A multi-parameter expansion for the evolution of asymmetric binaries in astrophysical environments

Sayak Datta 1,2* and Andrea Maselli 1,2†

1 Gran Sasso Science Institute (GSSI), I-67100 L'Aquila, Italy 2 INFN, Laboratori Nazionali del Gran Sasso, I-67100 Assergi, Italy

* sayak.datta@gssi.it, † andrea.maselli@gssi.it

Abstract

Compact binaries with large mass asymmetries - such as Extreme and Intermediate Mass Ratio Inspirals - are unique probes of the astrophysical environments in which they evolve. Their long-lived and intricate dynamics allow for precise inference of source properties, provided waveform models are accurate enough to capture the full complexity of their orbital evolution. In this work, we develop a multi-parameter formalism, inspired by vacuum perturbation theory, to model asymmetric binaries embedded in general matter distributions with both radial and tangential pressures. In the regime of small deviations from the Schwarzschild metric, relevant to most astrophysical scenarios, the system admits a simplified description, where both metric and fluid perturbations can be cast into wave equations closely related to those of the vacuum case. This framework offers a practical approach to modeling the dynamics and the gravitational wave emission from binaries in realistic matter distributions, and can be modularly integrated with existing results for vacuum sources.

Copyright attribution to authors.

This work is a submission to SciPost Physics.

License information to appear upon publication.

Publication information to appear upon publication.

Received Date Accepted Date Published Date

₂ Contents

3	1	Field equations and the Multi-parameter expansion	4
4	2	Solutions of the multi-parameter expansion	5
5		2.1 Environmental effects: (0,1) contributions	5
6		2.2 The motion of the secondary: $(1,0)+(1,1)$ contributions	7
7		2.2.1 Environmental effects in the presence of the secondary: (1,1) matter	
8		decompositions	8
9	3	Perturbation equations	9
10		3.1 $\ell \ge 2$ axial modes	9
11		3.1.1 The frequency domain solution	10
12		3.2 $\ell \ge 2$ polar modes	11
13		3.3 $\ell = 0$ modes	13
14		3.4 $\ell = 1$ modes	14
15	4	Gravitational wave fluxes	14

16	5	Conclusions	17
17	A	Metric perturbations as a function of the master variables	18
18 19	В	Decoupling of Axial and Polar Modes into vacuum and matter components using the scaling function \mathcal{Z} .	21
20	С	Coefficients of the particle stress-energy momentum tensor	22
21	References		23

Coalescing binaries with large mass asymmetry, i.e., mass ratios $q \ll 1$, represent a novel class of gravitational wave (GW) sources for next-generation detectors, as they remain undetectable by current interferometers. These systems consist of a stellar or an intermediate-mass compact object (the secondary) orbiting a significantly more massive black hole (the primary).

Among these, Extreme Mass Ratio Inspirals (EMRIs), where a primary of mass $\sim (10^6-10^8) M_{\odot}$ pairs with a companion of $\sim (10-10^2) M_{\odot}$, can be observed continuously for tens of thousands of orbits [1]. During this phase, the secondary evolves within a few gravitational radii of the primary before the final plunge, emitting GWs that peak in the millihertz regime—well within LISA's [2] or TianQin's [3] sensitivity range. Intermediate Mass Black Holes (IMBHs), with masses in the range $(10^2-10^4) M_{\odot}$, can form Intermediate Mass Ratio Inspirals (IMRIs) when coupled with either stellar-mass or supermassive black holes (BHs), with mass ratios $q \sim 10^{-4}-10^{-2}$ [6, 7]. IMRIs have shorter inspirals and less variability than EMRIs [8], emitting GWs across a broad frequency range, from 10^{-3} Hz to 10 Hz. This makes them multi-band sources, potentially detectable by mHz [9, 10], decihertz observatories [11], and 3G detectors [12, 13].

As q decreases, the inspiral duration and the number of GW cycles followed by asymmetric binaries increase significantly [14]. These systems spend a substantial portion of their inspiral in a strong-field regime, tracing highly relativistic, eccentric, and off-equatorial trajectories before merging. The combination of such a large number of GW cycles and rich relativistic dynamics is crucial for achieving unprecedented precision in measuring source parameters [1], and advancing the fundamental physics science goals expected by GW observations of these systems [15–18].

Asymmetric binaries have garnered increasing attention as prime sources for probing the astrophysical environments in which they evolve [15]. Indeed, BHs do not exist in isolation; they inhabit diverse environments where particles and fields, potentially of unknown or exotic nature, interact both with each other and with the compact objects. For instance, massive BHs are often surrounded by dark matter halos, which may consist of exotic fields or beyond-standard-model candidates [19]. These surrounding structures can redistribute in the presence of a BH, forming overdensities that influence the binary's orbital dynamics and imprint characteristic signatures on the emitted GW signals [20, 21]. Such signals carry valuable information about changes in the galactic potential and local interactions, such as those arising from dynamical friction [22–32].

Moreover, crowded galactic centers can induce tidal resonances that influence EMRI evolution and reveal nearby stellar-mass object distributions [33]. IMRIs are also expected to form in dense, matter-dominated environments, such as the accretion disks of active galactic nu-

¹Exotic scenarios, such as those involving sub-solar black holes, could allow EMRIs with primaries as light as $10^3 M_{\odot}$, making them potential targets for third-generation detectors, with GW emission frequencies below 10 Hz [4,5].

clei [8]. These systems interact with the surrounding gas through effects such as density wakes, gap-opening processes, and tidal torques, leading to complex GW emission patterns [34]. Observing such effects could constrain disk properties and enable multi-messenger analyses via electromagnetic counterparts [35].

Modeling GW emission from asymmetric binaries requires, however, highly accurate waveforms [36]. The self-force (SF) formalism provides the most precise framework to describe such systems, capturing their full evolutionary complexity [14,37]. In this approach, Einstein field equations are expanded in powers of the mass ratio q. The leading-order solution models the secondary as a point particle moving along the geodesics of the primary, while higher-order corrections account for self-interaction and finite-size effects. On the radiation-reaction timescale, the GW phase evolution in the SF expansion follows:

$$\varphi = \frac{\varphi^{(0)}}{q} + \varphi^{(1)} + q\varphi^{(2)} + \cdots,$$
 (1)

where $\varphi^{(0)}$ and $\varphi^{(1)}$ correspond to the adiabatic (0PA) and post-adiabatic (1PA) contributions, respectively [37]. Phase accuracy at sub-radian levels is needed for precise parameter estimation, requiring calculations up to at least the 1PA order. The leading dissipative effects govern the 0PA phase evolution, while² first-order conservative SF and second-order dissipative SF effects contribute to the 1PA phase component $\varphi^{(1)}$. After nearly three decades of effort, recent work has achieved the first implementation of a 1PA waveform [38–40].

Moving beyond vacuum General Relativity presents significant challenges due to the lack of relativistic solutions describing BHs embedded in matter and the complexities introduced by metric-matter couplings. As a result, modeling environmental effects on EMRIs often relies on post-Newtonian approaches [24, 41–46], though fully relativistic descriptions remain key to confidently extract small deviation from vacuum predictions [26, 30, 47–56].

Notable exceptions that provide ab initio background models incorporating non-vacuum contributions include studies investigating how ultra-light scalar fields surrounding massive primaries influence EMRI evolution at leading SF order [57,58]. A recent study built a relativistic perturbative framework for investigating EMRIs and IMRIs in dense environments, focusing on scalar clouds formed via superradiance around Kerr BHs [59,60], emphasizing the relevance of spin effects in assessing matter contributions to GW signals

Along with fundamental physics motivations, scalar fields likely provide the most accessible framework for modeling environmental effects. Efforts to model the interaction of asymmetric binaries with generic fluids remain limited due to the complexity of the calculations. A fully relativistic approach, recently developed to model GW emission from EMRIs embedded in spherically symmetric matter distributions [25, 61], using both semi-analytical and fully numerical methods [27, 62–64], revealed a rich and intricate phenomenology arising from a fully relativistic treatment. This model also underscored the significant increase in computational complexity due to matter components and their perturbations. As a result, even at OPA, generating accurate waveforms across a broad parameter space remains unfeasible.

However, in most astrophysically relevant cases, and in the dynamical regimes of interest for GW detectors, environmental effects are expected to be "small," characterized by low densities. In this regime, the background geometry of asymmetric binaries is dominated by the BH vacuum spacetime, in which both the companion and the surrounding matter act as perturbations, leading to substantial simplifications.

Following this path, we develop a multi-parameter framework to describe the evolution of asymmetric binaries embedded in generic, low-density environments, modeled via a fluid stress-energy tensor. We adopt a general anisotropic prescription that incorporates both radial

²Orbital resonances introduce additional corrections at the 0.5PA order [37].

and tangential pressure components. Focusing on non-spinning BHs, we solve Einstein equations by computing axial and polar perturbations at first order in the mass ratio. We provide practical, ready-to-use formulas for computing both gravitational and fluid perturbations, as well as the resulting GW emission at the adiabatic order, expressed in terms of environmental parameters and the secondary's orbital trajectory. Throughout this work, we use units in which G = c = 1.

110 1 Field equations and the Multi-parameter expansion

Our starting point is the action for generic environmental fields ϑ :

104

105

107

108

109

125

127

129

130

$$S = \int \frac{\sqrt{-g}}{16\pi} d^4x \, \mathcal{R} + S_e[g_{\mu\nu}, \vartheta] + S_p[g_{\mu\nu}, \varphi] \,, \tag{2}$$

where the action S_p describes the perturber secondary of mass m_p and its internal matter fields φ , which can be treated using a skeletonized approach [65], \mathcal{R} is the Ricci scalar, and g the metric determinant. The field equations for $g_{\mu\nu}$, can be derived by varying the total action with respect to the metric, that yields

$$G_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi T_{\mu\nu}^e + 8\pi T_{\mu\nu}^p \,, \tag{3}$$

where $G_{\mu\nu}$ is the Einstein operator, and $T_{\mu\nu}^{e,p}$ are the stress-energy tensors related to the environment and the secondary,

$$T_{\mu\nu}^{e,p} = -\frac{16\pi}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\delta\sqrt{-g}\mathcal{L}_{e,p}}{\delta g^{\mu\nu}} , \qquad (4)$$

where $\mathcal{L}_{e,p}$ are the Lagrangian densities associated with the actions $S_{e,p}$. The total energy-momentum tensor satisfies the covariant equation

$$\nabla_{\mu} T^{\mu}{}_{\nu} = \nabla_{\mu} (T^{e\mu}{}_{\nu} + T^{p\mu}{}_{\nu}) = 0 . \tag{5}$$

We assume the primary is a BH of mass M dressed by a stationary distribution of matter, with a stress-energy tensor for a generic anisotropic fluid³:

$$T_{\mu\nu}^{e} = \rho u_{\mu} u_{\nu} + p_{r} k_{\mu} k_{\nu} + p_{t} \Pi_{\mu\nu} , \qquad (6)$$

where we call p_t and p_r as radial and tangential pressures, u^μ is the fluid four velocity and k^μ is a unit space-like radial vector orthogonal to the latter, such that $-u_\mu u^\mu = k_\mu k^\mu = 1$ and $u_\mu k^\mu = 0$ [69–71]. The projector on the surface orthogonal to the 4-velocity and k^μ is given by $\Pi_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu} + u_\mu u_\nu - k_\mu k_\nu$, with $u^\mu \Pi_{\mu\nu} X^\nu = k^\mu \Pi_{\mu\nu} X^\nu = 0$, for a generic vector X^ν .

