vector, axial-vector, and tensor operators, can be probed using the results of two CLFV interactions [6]. Axial-vector, scalar, and pseudoscalar operators are not easily accessible in the two-body decays. One can use Radiative lepton-flavor-violating (RLFV) transitions to probe such operators in a more efficient way [6].

We use e^+e^- collision data collected by the Belle detector at the KEKB asymmetric-energy collider [7] operating at an energy of 10.8 GeV in the center-of-mass frame. The Belle detector includes several subdetector systems: a silicon vertex detector, a central drift chamber, aerogel Cherenkov counters, time-of-flight counters, and an electromagnetic calorimeter. Also, a few layers of resistive plate chambers are located outside the solenoid for detecting K_L^0 mesons and muons (KLM). The detailed description of the Belle detector can be found elsewhere [8].

2 Search for $B_s^0 \rightarrow \ell^\mp \tau^\pm$

The predicted branching fraction for $B_s^0 \rightarrow \ell^- \tau^+$ decays in various NP models involving leptons is in the order of 10^{-5} [9, 10]. Previously, no experimental results for $B_s^0 \rightarrow e^\mp \tau^\pm$ have been reported while an upper limit (UL) $\mathcal{B}(B_s^0 \rightarrow \mu^\mp \tau^\pm) < 3.4 \times 10^{-5}$ at 90% CL [11] is reported by LHCb. We search for $B_s^0 \rightarrow \ell^\mp \tau^\pm$ decays using 121 fb $^{-1}$ of data collected by the Belle detector collected at $\Upsilon(5S)$ resonance. Hereafter, B_s refers to either B_s^0 or \bar{B}_s^0 . We include the charge conjugate modes in this analysis. We reconstruct one of the B_s mesons (tag side) in a semileptonic decay mode $\bar{B}_s^0 \rightarrow D_s^+ \ell^- (x) \bar{\nu}_\ell$, here x implies π or $\pi\pi$, and the signal $B_s \rightarrow \ell^- \tau^+$ is searched for in the mode $\tau^+ \rightarrow \ell^+ \bar{\nu}_\tau \nu_\ell$. We label the primary lepton from signal B_s as ℓ_1 and the lepton from the τ decay ℓ_2 . The lepton on the tag side is labeled as ℓ_3 . D_s mesons have been reconstructed from the five decay modes: $D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi \pi^+$, $\bar{K}^{*0} K^+$, $\phi \rho^0 \pi^+$, $K_s^0 K^+$ and $\phi \rho^+$.

The background arises from $e^+e^- \rightarrow q\bar{q}$ process and $e^+e^- \rightarrow B_s^{(*)0} \bar{B}_s^{(*)0}, B^{(*)} \bar{B}^{(*)} X$. We prepare a FastBDT [12] classifier to suppress the background events.

We found 3 events for $B_s \rightarrow e^- \tau^+$ and 1 for $B_s \rightarrow \mu^- \tau^+$. To calculate this limit, we use the POLE program [13] with the relation $\mathcal{B} = (N_{\text{tot}} - N_{\text{bkg}})/(N_{B_s} \times \epsilon_{\text{sig}})$, where N_{tot} is the total number of observed events, the number of produced B_s mesons (N_{B_s}) in experiment $(16.6 \pm 2.7) \times 10^6$, and ϵ_{sig} is the signal efficiency including the branching fraction of τ . Since the uncertainty in f_s is significant, we report the UL on the branching fractions with and without f_s . Obtained results are summarized in Table 1.

Table 1: Efficiency (ϵ), the expected number of backgrounds ($N_{\text{bkg}}^{\text{exp}}$), observed events (N_{tot}) and the UL at 90% CL on \mathcal{B} and $f_s \times \mathcal{B}$

| | ϵ (%) | $N_{\text{bkg}}^{\text{exp}}$ | N_{tot} | \mathcal{B} ($\times 10^{-4}$) | $f_s \times \mathcal{B}$ ($\times 10^{-4}$) |
|--------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| $B_s \rightarrow e^- \tau^+$ | 0.0312 ± 0.0071 | 0.68 ± 0.69 | 3 | < 14.1 | < 5.5 |
| $B_s \rightarrow \mu^- \tau^+$ | 0.0303 ± 0.0068 | 0.77 ± 0.78 | 1 | < 7.3 | < 2.9 |

3 Search for $B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \tau^\pm \ell^\mp$

ULs on the branching ratios for $B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \tau^\pm \ell^\mp$ decays have been previously set at the 90% CL using hadronic B -tagging by the BaBar collaboration between 1.5×10^{-5} and 4.5×10^{-5} [14]; the LHCb collaboration has studied a single mode, using B^+ mesons from $B_{s2}^{*0} \rightarrow B^+ K^-$ decays, setting a limit $\mathcal{B}(B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \tau^+ \mu^-) < 3.9 \times 10^{-5}$ at the 90% CL [15].

$B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \tau^+ \mu^-$ and $B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \tau^+ e^-$ defined as $OS_{\mu,e}$ modes because the kaon and the primary lepton have opposite charge, and $B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \tau^- \mu^+$ and $B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \tau^- e^+$, defined as $SS_{\mu,e}$ modes. For all the modes, τ leptons have been reconstructed in $\tau \rightarrow e \nu \bar{\nu}$, $\tau \rightarrow \mu \nu \bar{\nu}$, and $\tau \rightarrow \pi \nu$.

When primary lepton is oppositely charged to the B_{sig} , the dominant background comes from semileptonic D decays: $B^+ \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 (\rightarrow K^+ \ell^- \bar{\nu}_\ell) X^+$. On the other hand, semileptonic B^+ decays like $B^+ \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 (\rightarrow K^+ X^-) X \ell^+ \nu_\ell$ is the major source of background for SS configurations. A BDT classifier is optimized to reduce the $B\bar{B}$ background events. Another BDT classifier has been prepared to suppress the background from $q\bar{q}$ ($q = u, d, s, c$) events, which survive after applying selection on the first BDT output.

We perform unbinned maximum likelihood fit on M_{recoil} distributions to obtain the signal yields for $B \rightarrow K \tau \ell$ decays. The fitted signal yields are summarized in Table 2. The UL on the branching ratio is then derived using the formula: $\mathcal{B}^{\text{UL}} = \frac{N_{\text{sig}}^{\text{UL}}}{N_{B\bar{B}} \times 2 \times f^{+-} \times \varepsilon}$, where $N_{B\bar{B}}$ is the number of $B\bar{B}$ pairs = $(772 \pm 11) \times 10^6$, f^{+-} is the branching fraction $\mathcal{B}(\Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow B^+ B^-)$ for charged B decays (using 0.514 ± 0.006 [16]), and ε is the signal reconstruction efficiency. By default, ε is obtained with signal phase space MC [17] samples, while we also consider a NP model with a combination of the effective operators $\mathcal{O}_{S,P}$ by reweighting the $q^2 = m_{\tau\ell}^2$ distribution which gives the smallest efficiency.

Table 2: Upper limits of branching fractions at the 90% CL for PHSP (and NP) cases.

| Mode | ε (%) | ε^{NP} (%) | N_{sig} | $\mathcal{B}^{\text{UL}} (10^{-5})$ |
|------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------------|
| $B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \tau^+ \mu^-$ | 0.064 | 0.058 | -2.1 ± 2.9 | 0.59 (0.65) |
| $B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \tau^+ e^-$ | 0.084 | 0.074 | 1.5 ± 5.5 | 1.51 (1.71) |
| $B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \tau^- \mu^+$ | 0.046 | 0.038 | 2.3 ± 4.1 | 2.45 (2.97) |
| $B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \tau^- e^+$ | 0.079 | 0.058 | -1.1 ± 7.4 | 1.53 (2.08) |

4 Search for $\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \ell^\pm \ell'^\mp$ and $\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \ell^\pm \ell'^\mp$

The CLEO collaboration has published a result on $\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \mu^\pm \tau^\mp$ decay [18]. There were no available results on $\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow e^\pm \mu^\mp$ and $\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow e^\pm \tau^\mp$ decays. We use the $\Upsilon(1S)$ produced in $\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \Upsilon(1S)$ decays to remove the QED background by taking advantage of 4 charged tracks in the final state. Previously, no existing results were available for $\Upsilon(nS) \rightarrow \gamma \ell^\pm \ell'^\mp$ decays. We perform the first search for RLFV in $\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \ell^\pm \ell'^\mp$ decays using the $\Upsilon(2S)$ data sample.

For $\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow e^\pm \mu^\mp$ decays, we extract the signal yield from a UML fit to the $\Delta M = M_{\pi\pi e\mu} - M_{e\mu}$ variable. We fit ΔM distribution using a sum of two Gaussians (for the signal component) and a 1st-order Chebyshev polynomial (for background components).