The secondary BH can be introduced with a perturbative approach, using the mass ratio $q=m_p/M\ll 1$ as parameter of the expansion. In this work we consider linear-order perturbations in q, which correspond to the leading dissipative contribution in a generic SF expansion of the binary dynamics [14]. In this setup, the secondary evolves along a flow of geodesics driven by the energy and angular momentum fluxes. Higher-order terms, as well as a two-timescale analysis of environmental effects, will be studied elsewhere. The energy momentum of the secondary is given by:

$$T^{p\mu}_{\nu}(x^{\alpha}) = m_p \int_{\gamma} u_p^{\mu} u_p^{\nu} \frac{\delta^{(4)}(x^{\mu} - x_p^{\mu}(\tau))}{\sqrt{-g}} d\tau , \qquad (7)$$

³A prescription to describe anisotropic fluids in Newtonian gravity and in General Relativity has been recently proposed in [66–68], aiming to cure certain inconsistencies arising due to Eq. (6) when modelling stellar solutions. Such formalism can be in principle be adapted to our approach.

where γ is the worldline of the compact object, τ its proper time, and $u^\mu_p(\tau)=dx^\mu_p/d au$ its 4—

We introduce a bookkeeping parameter ϵ to characterize the perturbative nature of the matter distribution, which will later guide the classification of environmental effects. With ρ setting the scale of the environmental stress-energy tensor (6), we follow [59] and define ϵ as the ratio between the environmental and BH densities, $\epsilon = (M_e/L_e^3)/(M/L^3)$, where M_e and L_e are the mass and the scale of the distribution, and $L \sim M$ the BH scale. For instance, in the case of the dark matter configurations considered in [25], one finds $\epsilon = (M_{\text{halo}}/M)/(a_0/L)^3$, with $M_{
m halo}$ and a_0 denoting the halo mass and its typical size, respectively. In addition to density, the compactness of the matter distribution, defined as $C_e = M_e/L_e$, is expected to play a central role in determining the behavior of perturbations [25, 27]. Expressing ϵ in terms of C_e one obtains $\epsilon \sim C_e^3 (M/M_e)^2$, suggesting that the perturbative treatment remains valid as long as $C_e \lesssim (M_e/M)^{2/3}$. For example, for typical dark matter halos, with $M_e \sim (10^5 - 10^6) M_e$, the compactness satisfies $C_e \ll 1$, ensuring $\epsilon \ll 1$.

When $\epsilon \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$, the background metric deviates significantly from the Kerr solution. Conversely, when $\epsilon \ll \mathcal{O}(1)$, environmental effects can be treated as small perturbations of the vacuum BH background, and the binary dynamics is governed by two small parameters: ϵ and the mass ratio q.

In this work, we focus on the latter regime and compute the equations describing metric and matter perturbations by expanding the field equations (3), the covariant conservation of T^{μ}_{ν} (5), and all relevant tensor quantities in powers of ϵ and q. We retain terms up to $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon q)$, such that the metric and stress-energy tensors can be expressed as:

$$g_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu}^{(0,0)} + g_{\mu\nu}^{(1,0)} + g_{\mu\nu}^{(0,1)} + g_{\mu\nu}^{(1,1)}, \tag{8}$$

$$g_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu}^{(0,0)} + g_{\mu\nu}^{(1,0)} + g_{\mu\nu}^{(0,1)} + g_{\mu\nu}^{(1,1)},$$

$$T_{\mu\nu}^{e} = T_{\mu\nu}^{e}^{(0,1)} + T_{\mu\nu}^{e}^{(1,1)}, \quad T_{\mu\nu}^{p} = T_{\mu\nu}^{p}^{(1,0)} + T_{\mu\nu}^{p}^{(1,1)},$$
(9)

where superscripts (i,j) identify the expansion order $\mathcal{O}(q^i,e^j)$, and we have re-absorbed factors q and ϵ within each term of the expansion. In the limit $\epsilon \to 0$ the formalism reduces to a particle moving in the Schwarzschild spacetime, with perturbations described by the Regge-Wheeler-Zerilli equations [72–74].

As discussed above, the background metric satisfies the equations

$$G_{\mu\nu}[g_{\mu\nu}^{(0,0)}] = 0$$
, (10)

which, in Schwarzschild coordinates $x^{\mu} = (t, r, \theta, \phi)$, gives 160

$$g_{\mu\nu}^{(0,0)} = diag(-f, f^{-1}, r^2, r^2 \sin^2 \theta),$$
 (11)

with f = 1 - 2M/r.

133 134

138

139

142

143

144

145

146

148

150

151

152

153

156

157

158

159

Solutions of the multi-parameter expansion

2.1 Environmental effects: (0,1) contributions 163

The (0,1) corrections to the metric tensor satisfy the inhomogeneous equations 164

$$G^{\mu}_{\nu}[g^{(0,0)}_{\alpha\beta}, g^{(0,1)}_{\alpha\beta}] = 8\pi T^{e\mu}_{\nu}^{(0,1)}$$
 (12)

To determine the components of the environmental stress-energy tensor, we utilize the normalization and orthogonality properties of the fluid four-velocity and the vector k^{μ} . For a stationary fluid with $u^{\mu} = (u^t, 0, 0, 0)$ and $k^{\mu} = (k^t, k^r, 0, 0)$, these conditions lead to

$$u^{t} = (-g_{tt})^{-1/2}, \quad k^{t} = 0, \quad k^{r} = g_{tt}^{-1/2}.$$
 (13)

Expanding the metric and matter variables in powers of ϵ , we obtain the explicit form of $T^{e\mu}_{v}(0,1)$:

$$T^{e\mu}_{\nu}^{(0,1)} = \operatorname{diag}(-\rho^{(0,1)}, p_r^{(0,1)}, p_t^{(0,1)}, p_t^{(0,1)}). \tag{14}$$

For sake of clarity, hereafter we drop the suffix (0, 1) from the background pressure and density functions.

At order (0, 1), we assume the following ansatz for the metric components:

$$g_{\mu\nu}^{(0,1)} = \operatorname{diag}\left(-fH, \frac{2m}{rf^2}, r^2, r^2 \sin^2\theta\right),$$
 (15)

where both H(r) and m(r) are functions of the radial coordinate r only. We focus on asymptotically flat solutions for which the matter variables vanish at the BH horizon r_h . This condition fixes $r_h=2M$, as in the vacuum case, given that $m(r_h)=0$. At spatial infinity, the functions behave as $H(r\to\infty)=-2M_e/r+\mathcal{O}\left(1/r^2\right)$ and $m(r\to\infty)=M_e+\mathcal{O}(1/r)$, such that $g_{tt}(r\to\infty)=-1+2(M+M_e)/r$, where $M+M_e$ is the total ADM mass of the system, and M_e denotes the mass of the matter distribution.

From the tt and rr components of Eq. (13), we derive two ordinary differential equations for H and m:

$$\frac{dm}{dr} = 4\pi r^2 \rho$$
 , $\frac{r^2 f^2}{2} \frac{dH}{dr} = m + 4\pi r^3 f p_r$. (16)

Additionally, the energy-momentum covariant derivative at order (0,1) gives:

$$\frac{dp_r}{dr} = \frac{2}{r}p_t + \frac{(3M - 2r)}{r^2f}p_r - \frac{M}{r^2f}\rho \ . \tag{17}$$

Equations (16)-(17) alone do not fully determine a solution for the metric and fluid variables. For a given density profile $\rho(r)$, which depends on the specific matter distribution, additional equations are required to close the system. This is typically provided by an equation of state that relates p_r, p_t , and ρ .

The background metric $g_{\mu\nu}^{(0,0)}+g_{\mu\nu}^{(0,1)}$ allows for the study of the geodesic properties of both massless and massive particles. For example, the energy and angular momentum per unit mass, $(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{L})$, of a massive body on a circular orbit of radius r_p are given by:

$$\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}^{(0,0)} + \frac{f_p[(1 - 4f_p + 3f_p^2)H_p - 2f_pMH_p']}{\sqrt{2}(f_p - 1)(3f_p - 1)^{3/2}},$$
(18)

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}^{(0,0)} + \frac{4f_p^2 M^2 H_p'}{(1 - f_p)^{5/2} (3f_p - 1)^{3/2}} \,. \tag{19}$$

where the vacuum expressions read:

172

186

188

$$\mathcal{E}^{(0,0)} = \frac{\sqrt{2}f_p}{(3f_p - 1)^{1/2}} , \mathcal{L}^{(0,0)} = \frac{2M}{(4f_p - 3f_p^2 - 1)^{1/2}},$$
 (20)

and $f_p = 1 - 2M/r_p$, $H_p = H(r_p)$, $H_p' = H'(r)|_{r=r_p}$ The corresponding angular frequency of the body up to the linear order in ϵ is:

$$\Omega_p = \frac{M^{1/2}}{r_p^{3/2}} + \frac{2MH_p + r_p(r_p - 2M)H_p'}{4\sqrt{M}r_p^{3/2}} \ . \tag{21}$$

2.2 The motion of the secondary: (1,0)+(1,1) contributions

The motion of the secondary generates time dependent perturbations on both the metric and the matter fields, at the linear order in the mass ratio. For technical reasons, that will be clear at the end of this section, we will treat the left-hand side of Einstein equations working with a single background perturbation tensor

$$\delta g_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu}^{(1,0)} + g_{\mu\nu}^{(1,1)} , \qquad (22)$$

which solves the linearised field's equations:

192

201

$$G^{\mu}_{\nu}[g^{(0,0)}_{\alpha\beta}, g^{(0,1)}_{\alpha\beta}, \delta g_{\alpha\beta}] = 8\pi (T^{p\mu}_{\nu}{}^{(1,0)} + T^{e\mu}_{\nu}{}^{(1,1)} + T^{p\mu}_{\nu}{}^{(1,1)}). \tag{23}$$

Decoupling of the vacuum (1,0) and the matter (1,1) terms will be performed at the end of the procedure.

Given the symmetry of the background, metric perturbations can be separated into the usual families of axial (*A*) and polar (*P*) components [72–74]:

$$\delta g_{\mu\nu}(x^{a}) = g_{\mu\nu}^{A}(x^{a}) + g_{\mu\nu}^{P}(x^{a}). \tag{24}$$

Axial and polar modes change sign as $(-1)^{\ell+1}$ and $(-1)^{\ell}$ under the inversion $(\theta \to \pi - \theta, \phi \to \phi + \pi)$, respectively. The two classes of perturbations decouple, and can be treated independently. We can expand $g_{\mu\nu}^A(x^\alpha)$ and $g_{\mu\nu}^P(x^\alpha)$ in a complete set of tensor harmonics, such that:

$$\delta g_{\mu\nu}^{A} = \sum_{\ell,m} \frac{\sqrt{2\lambda}}{r} \left[i h_{1,\ell m}(t,r) \mathbf{c}_{\ell m}(\theta,\phi) - h_{0,\ell m}(t,r) \mathbf{c}^{0}_{\ell m}(\theta,\phi) + \frac{\sqrt{\Lambda}}{r} h_{2,\ell m}(t,r) \mathbf{d}_{\ell m}(\theta,\phi) \right], \tag{25}$$

$$\delta g_{\mu\nu}^{P} = \sum_{\ell,m} \left[-g_{tt} H_{0,\ell m}(t,r) \mathbf{a}^{0}{}_{\ell m}(\theta,\phi) - i\sqrt{2} H_{1,\ell m}(t,r) \mathbf{a}^{1}{}_{\ell m}(\theta,\phi) - \frac{i}{r} \sqrt{2\lambda} \eta_{0,\ell m}(t,r) \mathbf{b}^{0}{}_{\ell m}(\theta,\phi) + \frac{\sqrt{2\lambda}}{r} \eta_{1,\ell m}(t,r) \mathbf{b}_{\ell m}(\theta,\phi) + g_{rr} H_{2,\ell m}(t,r) \mathbf{a}_{\ell m}(\theta,\phi) + \sqrt{\lambda\lambda} G_{\ell m}(t,r) \mathbf{f}_{\ell m}(\theta,\phi) + \left(\sqrt{2} K_{\ell m}(t,r) - \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{2}} G_{\ell m}(t,r)\right) \mathbf{g}_{\ell m}(\theta,\phi) \right],$$
(26)

where $\lambda = \ell(\ell+1)$, $\Lambda = (\ell+2)(\ell-1)/2$, and the sum over the multipolar indices (ℓ,m) runs from $\ell = 0, \ldots, \infty$ and $m = -\ell, \ldots, \ell$. The ten basis components $\{\mathbf{c}_{\mu\nu}^{\ell m}, \mathbf{c}_{\mu\nu}^{0\ell m}, \mathbf{c}_{\mu\nu}^{\ell m}, \ldots, \mathbf{g}_{\mu\nu}^{\ell m}\}$ depend on the spherical harmonics $Y_{\ell m}(\theta,\phi)$ and their derivatives (see e.g. Appendix A of [75] for their explicit expression). Among the ten unknown functions $\{h_{1\ell m} \ldots K_{\ell m}\}$, the axial term $h_{2\ell m}$ and the three polar components $\{\eta_{0\ell m}, \eta_{1\ell m}, G_{\ell m}\}$ can be set to zero by adopting the Regge-Wheeler-Zerilli gauge, such that the metric satisfy

$$\delta g_{\theta\phi} = 0 , \delta g_{\phi\phi} = \delta g_{\theta\theta} \sin^2 \theta ,$$

$$\partial_{\phi} (\delta g_{t\phi} / \sin \theta) + \partial_{\theta} (\delta g_{t\theta} / \sin \theta) = 0 ,$$

$$\partial_{\phi} (\delta g_{r\phi} / \sin \theta) + \partial_{\theta} (\delta g_{r\theta} / \sin \theta) = 0 .$$
(27)

Similarly to the metric perturbations, we decompose the particle stress-energy tensor in the basis of tensor harmonics:

$$T_{\mu\nu}^{p,(1,0)} = \sum_{\ell,m} \left[\mathcal{A}_{\ell m}^{0(1,0)} \mathbf{a}^{0}{}_{\ell m}(\theta,\phi) + \mathcal{A}_{\ell m}^{1(1,0)} \mathbf{a}^{1}{}_{\ell m}(\theta,\phi) + \mathcal{A}_{\ell m}^{(1,0)} \mathbf{a}_{\ell m}(\theta,\phi) + \mathcal{B}_{\ell m}^{0(1,0)} \mathbf{b}^{0}{}_{\ell m}(\theta,\phi) + \mathcal{B}_{\ell m}^{0(1,0)} \mathbf{b}^{0}{}_{\ell m}(\theta,\phi) + \mathcal{B}_{\ell m}^{(1,0)} \mathbf{c}_{\ell m}(\theta,\phi) + \mathcal{D}_{\ell m}^{0(1,0)} \mathbf{c}_{\ell m}(\theta,\phi) + \mathcal{D}_{\ell m}^{(1,0)} \mathbf{d}_{\ell m}(\theta,\phi) + \mathcal{B}_{\ell m}^{(1,0)} \mathbf{d}_{\ell m}(\theta,\phi) + \mathcal{B}_{\ell m}^{(1,0)} \mathbf{c}_{\ell m}(\theta,\phi) + \mathcal{B}_{\ell m}^{(1,0)} \mathbf{c}_{\ell m}(\theta,\phi) \right].$$
(28)

The specific form of the coefficients $\{\mathcal{A}_{\ell m}^{0(1,0)},\dots\mathcal{F}_{\ell m}^{(1,0)}\}$ depends on the secondary orbital configurations. Finally, the form $T_{\mu\nu}^{p}{}^{(1,1)}$ can be constructed using the same ansatz of Eqs. (28), and replacing the functions with the correct order of the expansion, e.g. $\mathcal{Q}_{\ell m}^{(1,0)} \to \mathcal{Q}_{\ell m}^{(1,1)}$ (see Appendix C for further details).

2.2.1 Environmental effects in the presence of the secondary: (1,1) matter decompositions

217

218

228 229

230

231

232

233

The last piece of the multi-parameter expansion is given by the (1, 1) perturbations of matter energy-momentum tensor, $T^{e\mu}_{\nu}^{(1,1)}$. The covariant equations (5) are determined, at this order, by three contributions:

$$\nabla_{\mu} [g_{\alpha\beta}^{(0,0)}] (T^{e\mu}_{\nu}{}^{(1,1)} + T^{p\mu}_{\nu}{}^{(1,1)}) + \nabla_{\mu} [g_{\alpha\beta}^{(1,0)}] T^{e\mu}_{\nu}{}^{(0,1)} + \nabla_{\mu} [g_{\alpha\beta}^{(0,1)}] T^{p\mu}_{\nu}{}^{(1,0)} = 0 , \quad (29)$$

where we identify with $\nabla_{\mu}[g_{\alpha\beta}^{(m,n)}]$ the covariant derivative depending on the metric at the (i,j) order. The (1,1) contributions to the matter stress-energy tensor depend on the energy density and the pressure perturbations:

$$\rho = \rho(r) + \rho^{(1,1)}(t, r, \theta, \phi), \qquad (30)$$

$$p = p_r(r) + p_r^{(1,1)}(t, r, \theta, \phi), \qquad (31)$$

$$p_t = p_t(r) + p_t^{(1,1)}(t, r, \theta, \phi)$$
 (32)

We exploit again the symmetry of the background to separate angular and time-radial variables. We expand fluid variables in terms of standard spherical harmonics

$$\rho^{(1,1)} = \sum_{\ell,m} \rho_{\ell m}^{(1,1)}(t,r) Y_{\ell m}(\theta,\phi) , \qquad (33)$$

$$p_r^{(1,1)} = \sum_{\ell,m} p_{r,\ell m}^{(1,1)}(t,r) Y_{\ell m}(\theta,\phi), \tag{34}$$

$$p_t^{(1,1)} = \sum_{\ell,m} p_{t,\ell m}^{(1,1)}(t,r) Y_{\ell m}(\theta,\phi) . \tag{35}$$

Moreover, pressure and density perturbations are linked by an equation of state, such that:

$$p_{r,\ell m}^{(1,1)} = c_{r,\ell m}^{2}(r)\rho_{\ell m}^{(1,1)} , \quad p_{t,\ell m}^{(1,1)} = c_{t,\ell m}^{2}(r)\rho_{\ell m}^{(1,1)}, \tag{36}$$

where the tangential $(c_{t,\ell m}^2)$ and the radial $(c_{r,\ell m}^2)$ sound speeds are in general not constant, and kept functions of the radial coordinate (See Ref. [76] for specific examples).

Perturbations of the fluid velocity u^{μ} and k^{μ} can be written in terms of vector harmonics [77]. Given the form of the matter stress-energy tensor in Eq. (6) and that, to leading order, the energy and pressure variables are $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$, we only need terms of the order $u^{\mu(1,0)}$ and $k^{\mu(1,0)}$ to determine $T^{e\mu}_{\nu}(1,1)$. The normalization of the 4-velocity reduces the independent component of the perturbations to three unknown functions. The explicit form of $u^{\mu(1,0)}$ and $k^{\mu(1,0)}$ is

235 given by:

237

$$u^{t(1,0)} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{f}} \sum_{\ell,m} H_{0,\ell m}^{(1,0)}(t,r) Y_{\ell m}(\theta,\phi) , \qquad (37)$$

$$u^{r(1,0)} = \frac{f^{3/2}}{4\pi} \sum_{\ell,m} W_{\ell m}^{(1,0)}(t,r) Y_{\ell m}(\theta,\phi) , \qquad (38)$$

$$u^{\theta(1,0)} = \frac{\sqrt{f}}{4\pi r^2} \sum_{\ell,m} \left[V_{\ell m}^{(1,0)}(t,r) \partial_{\theta} - \frac{U_{\ell m}^{(1,0)}(t,r)}{\sin \theta} \partial_{\phi} \right] Y_{\ell m}(\theta,\phi) , \qquad (39)$$

$$u^{\phi(1,0)} = \frac{\sqrt{f}}{4\pi r^2 \sin^2 \theta} \sum_{\ell,m} \left[V_{\ell m}^{(1,0)}(t,r) \partial_{\phi} + U_{\ell m}^{(1,0)}(t,r) \sin \theta \partial_{\theta} \right] Y_{\ell m}(\theta,\phi) . \tag{40}$$

The form of $k^{r(1,0)}$ and $k^{t(1,0)}$ can be found using nomalisation and orthogonality conditions.

3 Perturbation equations

The procedure for determining axial and polar perturbations closely follows the vacuum case, which has been extensively studied in the literature since the seminal works of Regge and Wheeler [72, 73] and Zerilli [74]. In this section, we revisit the key steps for deriving the master equations governing the evolution of $\delta g_{\mu\nu}^{(A,P)}$, and for isolating the contributions arising from the (1,0) and (1,1) terms. We refer the reader to Appendix B for further details on our initial assumption of working with a single metric perturbation in q, and on the decoupling between vacuum and matter components. We present most of the equations in a compact form, emphasizing their functional dependence on the metric and fluid perturbations. The full explicit expressions are provided in the accompanying Mathematica supplementary file [78].

3.1 $\ell \geq 2$ axial modes

In the axial case, we use the $\theta\theta$ and $\phi\phi$ components of Eqs. (23) to express the time derivative $\partial_t h_{0\ell m}$ as a function of $h_{1,\ell m}$ and $\partial_r h_{1,\ell m}$. Substituting the latter into the $r\theta$ component of Einstein equations and introducing the master variable $\bar{\phi}_{\ell m} = -h_{1,\ell m}/r(-g_{tt}/g_{rr})^{1/2}$, we obtain a single, second-order partial differential equation of the form:

$$(-g_{tt}/g_{rr})\partial_r^2 \bar{\phi}_{\ell m} - \partial_t^2 \bar{\phi}_{\ell m} + a_1 \partial_r \bar{\phi}_{\ell m} + a_2 \bar{\phi}_{\ell m} = S_{\ell m} , \qquad (41)$$

where $a_{1,2}$ depend only on (0,0) and (0,1) quantities. The source term $S_{\ell m}$ contains contributions from the particle's stress-energy tensor and the background fluid variables. We now introduce a new master function:

$$\phi_{\ell m}(t,r) = \sqrt{Z(r)}\bar{\phi}_{\ell m}(t,r), \qquad (42)$$

where $Z = f^{-1}(-g_{tt}/g_{rr})^{1/2}$. For environmental effects that can be treated as small perturbations of the Schwarzschild metric, as considered here, we write $Z(r) = 1 + \delta Z(r)$, where $\delta Z(r)$ is of order $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$:

$$\delta Z(r) = \frac{H(r)}{2} - \frac{m(r)}{rf} \ . \tag{43}$$

In terms of the new field $\phi_{\ell m}$, Eq. (41) becomes:

$$f \,\partial_r (f \,\partial_r \phi_{\ell m}) + (1 - \delta Z) \partial_r^2 \phi_{\ell m} + a_3 \phi_{\ell m} = \bar{S}_{\ell m} \,, \tag{44}$$