For $\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \ell^\pm \tau^\mp$ decays, we extract the signal from a UML fit to the recoil mass of $\pi\pi\ell$ ($M_{\pi\pi\ell}^{\text{recoil}}$), where $\ell = \mu, e$. Dominant backgrounds come from $\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-$ and $\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \ell^\pm \ell'^\mp$

| Decay | ϵ (%) | $N_{\text{sig}}^{\text{fit}}$ | $N_{\text{sig}}^{\text{UL}}$ | \mathcal{B}^{UL} | PDG result |
|--|----------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|
| $\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow e^\pm \mu^\mp$ | 32.5 | -1.3 ± 3.7 | 3.6 | 3.9×10^{-7} | — |
| $\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \mu^\pm \tau^\mp$ | 8.8 | -1.5 ± 4.3 | 6.8 | 2.7×10^{-6} | 6.0×10^{-6} |
| $\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow e^\pm \tau^\mp$ | 7.1 | -3.5 ± 2.7 | 5.3 | 2.7×10^{-6} | — |
| $\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \gamma e^\pm \mu^\mp$ | 24.6 | $+0.8 \pm 1.5$ | 2.9 | 4.2×10^{-7} | — |
| $\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \mu^\pm \tau^\mp$ | 5.8 | $+2.1 \pm 5.9$ | 10.0 | 6.1×10^{-6} | — |
| $\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \gamma e^\pm \tau^\mp$ | 5.0 | -9.5 ± 6.3 | 9.1 | 6.5×10^{-6} | — |

Table 3: Summary table for fitted signal yield ($N_{\text{sig}}^{\text{fit}}$), UL of signal yield ($N_{\text{sig}}^{\text{UL}}$), and UL of branching fraction (\mathcal{B}^{UL}).

decays. To extract the signal for $\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \ell^\pm \tau^\mp$ decays, we fit recoil mass of $\pi\pi\gamma\ell$ ($M_{\pi\pi\ell\gamma}^{\text{recoil}}$).

One can estimate UL of branching fraction: $\mathcal{B}[\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \ell^\pm \ell'^\mp] < \frac{N_{\text{sig}}^{\text{UL}}}{N_{\Upsilon(2S)} \times \mathcal{B}[\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \Upsilon(1S)] \times \epsilon}$, where $N_{\text{sig}}^{\text{UL}}$ is the UL on the signal yield after including systematic uncertainty. We summarize the results in Tab. 3.

5 Conclusion

We search for charged lepton flavor violations in a few decays motivated by several new physics models using the data collected by the Belle experiment. We did not find any evidence of charged lepton flavor violation. Apart from $B_s^0 \rightarrow \mu^\pm \tau^\mp$ decay, obtained upper ULs of branching fractions are the most stringent to date.

References

- [1] R. Aaij *et al.* (LHCb Collaboration), Nature Phys. **18**, 3 (2022) 277.
- [2] T. Faber *et al.*, PLB, **787**, (2018).
- [3] S. Dwivedi *et al.*, EPJ C **80**, 263 (2020).
- [4] S.L. Glashow *et al.*, PRL **114**, 091801 (2015).
- [5] A. Crivellin *et al.*, PRD **97**, 015019 (2018).
- [6] D.E. Hazard and A.A. Petrov, PRD **94**, 074023 (2016).
- [7] S. Kurokawa *et al.*, NIMPR A **499**, 001 007 (2003).
- [8] A. Abashian *et al.*, NIMPR A **479**, 117 232 (2002).
- [9] A. D. Smirnov, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **33** (2018) 1850019.
- [10] I. M. Varzielas *et al.*, JHEP **6** (2015) 72.
- [11] R. Aaij *et al.* (LHCb Collaboration), PRL. **123**, 211801 (2019).
- [12] T. Keck, Comput Softw Big Sci **1**, 2 (2017).
- [13] J. Conrad *et al.*, PRD **67**, 012002 (2003).

- [14] J. P. Lees *et al.* (BaBar Collaboration), PRD **86** (2012) 012004.
- [15] R. Aaij *et al.* (LCHb Collaboration), JHEP **06** (2020) 129.
- [16] R. L. Workman *et al.*, PTEP **2022**, 083C01 (2022).
- [17] D.J. Lange *et al.*, NIMPR A **462**, 152 (2001).
- [18] W. Love *et al.* (CLEO Collaboration), PRL **101**, 201601 (2008).