At this point we can decompose the perturbation into vacuum and matter components, i.e., $\phi_{\ell m} = \phi_{\ell m}^{(0,0)} + \phi_{\ell m}^{(1,1)}$. Furthermore, by introducing the usual tortoise coordinate r_{\star} , such that $\partial_{r_{\star}} = f \partial_{r}$, we can eliminate the first radial derivative of the metric perturbations, obtaining the following wave equations:

$$[\partial_{r_{\star}}^{2} - \partial_{t}^{2} - V^{A}]\phi_{\ell m}^{(1,0)}(t,r) = S_{\ell m}^{A(1,0)}(t,r), \qquad (45)$$

$$[\partial_r^2 - \partial_t^2 - V^A] \phi_{\ell m}^{(1,1)}(t,r) = S_{\ell m}^{A(1,1)}(t,r) . \tag{46}$$

Thus, at linear order in $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$, the axial perturbation problem reduces to solving two wave equations, with the same scattering potential, which matches the Regge–Wheeler expression for the vacuum case:

$$V^{A} = f\left(\frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{r^{2}} - \frac{6M}{r^{3}}\right). \tag{47}$$

The source $S_{\ell m}^{A(1,0)}(t,r)$ only depends on the coefficients of $T_{\mu\nu}^{p(1,0)}$ in Eq. (28). The source $S_{\ell m}^{A(1,1)}$ is proportional to $T_{\mu\nu}^{p(1,1)}$, and contains contributions from the vacuum master function $\phi_{\ell m}^{(1,0)}$, multiplied by the matter density and pressure. Once Eqs. (45)–(46) are solved, we can use Eq. (43) to obtain the (1,0) and (1,1) components of $\phi_{\ell m}$, and consequently the expansion for the metric functions $h_{1,\ell m}=h_{1,\ell m}^{(1,0)}+h_{1,\ell m}^{(1,1)}$ and $h_{0,\ell m}=h_{0,\ell m}^{(1,0)}+h_{0,\ell m}^{(1,1)}$. Their explicit expressions are given in Appendix A.

Finally, the velocity perturbation $U_{\ell m}^{(1,0)}$ can be derived from the $t\theta$ component of Einstein equations, which yields an algebraic relation between this quantity and the metric variables:

$$\partial_t U_{\ell m}^{(1,0)}(t,r) = -\frac{4\pi \partial_t h_{0,\ell m}^{(1,0)}}{f} + S_{\ell m}^U(t,r), \qquad (48)$$

with $S_{\ell m}^U(t,r)$ depending on the point particle motion.

5 3.1.1 The frequency domain solution

272 273

282

In the frequency domain, Eqs. (45)–(46) reduce to two ordinary differential equations in the radial coordinate:

$$\left[\partial_{r_{\star}}^{2} + \omega^{2} - V^{A}\right] \phi_{\ell m}^{(1,0)}(\omega, r) = S_{\ell m}^{A(1,0)}(\omega, r) , \qquad (49)$$

$$[\partial_{r_{\perp}}^{2} + \omega^{2} - V^{A}] \phi_{\ell m}^{(1,1)}(\omega, r) = S_{\ell m}^{A(1,1)}(\omega, r), \qquad (50)$$

where, for a generic function X(t,r):

$$X(\omega,r) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{i\omega t} X(t,r) dt \quad , \quad X(t,r) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-i\omega t} X(\omega,r) d\omega . \tag{51}$$

Equations (49)–(50) can be solved using a Green's function approach. We first solve the associated homogeneous equations with purely ingoing (-) boundary conditions at the horizon and purely outgoing (+) conditions at infinity:

$$\phi_{\ell m}^{(1,0)(-)} \sim \begin{cases} e^{-i\omega r_{\star}} & r_{\star} \to -\infty \\ A_{in} e^{-i\omega r_{\star}} + A_{\text{out}} e^{i\omega r_{\star}} & r_{\star} \to +\infty \end{cases} , \tag{52}$$

$$\phi_{\ell m}^{(1,0)(+)} \sim \begin{cases} e^{i\omega r_{\star}} & r_{\star} \to +\infty \\ B_{\rm in} e^{-i\omega r_{\star}} + B_{\rm out} e^{i\omega r_{\star}} & r_{\star} \to -\infty \end{cases} , \tag{53}$$

Note that the homogeneous equation is identical for both the (1,0) and (1,1) components, and hence needs to be solved only once. The full solution is obtained by integrating $\phi_{\ell m}^{(1,0)(\pm)}$ over the source term:

$$\phi_{\ell m}^{(1,0)} = C^{+} \phi_{\ell m}^{(1,0)(-)} + C^{-} \phi_{\ell m}^{(1,0)(+)}, \qquad (54)$$

286 with coefficients given by:

$$C^{+} = \int_{-\infty}^{r_{\star}} \frac{\phi_{\ell m}^{(1,0)(-)}(r'_{\star}) S_{\ell m}^{(1,0)}(r'_{\star})}{\mathcal{W}_{\ell m}(r'_{\star})} dr'_{\star} , \quad C^{-} = \int_{r_{\star}}^{+\infty} \frac{\phi_{\ell m}^{(1,0)(+)}(r'_{\star}) S_{\ell m}^{(1,0)}(r'_{\star})}{\mathcal{W}_{\ell m}(r'_{\star})} dr'_{\star} , \quad (55)$$

where $\mathcal{W}_{\ell m}$ is the constant Wronskian of the homogeneous solutions:

$$W_{\ell m}(r_{\star}) = f \,\partial_r \phi_{\ell m}^{(1,0)(+)} \phi_{\ell m}^{(1,0)(-)} - f \,\partial_r \phi_{\ell m}^{(1,0)(-)} \phi_{\ell m}^{(1,0)(+)} \,. \tag{56}$$

The solution for $\phi_{\ell m}^{(1,1)}$ has the same form as Eq. (54), with the substitution $S_{\ell m}^{(1,0)} \to S_{\ell m}^{(1,1)}$ in the C^{\pm} coefficients.

For circular orbits the calculation of C^{\pm} greatly simplifies. In this case the source term can be written as function of Dirac's delta and it's first derivative:

$$S_{\ell m}^{(1,0)} = D(r, r_{D})\delta(r - r_{D}) + G(r, r_{D})\delta'(r - r_{D}),$$
(57)

where r_p is the secondary orbital radius, and the functions D,G can be determined from the coefficients of $T_{\mu\nu}^{p(1,0)}$ (and of $T_{\mu\nu}^{p(1,1)}$ for the matter contribution). Integration in Eqs. (55)can be performed analytically such that

$$C^{+} = C^{+}\Theta(r - r_{p})$$
 , $C^{-} = C^{-}\Theta(r_{p} - r)$, (58)

295 where

297

298

300

301

302

290

$$C^{\pm} = \frac{\phi_{\ell m}^{(1,0)(\mp)}(r_p)D(r_p)}{f_p W} - \frac{d}{dr} \left[\frac{\phi_{\ell m}^{(1,0)(\mp)}(r_p)G(r_p)}{Wf(r)} \right]_{r=r_p}.$$
 (59)

3.2 $\ell \geq 2$ polar modes

Perturbations in the polar sector are characterized by seven variables: four metric components $(H_{0,\ell m}, H_{1,\ell m}, H_{2,\ell m}, K_{\ell m})$, two components of the fluid velocity perturbation $(V_{\ell m}^{(1,0)}, W_{\ell m}^{(1,0)})$, and the density perturbation $\rho^{(1,1)}$. Despite this complexity, the dimensionality of the system can be significantly reduced.

The $\theta \phi$ component of Eqs. (23) allows us to express $H_{2,\ell m}$ in terms of $H_{0,\ell m}$. Furthermore, the rr, tr, and $t\theta$ components of Einstein equations can be used to eliminate the time derivative of $H_{0,\ell m}$, yielding two coupled differential equations⁴ that depend only on the metric functions $K_{\ell m}$ and $H_{1,\ell m}$, along with the fluid perturbations, and take the following form:

$$(b_{1} + b_{2}\partial_{r})H_{1,\ell m} + (b_{3}\partial_{t} + b_{4}\partial_{tr}^{2})K_{\ell m} + b_{5}V_{\ell m}^{(1,0)} + b_{6}W_{\ell m}^{(1,0)} = S_{\ell m}^{H},$$

$$(c_{1} + c_{2}\partial_{r} + c_{3}\partial_{rr}^{2} + c_{4}\partial_{tt}^{2})H_{1,\ell m} + (c_{4}\partial_{t} + c_{5}\partial_{tr}^{2})K_{\ell m} + (c_{6} + c_{7}\partial_{r})V_{\ell m}^{(1,0)} + c_{8}W_{\ell m}^{(1,0)} = S_{\ell m}^{K},$$

$$(61)$$

⁴These algebraic manipulations also introduce a third-order time derivative of $K_{\ell m}$, which can be removed using the $t\phi$ component of Einstein equations.

From the time component of the covariant derivative of the stress-energy tensor, we obtain an equation for $\rho_{\ell m}^{(1,1)}$:

$$d_1 \partial_t \rho_{\ell m}^{(1,1)} + d_2 \partial_t K_{\ell m} + (d_3 + d_4 \partial_r) H_{1,\ell m} + (d_5 + d_6 \partial_r) W_{\ell m}^{(1,0)} + d_7 V_{\ell m}^{(1,0)} = \mathcal{J}_{\ell m}^{\rho} . \tag{62}$$

The coefficients (b_i, c_i, d_i) appearing in Eqs. (60)–(62) contain background quantities and depend only on r. Finally, from $\nabla_{\mu}T^{\mu\theta}=0$ and $\nabla_{\mu}T^{\mu r}=0$, we obtain two first-order equations in time for $\partial_t V_{\ell m}^{(1,0)}$ and $\partial_t W_{\ell m}^{(1,0)}$.

in time for $\partial_t V_{\ell m}^{(1,0)}$ and $\partial_t W_{\ell m}^{(1,0)}$.

We now reduce the coupled system for $H_{1,\ell m}$ and $K_{\ell m}$ to a single master equation for the metric perturbation, following the strategy introduced by Zerilli in vacuum [74], and isolate its (0,1) and (1,1) components. We first introduce the new functions $\bar{\chi}_{\ell m}(t,r)$ and $\bar{R}_{\ell m}(t,r)$:

$$\partial_t K_{\ell m}(t,r) = \alpha \bar{\chi}_{\ell m}(t,r) + \beta \bar{R}_{\ell m}(t,r) \quad , \quad H_{1,\ell m}(t,r) = \gamma \bar{\chi}_{\ell m}(t,r) + \delta \bar{R}_{\ell m}(t,r) , \quad (63)$$

As in the axial sector, we introduce the scaling function Z(r) such that $\chi_{\ell m} = \sqrt{Z}\bar{\chi}_{\ell m}$ and $R_{\ell m} = \sqrt{Z}\bar{R}_{\ell m}$. The coefficients $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta)$, which depend only on r, are fixed by requiring that $\chi_{\ell m}$ and $R_{\ell m}$ satisfy Zerilli-like equations of the form:

$$f \partial_r [f \partial_r \chi_{\ell m}] + (\mathcal{V}^P - \partial_t^2) \chi_{\ell m} = \mathcal{S}_{\ell m}^P \quad , \quad f \partial_r \chi_{\ell m} - R_{\ell m} = \mathcal{J}_{\ell m}^P ,$$
 (64)

for some scattering potential \mathcal{V}^P . At this stage, and for readability, we collectively include in the source terms $\mathcal{S}^P_{\ell m}$ and $\mathcal{J}^P_{\ell m}$ all contributions proportional to the secondary orbital configuration and fluid perturbations. Their explicit forms will be given later.

The coefficients that 'diagonalize' the problem coincide with those originally found by Zerilli [74]. At this point, all metric perturbations can be expanded in the two-parameter scheme,
e.g., $\chi_{\ell m} = \chi_{\ell m}^{(0,1)} + \chi_{\ell m}^{(1,1)}$. As a result, Eqs. (60)–(61) reduce to:

$$\partial_{r_{\star}}^{2} \chi_{\ell m}^{(1,0)} + (V^{P} - \partial_{t}^{2}) \chi_{\ell m}^{(1,0)} = S_{\ell m}^{P^{(1,0)}},$$
(65)

$$\partial_{r_{\star}}^{2} \chi_{\ell m}^{(1,1)} + (V^{P} - \partial_{t}^{2}) \chi_{\ell m}^{(1,1)} + (z_{1} + z_{2} f \partial_{r}) V_{\ell m}^{(1,0)} + (z_{3} + z_{4} f \partial_{r}) W_{\ell m}^{(1,0)} = S_{\ell m}^{P^{(1,1)}}.$$
 (66)

The scattering potential for both the (1,0) and (1,1) equations coincides and is given by the well-known vacuum result:

$$V^{P} = -\frac{2f}{r^{3}} \frac{9M^{3} + 9\Lambda M^{2}r + 3\Lambda^{2}Mr^{2} + \Lambda^{2}(\Lambda + 1)r^{3}}{(3M + r\Lambda)^{2}}.$$
 (67)

The source term $S_{\ell m}^{p^{(1,1)}}$ is proportional to $\chi_{\ell m}^{(1,0)}$ and to the components of $T_{\mu\nu}^{p,(1,1)}$, while the coefficients $d_{1,2,3,4}$ depend only on the background pressure and density. The density perturbation enters the equation for $\chi_{\ell m}^{(1,1)}$ via the fluid velocities, which are determined by:

$$\kappa_t \partial_t V_{\ell m}^{(1,0)} + 4\pi c_{t,\ell m}^2 \rho_{\ell m}^{(1,1)} = S_{\ell m}^V ,$$
(68)

$$\kappa_r \partial_t W_{\ell m}^{(1,0)} + (w_1 + w_2 f \partial_r) \rho_{\ell m}^{(1,1)} = S_{\ell m}^W,$$
 (69)

where $\kappa_t = \rho(r) + p_t(r)$ and $\kappa_r = \rho(r) + p_r(r)$. Finally, using Eqs. (65) and (68)–(69), we can simplify the master equation for $\rho_{\ell m}^{(1,1)}$. Taking the time derivative of Eq. (62) yields:

$$(\partial_{r_{\star}}^{2} - c_{r,\ell m}^{-2} \partial_{t}^{2} + V^{\rho} + \gamma_{1} \partial_{r_{\star}}) \rho_{\ell m}^{(1,1)} = S_{\ell m}^{\rho} . \tag{70}$$

The coefficients (w_1, w_2) involve combinations of $p_{t,r}(r)$ and $\rho(r)$, while V^{ρ} and γ_1 depend only on the sound speeds. Along with the particle motion, the sources $S_{\ell m}^{V,W,\rho}$ depend on the vacuum solutions $\chi_{\ell m}^{(1,0)}$.

Note that Eq. (70) is decoupled from the (1,1) metric perturbations and can be solved once the vacuum solution is known. This allows to determine $V_{\ell m}^{(1,0)}$ and $W_{\ell m}^{(1,0)}$ via Eqs. (68)-(69). These quantities can then be used to fully solve the polar sector and obtain $\chi_{\ell m}^{(1,1)}$ through Eq. (66). The metric components can subsequently be reconstructed using the expressions in Appendix A.

We also briefly comment on the structure of the polar sector in the frequency domain. Although the equations remain too lengthy to present explicitly, the formulation simplifies significantly. In this case, the velocity perturbations, given by Eqs. (68)-(69), reduce to algebraic relations and can be eliminated from the wave equation for $\chi_{\ell m}^{(1,1)}$, which can then be determined once a solution for $\rho_{\ell m}^{(1,1)}$ is obtained using the Green function approach already

3.3 $\ell = 0$ modes

332

333

334

335

336

338

340

341342343

344

346

348

349

For the sake of completeness, we complement the previous calculations with the treatment of the $\ell = 0$ and $\ell = 1$ modes, which do not contribute to gravitational radiation.

Fo $\ell=m=0$, only polar perturbations are excited. In this case we adopt the so called Zerilli gauge, which allows us to set $H_{1,00}=K_{00}=0$ [74, 79]. Decomposing the remaining metric functions $H_{2,00}$ and $H_{0,00}$ into vacuum and matter components, we obtain

$$\partial_r H_{0,00}^{(1,0)} = -\frac{H_{2,00}^{(1,0)}}{f} - 8\pi A_{00}^{(1,0)} , \qquad (71)$$

$$\partial_r H_{2,00}^{(1,0)} = -\frac{H_{2,00}^{(1,0)}}{f} + \frac{8\pi r}{f^2} A_{00}^{0(1,0)} , \qquad (72)$$

which coincide with the standard results derived in the vacuum case [80], and

$$\partial_r H_{0,00}^{(1,1)} = -\frac{8\pi r c_r^2 \rho_{00}^{(1,1)}}{f} - \frac{2}{f^2 r^2} \left(4\pi f r^3 p_r + m\right) H_{2,00}^{(1,0)} - \frac{H_{2,00}^{(1,1)}}{f r} - 8\pi r \mathcal{A}_{00}^{(1,1)}, \tag{73}$$

$$\partial_{r}H_{2,00}^{(1,1)} = -\frac{H_{2,00}^{(1,1)}}{fr} + \frac{8\pi r}{f}\rho_{00}^{(1,1)} + \frac{8\pi r}{f^{2}}A_{00}^{(0)(1,1)} + \frac{2}{f^{2}r^{2}}H_{2,00}^{(1,0)}\left(4\pi f r^{3}\rho - m\right) - \frac{8\pi}{f^{3}}A_{00}^{0(1,0)}(frH - 2m).$$

$$(74)$$

Moreover, an algebraic equation for $W_{00}^{(1,0)}$ can be obtained from the tr component of Einstein equations:

$$\kappa_r W_{00}^{(1,0)} = \frac{2i\sqrt{2}\pi \mathcal{A}_{00}^{1(1,1)}}{f} - \frac{\partial_t H_{2,00}^{(1,1)}}{2fr} \,, \tag{75}$$

Finally, substituting the above into the $\theta\theta$ component of Eqs. (23), we obtain a master equation for $\rho_{00}^{(1,1)}$:

$$\left(\partial_{r_{\star}}^{2} - c_{r,00}^{-2} \partial_{t}^{2} + V_{\ell=0}^{\rho} + \gamma_{1,\ell=0} \partial_{r_{\star}}\right) \rho_{00}^{(1,1)} = S_{00}^{\rho} . \tag{76}$$

The source term S_{00}^{ρ} depends on the vacuum solution $H_{2,00}^{(1,0)}$ and on the secondary orbital trajectory, while the potential $V_{\ell=0}^{p}$ and the coefficient $\gamma_{1,\ell=0}$ contain terms proportional to the radial sound speed. As for the $\ell \geq 2$ modes, Eq. (76) is decoupled from the (1,1) metric perturbations and is entirely determined by the vacuum component. Once solved, one can determine $W_{00}^{(1,0)}$ via Eq. (75), and subsequently reconstruct $H_{0,00}$ and $H_{2,00}$.

3.4 $\ell = 1 \text{ modes}$

360

367

369

370

371

372

374

375

376

380

381

382

383

For $\ell=1$, both axial and polar modes are present. In the axial sector, the Zerilli gauge is implemented by setting $h_{0,1m}=0$, leaving $h_{1,1m}$ as the only nonvanishing metric component to be determined [74]. The field equations for the (1,0) axial perturbation take the form:

$$\partial_t^2 h_{1,1m}^{(1,0)} = -rf \, 8i\pi \, \mathcal{Q}_{1m}^{(1,0)} \,, \tag{77}$$

$$\frac{2}{r^2}\partial_t h_{1,1m}^{(1,0)} + \frac{1}{r}\partial_{t,r}^2 h_{1,1m}^{(1,0)} + \frac{8\pi}{f}\mathcal{Q}_{1m}^{0(1,0)} = 0.$$
 (78)

At the (1,1) order we have for the metric perturbation

$$\partial_t^2 h_{1,1m}^{(1,1)} - [H\partial_t^2 - 16\pi f(p_t - p_r)] h_{1,1m}^{(1,0)} + 8\pi i f r \mathcal{Q}_{1m}^{(1,1)} = 0 , \qquad (79)$$

$$\left(f \, \partial_{t,r}^2 + \frac{2f}{r} \, \partial_t \right) h_{1,1m}^{(1,1)} + 4f \, \kappa_t U_{1m}^{(1,0)} + 8\pi r \, \mathcal{Q}_{1m}^{(0)(1,1)} - \frac{4}{r^2} \left(\pi r^3 \kappa_r + m + \frac{rm}{2} \, \partial_r \right) \partial_t h_{1,1m}^{(1,0)} = 0 \; . \tag{80}$$

Finally, an equation for $\partial_t U_{1m}^{(1,0)}$ can be derived from θ component of the covariant divergence $\nabla_\mu T^{\mu\theta} = 0$.

In the polar sector, we fix the Zerilli gauge by setting $K_{1m}=0$, so that the remaining metric perturbations to determine are $H_{0,1m}$, $H_{1,1m}$, and $H_{2,1m}$, along with the fluid variables $V_{1m}^{(1,0)}$, $W_{1m}^{(1,0)}$, and $\rho_{1m}^{(1,1)}$. Decomposing the metric into its (1,0) and (1,1) components, we derive the corresponding perturbation equations by applying Einstein equations together with the t, r, and θ components of $\nabla_{\mu}T^{\mu\nu}=0$. The (1,0) vacuum equations for $H_{0,1m}$, $H_{1,1m}$, and $H_{2,1m}$ coincide with those available in Appendix B of [75].

The functional forms of the equations for matter perturbations are identical to those in Eqs. (68)-(70), valid for modes with $\ell \geq 2$, except for the coefficients w_1 , w_2 , and γ_1 , as well as the scattering potential V^{ρ} , whose explicit expressions are provided in the accompanying Mathematica file.

4 Gravitational wave fluxes

Having determined the axial and polar perturbations, we can compute the associated GW fluxes at infinity and at the horizon. The asymptotic structure of our metric allows us to use the standard procedure adopted in vacuum [81,82], aimed at determining the form of the perturbations in a coordinate system where the metric exhibits the correct radial dependence [83].

To move from the RWZ gauge to the radiation gauge, we perform an infinitesimal coordinate transformation such that

$$\delta g_{\mu\nu}^{RG} = \delta g_{\mu\nu}^{RWZ} - \nabla_{\mu} \xi_{\nu} - \nabla_{\nu} \xi_{\mu} , \qquad (81)$$

where ξ^{μ} is a gauge vector expanded in multipole components (summation over (ℓ, m) is implicit):

$$\xi_{\mu} = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, r^2 [\alpha_3 \csc \theta \, \partial_{\phi} + \alpha_4 \partial_{\theta}], r^2 [\alpha_4 \partial_{\phi} - \alpha_3 \sin \theta \, \partial_{\theta}]) \, Y_{\ell m} \,, \tag{82}$$

with $\alpha_{1,2,3,4}$ being gauge functions dependent on (t,r). Following [81], at infinity the perturbation tensor satisfies the outgoing radiation conditions:

$$\delta g_{\mu\nu}^{\rm ORG} n^{\mu} n^{\nu} = \delta g_{\mu\nu}^{\rm ORG} n^{\mu} m^{\nu} = \delta g_{\mu\nu}^{\rm ORG} n^{\mu} m^{\nu*} = \delta g_{\mu\nu}^{\rm ORG} n^{\mu} l^{\nu} = \delta g_{\mu\nu}^{\rm ORG} m^{\mu} m^{\nu*} = 0 , \qquad (83)$$

where the null tetrad $(l^{\mu}, n^{\mu}, m^{\mu}, m^{\mu*})$ has components:

$$l_{\mu} = \left\{ -\sqrt{-g_{tt}g_{rr}}, g_{rr}, 0, 0 \right\},$$

$$n_{\mu} = -\frac{1}{2} \left\{ \sqrt{-g_{tt}/g_{rr}}, 1, 0, 0 \right\},$$

$$m_{\mu} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left\{ 0, 0, r, ir \sin \theta \right\},$$
(84)

with $l^{\mu}l_{\mu} = n^{\mu}n_{\mu} = m^{\mu}m_{\mu} = m^{\mu*}m_{\mu}^* = 0$, $l^{\mu}n_{\mu} = -1 = -m^{\mu}m_{\mu}^*$, and the asterisk denoting complex conjugation [84].

Equations (83), together with the gauge transformation (81), can be used to express $\alpha_{1,2,3,4}$ in terms of the RWZ metric perturbations and reconstruct the perturbation tensor at infinity.⁵

From the asymptotic form of the polar and axial components at $r \to \infty$, using Eqs. (A.1)–(A.5), we find to leading order:

$$h_{0\ell m} \simeq -h_{1\ell m} \simeq r(\phi_{\ell m}^{(1,0)} + \phi_{\ell m}^{(1,1)}),$$
 (85)

$$H_{2,\ell m} \simeq H_{0,\ell m} \simeq -H_{1,\ell m} \simeq r \partial_t (\chi_{\ell m}^{(1,0)} + \chi_{\ell m}^{(1,1)}) - \frac{2r M_e}{\Lambda} \partial_t^2 \chi_{\ell m}^{(1,0)},$$
 (86)

$$K_{\ell m} \simeq -(\chi_{\ell m}^{(1,0)} + \chi_{\ell m}^{(1,1)}) + \frac{2M_e}{\Lambda} \partial_t \chi_{\ell m}^{(1,0)},$$
 (87)

assuming that at spatial infinity $\partial_t = -\partial_r + \mathcal{O}(1/r)$. In the radiation zone, the perturbation becomes:

$$\delta g_{AB}^{\text{ORG}} = -2r^2(\alpha_4 V_{AB}^{\ell m} + \alpha_3 W_{AB}^{\ell m}) + \mathcal{O}(1) , \qquad (88)$$

where indices A, B span the angular coordinates (θ, ϕ) , and

$$\alpha_3 = \frac{1}{r} \int_{-\infty}^{t} (\phi_{\ell m}^{(1,0)} + \phi_{\ell m}^{(1,1)}) dt', \qquad (89)$$

$$-2\alpha_4 = \frac{1}{r} \int_{-\infty}^{t} \left(\chi_{\ell m}^{(1,0)} + \chi_{\ell m}^{(1,1)} - \frac{2M_e}{\Lambda} \partial_t \chi_{\ell m}^{(1,0)} \right) dt', \qquad (90)$$

398 and

391

392

$$V_{AB} = \left(\nabla_A \nabla_B + \frac{\lambda}{2} \Omega_{AB}\right) Y_{\ell m} \quad , \quad W_{AB} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\nabla_B \epsilon_A^{\ C} \nabla_C + \nabla_A \epsilon_B^{\ C} \nabla_C\right] Y_{\ell m} , \quad (91)$$

with $\Omega_{AB} = \text{diag}(1, \sin^2 \theta)$, ∇_A the covariant derivative, and ϵ_{AB} the Levi-Civita tensor on the unit 2-sphere.

The energy and angular momentum fluxes can be obtained from the Isaacson stress-energy tensor for gravitational waves,

$$T_{\mu\nu}^{\text{GW}} = \frac{1}{64\pi} \langle \nabla_{\mu} \delta g^{\alpha\beta} \nabla_{\nu} \delta g_{\alpha\beta} \rangle , \qquad (92)$$

where $\langle ... \rangle$ denotes average over a region of spacetime large compared with the GW wavelength. Given the symmetry of the background, we can express fluxes using the Killing vectors $\{\xi_{(t)}^{\nu}, \xi_{(\phi)}^{\nu}\}$ associated to the two cyclic variables t and ϕ :

$$-dE = \int_{\Sigma} T^{\text{GW}\mu}{}_{\nu} \xi^{\nu}_{(t)} d\Sigma_{\mu} = \pm \left[\frac{|g_{tt}|}{g_{rr}} \right]^{1/2} r^2 \int_{\Sigma} T^{\text{GW}}_{tr} d\Omega dt , \qquad (93)$$

$$dL = \int_{\Sigma} T^{\text{GW}\mu}{}_{\nu} \xi^{\nu}_{(\phi)} d\Sigma_{\mu} = \pm \left[\frac{|g_{tt}|}{g_{rr}} \right]^{1/2} r^2 \int_{\Sigma} T^{\text{GW}}_{r\phi} d\Omega dt , \qquad (94)$$

⁵These calculations are nearly identical to those in Appendix B of [81], except for the general form of the metric components g_{tt} and g_{rr} , which include matter contributions beyond Schwarzschild.

where $d\Sigma_{\mu}$ is a surface element outward-oriented on Σ and the signs — and + are for flux at horizon and at infinity respectively. Expanding all quantities at leading order in 1/r, and using Eqs. (88)–(89) within the energy flux (93), to order $\mathcal{O}(q^2\epsilon)$ we obtain:

$$\dot{E}_{\ell m}^{\infty} = \frac{1}{64\pi} \frac{(\ell+2)!}{(\ell-2)!} \left(\left| \chi_{\ell m}^{(1,0)} \right|^2 + 4 \left| \phi_{\ell m}^{(1,0)} \right|^2 + 2 \operatorname{Re} \left[\chi_{\ell m}^{(1,0)} \chi_{\ell m}^{(1,1)*} + 4 \phi_{\ell m}^{(1,0)} \phi_{\ell m}^{(1,1)*} - \frac{2M_e}{\Lambda} \chi_{\ell m}^{(1,0)} \partial_t \chi_{\ell m}^{(1,0)*} \right] \right).$$
(95)

Similarly, for the angular momentum flux, Eq. (94), we have:

$$\dot{L}_{\ell m}^{\infty} = \frac{im}{128\pi} \frac{(\ell+2)!}{(\ell-2)!} \left[\chi_{\ell m}^{(1,0)} \int_{\ell m}^{t} \chi_{\ell m}^{(1,0)*} dt' + 4\phi_{\ell m}^{(1,0)} \int_{\ell m}^{t} dt' \phi_{\ell m}^{(1,0)*} - \chi_{\ell m}^{(1,0)} \left(\frac{2M_{e}}{\Lambda} \chi_{\ell m}^{(1,0)*} - \chi_{\ell m}^{(1,0)} \right) \right] dt' \chi_{\ell m}^{*(1,0)} - \int_{\ell m}^{t} dt' \chi_{\ell m}^{*(1,1)*} - \left(\frac{2M_{e}}{\Lambda} \partial_{t} \chi_{\ell m}^{(1,0)} - \chi_{\ell m}^{(1,1)} \right) \int_{\ell m}^{t} dt' \chi_{\ell m}^{*(1,0)} + 4\phi_{\ell m}^{(1,0)} \int_{\ell m}^{t} dt' \phi_{\ell m}^{*(1,1)*} + 4\phi_{\ell m}^{*(1,1)} \int_{\ell m}^{t} dt' \phi_{\ell m}^{*(1,0)*} \right] + \text{c.c.} .$$
(96)

The first two terms in Eqs. (95) and (96) correspond to the standard fluxes at infinity for vacuum perturbations around Schwarzschild BHs.

Calculations of GW fluxes at the horizon proceed analogously to those at infinity. We impose an ingoing radiation gauge by swapping $l^{\mu} \leftrightarrow n^{\mu}$ in Eqs. (83), and express the gauge functions in terms of the RWZ metric perturbations near the horizon, i.e., in the limit $f \to 0$.

Using Eqs. (A.1)–(A.5), we obtain the leading-order behavior of the axial and polar components as $r \rightarrow 2M$:

$$fh_{1\ell m} \simeq -2M \left(\phi_{\ell m}^{(1,0)} + \phi_{\ell m}^{(1,1)}\right) + \frac{3MH_h}{2}\phi_{\ell m}^{(1,0)},$$
 (97)

$$h_{0\ell m} \simeq -2M \left(\phi_{\ell m}^{(1,0)} + \phi_{\ell m}^{(1,1)} \right) - \frac{M}{2} H_h \phi_{\ell m}^{(1,0)} , \qquad (98)$$

$$H_{2,\ell m} \simeq H_{0,\ell m} \simeq H_{1\ell m} \simeq \frac{1}{2} (4M \partial_t - 1) \left[\chi_{\ell m}^{(1,0)} + \chi_{\ell m}^{(1,1)} \right] - \frac{H}{8} (4M \partial_t - 1) \chi_{\ell m}^{(1,0)} , \qquad (99)$$

$$\partial_{t} K_{\ell m} \simeq \left(\frac{\Lambda + 1}{2M} + \partial_{t}\right) \left(\chi_{\ell m}^{(1,0)} + \chi_{\ell m}^{(1,1)}\right) - \frac{H_{h}}{4} \left(\frac{\Lambda + 1}{2M} + \partial_{t}\right) \chi_{\ell m}^{(1,0)} , \qquad (100)$$

where $H_h \equiv H(r = r_h)$, and we assume that near the horizon $\partial_t = f \partial_r + \mathcal{O}(f)$. Combining these expressions with Eqs. (81) and (83), we can write the metric perturbation in the ingoing radiation gauge as:

$$\delta g_{AB}^{HRG} = -8M^2 \left(\alpha_4 V_{AB}^{\ell m} + \alpha_3 W_{AB}^{\ell m} \right) + \mathcal{O}(f) , \qquad (101)$$

with the gauge coefficients given by

412

413

414

415

$$\alpha_3 = -\frac{1}{2M} \int_{-\infty}^{t} \left(\phi_{\ell m}^{(1,0)} + \phi_{\ell m}^{(1,1)} - \frac{H_h}{4} \phi_{\ell m}^{(1,0)} \right) dt', \qquad (102)$$

$$\alpha_4 = -\frac{1}{4M} \int_{\ell_m}^{\ell} \left(\chi_{\ell_m}^{(1,0)} + \chi_{\ell_m}^{(1,1)} + \frac{MH_h}{3 + 2\Lambda} \partial_t \chi_{\ell_m}^{(1,0)} - \frac{H_h}{4} \chi_{\ell_m}^{(1,0)} \right) dt' . \tag{103}$$

⁶Following [81] we rescale $\alpha_2 \xrightarrow{} \alpha_2 f^{-1} (1 - H_h)$.

The calculation of energy and angular momentum fluxes proceeds similarly to the far-zone treatment [81], by isolating the $\mathcal{O}(f^{-1})$ contribution to the GW stress-energy tensor (92), and neglecting terms of order $\mathcal{O}(1)$.

We substitute the expression of the metric perturbation (101) into Eqs. (93)-(94), also multiplying by a - sign to account that we compute BH absorption rather fluxes in the radiation zone. To the leading order in f we find:

$$\dot{E}_{\ell m}^{H} = \frac{1}{64\pi} \frac{(\ell+2)!}{(\ell-2)!} \left(\left| \chi_{\ell m}^{(1,0)} \right|^{2} + 2 \operatorname{Re} \left[\chi_{\ell m}^{(1,0)} \chi_{\ell m}^{(1,1)*} + \frac{MH_{h}}{3+2\Lambda} \chi_{\ell m}^{(1,0)} \partial_{t} \chi_{\ell m}^{(1,0)*} \right] + 4 \left| \phi_{\ell m}^{(1,0)} \right|^{2} \\
+ 8 \operatorname{Re} \left[\phi_{\ell m}^{(1,0)} \phi_{\ell m}^{(1,1)*} \right] \right). \tag{104}$$

$$\dot{L}_{\ell m}^{H} = \frac{im}{128\pi} \frac{(\ell+2)!}{(\ell-2)!} \left[\chi_{\ell m}^{(1,0)} \int^{t} \chi_{\ell m}^{(1,0)*} dt' + 4 \phi_{\ell m}^{(1,0)} \int^{t} dt' \phi_{\ell m}^{(1,0)*} \right. \\
+ \chi_{\ell m}^{(1,0)} \int^{t} dt' \left(\frac{MH_{h}}{3+2\Lambda} \partial_{t} \chi_{\ell m}^{(1,0)*} + \chi_{\ell m}^{(1,1)*} \right) + \left(\frac{MH_{h}}{3+2\Lambda} \partial_{t} \chi_{\ell m}^{(1,0)} + \chi_{\ell m}^{(1,1)} \right) \int^{t} dt' \chi_{\ell m}^{(1,0)*} \\
+ 4 \phi_{\ell m}^{(1,0)} \int^{t} dt' \phi_{\ell m}^{(1,1)*} + 4 \phi_{\ell m}^{(1,1)} \int^{t} dt' \phi_{\ell m}^{(1,0)*} \right] + \text{c.c.} . \tag{105}$$

The first two terms in Eqs. (104)–(105) represent vacuum contributions to the energy and angular momentum fluxes. The remaining terms depend on the matter distribution and vanish in the limit $\epsilon \to 0$.

5 Conclusions

In this work, we developed a multi-parameter framework to model the dynamics and GW emission of binaries with large mass asymmetries embedded in dense astrophysical environments. Previous studies have emphasized the scientific potential of such systems to probe the properties of baryonic and dark matter evolving alongside compact objects [27,61,64]. However, these efforts also highlighted the significant complications introduced by non-vacuum environments, which have so far made accurate waveform modeling intractable.

Motivated by these challenges, we constructed a semi-analytical approach that treats matter effects as small perturbations to vacuum spacetime, as supported by most realistic astrophysical scenarios. By expanding Einstein equations around the Schwarzschild solution in powers of the binary mass ratio and the ratio of environmental to BH density, we derived expressions for both metric and matter perturbations within a genuinely SF framework at adiabatic order.

Our key results, summarized in Eqs. (49)–(50), (65)–(66), and (68)–(70), show that both axial and polar perturbations reduce to equations closely resembling the well-known Regge-Wheeler and Zerilli formalisms. Notably, unlike previous studies, we demonstrate that polar modes can be captured by a single Zerilli-like master variable, greatly simplifying numerical computations. We provide explicit expressions for reconstructing the metric functions and computing GW fluxes for binaries on generic orbits.

This framework represents an initial step toward the development of accurate and computationally feasible waveform models for asymmetric binaries in complex environments—key targets for future GW detectors like LISA. It also offers a flexible tool to study the interaction of such systems with ambient matter via time-domain evolution, and to investigate properties typically studied in vacuum, such as BH quasinormal mode spectra [85,86]. However, several advancements are necessary to reach full astrophysical realism.

One major, yet essential, challenge lies in modeling binaries with a rotating primary. Describing matter perturbations around Kerr BHs could benefit from recent progress in modeling vacuum perturbations within modified gravity theories, assuming small deviations from GR [87–90]. In principle, the BH spin could be introduced as a third perturbative parameter within a slow-rotation scheme, such as the Hartle-Thorne formalism [91]. However, this approach generally exhibits poor convergence at high spin values, which are expected for astrophysical BHs. The fluid description could also be enhanced in multiple ways, for instance, by investigating the impact of viscous effects on the binary dynamics [92].

Finally, current studies of the evolution of asymmetric binaries including radiation reaction have mostly been restricted to circular, equatorial orbits due to computational complexity (see Ref. [93] for a study on the relevance of eccentricity in binaries immersed in an accretion disk). The framework developed here allows to explore EMRIs and IMRIs dynamics on generic, eccentric, and inclined orbits across a broad parameter space, and to assess the impact of matter on parameter estimation using recent tools developed to analyze GW signals from asymmetric binaries [94–96].

471 Acknowledgements

456

457

459

460

461

462

464

465

466

467

468

469

470

486

We thank Rodrigo Vicente and Laura Sberna for interesting and useful discussions. We also thank Vitor Cardoso, Nicholas Speeney, Richard Brito, Kyriakos Destounis for having carefully read this manuscript and for useful comments.

Funding information A.M. acknowledges financial support from MUR PRIN Grants No. 2022-476 Z9X4XS and No. 2020KB33TP. S.D. acknowledges financial support from MUR, PNRR - Mis-477 sione 4 - Componente 2 - Investimento 1.2 - finanziato dall'Unione europea - NextGenera-478 tionEU (cod. id.: SOE2024_0000167, CUP:D13C25000660001).

A Metric perturbations as a function of the master variables

Metric perturbations can be easily reconstructed once a solution for the master equations (45)-(46) and (65)-(66) have been found. In this Appendix we provide relations that determine axial and polar metric functions at the linear order in $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$. In the Regge-Wheeler gauge for axial modes with $\ell \geq 2$ we have:

$$\begin{split} h_{1,\ell m} &= -rf^{-1}\phi_{\ell m}^{(1,0)} + \frac{3[frH - 2m]}{4f^2}\phi_{\ell m}^{(1,0)} - rf^{-1}\phi_{\ell m}^{(1,1)} \;, \\ \partial_t h_{0,\ell m} &= -f\,\partial_r \Big(r\phi_{\ell m}^{(1,0)}\Big) + f\,\frac{8i\sqrt{2}\pi r^2\mathcal{D}_{\ell m}^{(1,0)}}{\sqrt{\lambda(\lambda - 2)}} + f\,\frac{8i\sqrt{2}\pi r^2[H\mathcal{D}_{\ell m}^{(1,0)} + \mathcal{D}_{\ell m}^{(1,1)}]}{\sqrt{\lambda(\lambda - 2)}} \\ &- f\,\partial_r \Big(r\phi_{\ell m}^{(1,1)}\Big) + \frac{1}{4}\bigg(\frac{2m}{r} - fH\bigg)\partial_r \Big(r\phi_{\ell m}^{(1,0)}\Big) + \bigg[\frac{m}{fr} + 2\pi r^2(p_r - \rho)\bigg]\phi_{\ell m}^{(1,0)} \end{split} \quad (A.2) \end{split}$$

where f=1-2M/r and $\lambda=\ell(\ell+1)$. Frequency domain expressions can be obtained by replacing time derivatives as $\partial_t \to -i\omega$.

The reconstruction of polar perturbations is more convoluted. We provide here explicit expressions including only the master functions. The full form depending on the coefficients

of the secondary stress-energy tensor is provided in the Mathematica supplementary file:

of the secondary stress-energy tensor is provided in the Flathematical supplementary life.
$$\partial_t H_{0,\ell m} = [A_1 + A_5 + (A_2 + A_6)\partial_r + A_3\partial_r^2 + A_4\partial_r^3]\chi_{\ell m}^{(1,0)} + (A_1 + A_2\partial_r + rf\partial_r^2)\chi_{\ell m}^{(1,1)} \\ + (A_7 + B_4\partial_r)V_{\ell m}^{(1,0)} + (A_8 + B_5\partial_r)W_{\ell m}^{(1,0)} + S_{\ell m}^{H_0} ,$$

$$H_{1,\ell m} = (B_1 + B_6 + B_2\partial_r + B_3\partial_r^2)\chi_{\ell m}^{(1,0)} + (B_1 + r\partial_r)\chi_{\ell m}^{(1,1)} + B_4V_{\ell m}^{(1,0)} + B_6W_{\ell m}^{(1,0)} + S_{\ell m}^{H_1} ,$$

$$(A.4)$$

$$\partial_t K_{\ell m} = \left(C_1 + C_4 + \frac{f}{r} B_2 \partial_r + \frac{f}{r} B_3 \partial_r^2\right) \chi_{\ell m}^{(1,0)} + \left(C_1 + f \partial_r\right) \chi_{\ell m}^{(1,1)} + B_4 V_{\ell m}^{(1,0)} + B_5 W_{\ell m}^{(1,0)} + S_{\ell m}^K ,$$
(A.5)

where the source terms $S_{\ell m}^{H_0,H_1,K}$ depend on the particle orbital motion, and

$$\begin{split} A_1 &= -\frac{9M^3 + 9M^2r\Lambda + 3Mr^2\Lambda^2 + r^3\Lambda^2(1+\Lambda)}{r^2C^2} , \quad A_2 &= \frac{3M^2 - Mr\Lambda + r^2\Lambda}{rC} , \quad (\Lambda.6) \\ A_3 &= rf - \frac{rfH}{4} - \frac{4\pi f^4(2p_r - 3p)}{C} + \frac{m[(2-3\Lambda)r - 13M]}{2C} , \quad A_4 &= -\frac{2r^2fm}{C} , \quad (\Lambda.7) \\ A_5 &= -\frac{A_1H}{4} + \left\{ -54M^6 + 9(14\Lambda - 3)M^5r + 3[\Lambda(124\Lambda - 33) + 36]M^4r^2 + 60(\Lambda - 1)\Lambda(2\Lambda - 3)M^3r^3 \right. \\ &\quad + 3\Lambda^2[2\Lambda(7\Lambda - 6) + 55]M^2r^4 + \Lambda^3[\Lambda(2\Lambda - 33) - 6]Mr^5 + \Lambda^3[12 - (\Lambda - 9)\Lambda]r^6 \right\} \frac{m}{2r^4f^2C^4} \\ &\quad - \frac{2\pi\rho}{fC^3} \left(18M^4 + 9(1 - 4\Lambda)M^3r + 6\Lambda(\Lambda + 12)M^2r^2 + \Lambda((3 - 4\Lambda)\Lambda - 12)Mr^3 + 4\Lambda^2(\Lambda + 1)r^4 \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{4\pi r \left(15M^2 + 6\Lambda Mr + \Lambda(3\Lambda + 4)r^2 \right)}{C^2} p_t + \frac{2\pi r^2(3M^2 + \Lambda(\Lambda + 2)r^2)}{C^2} \rho' \\ &\quad - \frac{2\pi}{fC^3} \left(72M^4 + 3(34\Lambda - 15)M^3r + 6\Lambda(2\Lambda - 15)M^2r^2 + \Lambda(\Lambda(6\Lambda - 5) + 12)Mr^3 - 4\Lambda^2(\Lambda + 1)r^4 \right) p_r , \\ &\quad (A.8) \\ A_8 &= \frac{2r^2f^2(r\rho' - 2p_t)}{C} - \frac{2rf}{C^2} \left\{ \left[9M^2 + (5M - r)r\Lambda \right] p_r + \left[-3M(M - 2r) + r(M + r)\Lambda \right] \rho \right\}, \\ &\quad (A.9) \\ A_6 &= -\frac{A_2H}{4} + \frac{4\pi r^2\rho \left[(6 - 9\Lambda)Mr + 4\Lambda r^2 - 15M^2 \right]}{C^2} - \frac{16\pi r^3fp_t}{C} + \frac{4\pi r^2p_r(M - (\Lambda + 2)r)}{C} + \frac{4\pi r^4f\rho'}{C} - \frac{9M^4 + (36 - 69\Lambda)M^3r - 9(\Lambda - 13)\Lambda M^2r^2 + \Lambda(14 - 11\Lambda)\Lambda - 12]Mr^3 + \Lambda^2(9\Lambda + 8)r^4}{m}, \\ A_7 &= \frac{4f(3M^2 + r^2\Lambda^2 + Mr(-3 + 2\Lambda))\kappa_t - 4r^2f^2C\kappa_t'}{C^2} \qquad (A.11) \\ B_1 &= \frac{\Lambda r}{C} - \frac{M}{fr}, \quad B_2 &= r - \frac{rH}{4} - \frac{\left[3M^2 + 6Mr(1 + \Lambda) - r^2\Lambda(2 + \Lambda) \right] m}{2fC^2} + \frac{4\pi r^4(\rho - 2p_r)}{C}, \\ (A.12) \\ B_3 &= \frac{2r^2m}{C}, \quad B_4 &= -\frac{4r^2f^2\kappa_t}{C}, \quad B_5 &= -\frac{2f^2r^3\kappa_r}{C}, \qquad (A.13) \\ B_6 &= -\frac{H}{4}B_1 + \frac{2\pi r^2}{fC^2} \left(\rho \left[3M^2 + \Lambda(\Lambda + 2)r^2 \right] - p_r \left[15M^2 + 6\Lambda Mr + \Lambda(3\Lambda + 4)r^2 \right] \right) \\ &- \frac{3m}{2r^2f^2C^3} \left(33M^4 + (31\Lambda + 6)M^3r + 3\Lambda(5\Lambda + 3)M^2r^2 + \Lambda\left(\Lambda^2 + 2\right)Mr^3 + \Lambda^2(\Lambda + 2)r^4 \right), \\ (A.14) \\ C_1 &= \frac{6M^2 + 3Mr\Lambda + r^2\Lambda(1 + \Lambda)}{r^2C}, \qquad (A.15) \\ C_4 &= -\frac{m}{2fr^3C^3} \left[18M^4 - 3(5\Lambda - 6)M^3r - 9(\Lambda - 3)\Lambda M^2r^2 - 3\Lambda(3\Lambda^2 - 2)Mr^3 - \Lambda^2((\Lambda - 3)\Lambda - 6)r^4 \right] \\ &- \frac{H}{4}C_1 + \frac{2\pi r^2}{c^2} \left[\rho \left(3M^2 + \Lambda(\Lambda + 2)r^2 \right) - p_r \left(15M^2 + 6\Lambda Mr + \Lambda(3\Lambda + 4)r^2 \right) \right], \qquad (A.16) \\ \end{array}$$

with $\Lambda = (\ell+2)(\ell-1)/2$, $\kappa_{t,r} = p_{t,r} + \rho$, $C = r\Lambda + 3M$ and a prime denoting radial derivative.

B Decoupling of Axial and Polar Modes into vacuum and matter components using the scaling function *Z*.

491

492

494

495

496

500

501

502

503

505

506

507

508

520

523

In this appendix, we clarify why, in computing axial and polar modes, we chose to work with a single metric perturbation rather than separating vacuum (0, 1) and matter (1, 1) components from the beginning.

The structure of the equations for axial modes, for example, allows one to follow a procedure similar to the vacuum case. In this framework, it is possible to eliminate one of the metric functions at each order in ϵ using the Einstein equations, leading to two second-order differential equations in (r,t) for the (1,0) and (1,1) perturbations. These equations can then be recast in the familiar wave-like form by introducing a generalized tortoise coordinate, which facilitates the imposition of boundary conditions at spatial infinity and the BH horizon.

However, a subtlety arises from the fact that the generalized tortoise coordinate $dr^*/dr = 1/\sqrt{-g_{tt}/g_{rr}}$, depends on the parameter ϵ . This introduces an ambiguity due to the perturbative relation between r and r_* , since $dr^*/dr = f^{-1} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$, on whether one should use r or r_* in the perturbative expansion (see [97] for further details). This issue can be circumvented by following the approach developed in [98], which we briefly outline here.

Consider a scalar perturbation Φ on a fixed, spherically symmetric background with the metric:

$$ds^{2} = -A(r)dt^{2} + \frac{dr^{2}}{B(r)} + r^{2}(d\theta^{2} + \sin^{2}\theta d\phi^{2}).$$
 (B.1)

After decomposing Φ into spherical harmonics, the Klein–Gordon equation $\Box \Phi = 0$ can be written as:

$$-\frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial t^2} + \mathcal{F} \frac{d}{dr} \left(\mathcal{F} \frac{d\Phi}{dr} \right) - \mathcal{F} V \Phi = 0, \tag{B.2}$$

where V is the effective potential, which depends on the background geometry. Assume the metric functions A(r) and B(r) are close to the Schwarzschild solution:

$$A(r) = \left(1 - \frac{r_h}{r}\right)(1 + \delta A), \quad B(r) = \left(1 - \frac{r_h}{r}\right)(1 + \delta B), \tag{B.3}$$

with δA , $\delta B \ll 1$, and where r_h denotes the horizon radius. Then, at the leading order in the metric changes (δA , δB), the function $\mathcal{F} = \sqrt{AB}$ can be expressed as:

$$\mathcal{F} = f(r)Z(r) = \left(1 - \frac{r_h}{r}\right)Z(r) = \left(1 - \frac{r_h}{r}\right)[1 + \delta Z(r)].$$

Introducing the rescaled field $\phi = \sqrt{Z}\Phi$, and expanding Eq. (B.2) to linear order in δZ , the master equation becomes:

$$-(1+2\delta Z)\frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial t^2} + f\frac{d}{dr}\left(f\frac{d\phi}{dr}\right) - f\tilde{V}\phi = 0,$$
 (B.4)

where \tilde{V} is the modified potential (the explicit form can be found in [98]). For both axial and polar sectors, the metric perturbations we find satisfy master equations analogous to Eq. (B.2), with $r_h = 2M$, and can be recast into the form of Eq. (B.4) by introducing an appropriate scaling function Z. Since the prefactor of the radial derivative terms in Eq. (B.4) is f(r), we can adopt the standard tortoise coordinate $r^* = r + 2M \ln(r/2M - 1)$. This allows us to write the perturbations as a sum of the (1,0) and (1,1) components, and isolate their contributions without introducing ambiguities.

⁷Note that in general r_h may differ from the Schwarzschild value. In such cases, r_h should be treated as a fundamental parameter in the computation of perturbations, as done in the cases studied in [98].

²⁴ C Coefficients of the particle stress-energy momentum tensor

The form of the coefficients $\{A_{\ell m}^{0(1,0)}, \dots \mathcal{F}_{\ell m}^{(1,0)}\}$ of the particle stress-energy tensor, can be found by projecting each one of the ten tensor harmonics on Eq. (28). Introducing the scalar product between two tensor harmonics $A_{\mu\nu}$ and $B_{\mu\nu}$:

$$(A,B) = \int \int \eta^{\mu\sigma} \eta^{\nu\delta} A^* \mu \nu B_{\sigma\delta} \sin\theta d\theta d\phi , \qquad (C.1)$$

where $\eta_{\mu\nu}$ is the Minkowski metric tensor in spherical coordinates, and * denotes complex conjugation, we have, for example, $\mathcal{A}_{\ell m}^{(1,1)}=(\mathbf{a}_{\ell m},T^{p(1,1)})$. We provide the expression of the coefficients for generic orbits in the supplementary material. In the case of equatorial circular motion, $\theta_p=\pi/2$, for a secondary at a radius $r=r_p$, the only non vanishing coefficients are given by $Q_{\ell m}^{0(1,0)}$ for the axial sector, and $(\mathcal{A}_{\ell m}^{0(1,0)},\mathcal{B}_{\ell m}^{0(1,0)},\mathcal{G}_{\ell m}^{0(1,0)},\mathcal{F}_{\ell m}^{0(1,0)})$ for the polar modes (and similarly for the (1,1) coefficients). Their explicit form is given by:

$$Q^{0(0,0)} = \frac{\sqrt{2}f \mathcal{L}^{(0,0)}}{r^3 \sqrt{\lambda}} \partial_{\theta} Y_{\ell m}^* \delta(r - r_p) , \quad \mathcal{A}^{0(0,0)} = \frac{f \mathcal{E}^{(0,0)}}{r^2} Y_{\ell m}^* \delta(r - r_p) , \qquad (C.2)$$

$$\mathcal{B}^{0(0,0)} = \frac{i\sqrt{2}f\,\mathcal{L}^{(0,0)}}{r^3\sqrt{\lambda}}\,\partial_{\phi}Y_{\ell m}^*\delta(r - r_p)\,,\tag{C.3}$$

$$\mathcal{D}^{(0,0)} = \frac{i\sqrt{2}f(\mathcal{L}^{(0,0)})^2}{r^4\mathcal{E}^{(0,0)}\sqrt{\lambda(\lambda-2)}} \partial_{\theta\phi} Y_{\ell m}^* \delta(r - r_p) , \qquad (C.4)$$

$$\mathcal{F}^{(0,0)} = \frac{f(\mathcal{L}^{(0,0)})^2 \delta(r - r_p)}{\sqrt{2} r^4 \mathcal{E}^{(0,0)} \sqrt{\lambda(\lambda - 2)}} [\partial_{\theta}^2 - \partial_{\phi}^2] Y_{\ell m}^* \quad , \quad \mathcal{G}^{(0,0)} = \frac{f(\mathcal{L}^{(0,0)})^2}{\sqrt{2} r^4 \mathcal{E}^{(0,0)}} Y_{\ell m}^* \delta(r - r_p) , \quad (C.5)$$

$$Q_{\ell m}^{0(1,1)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\lambda}r^4} \left[2fr \mathcal{L}^{(0,1)} + fr \mathcal{L}^{(0,0)} H - 2\mathcal{L}^{(0,0)} m \right] \partial_\theta Y_{\ell m}^* \delta(r - r_p) , \qquad (C.6)$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{\ell m}^{0(1,1)} = \frac{1}{r^3} \left[2f \, r \mathcal{E}^{(1,1)} + ((3-2f)r - 6M)\mathcal{E}^{(0,1)}H - 2\mathcal{E}^{(0,0)}m \right] Y_{\ell m}^* \delta(r - r_p) , \qquad (C.7)$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{\ell m}^{0(1,1)} = \frac{i}{\sqrt{2\lambda}r^4} \left[2fr\mathcal{L}^{(0,1)} + fr\mathcal{L}^{(0,0)}H - 2\mathcal{L}^{(0,0)}m \right] \partial_{\phi} Y_{\ell m}^* \delta(r - r_p) , \qquad (C.8)$$

$$\mathcal{D}_{\ell m}^{0(1,1)} = \frac{i\mathcal{L}^{(0,0)}\delta(r-r_p)}{r^5(\mathcal{E}^{(0,0)})^2\sqrt{2\lambda(\lambda-2)}} \{4fr\mathcal{E}^{(0,0)}\mathcal{L}^{(0,1)} - 2fr\mathcal{E}^{(0,1)}\mathcal{L}^{(0,0)} + \mathcal{E}^{(0,0)}\mathcal{L}^{(0,0)}[frH-2m]\}\partial_{\theta\phi}Y_{\ell m}^*,$$
(C.9)

$$\mathcal{F}_{\ell m}^{(1,1)} = \frac{\mathcal{L}^{(0,0)} \delta(r - r_p)}{r^5 (\mathcal{E}^{(0,0)})^2 \sqrt{8\lambda(\lambda - 2)}} \{ 4f \, r \mathcal{E}^{(0,0)} \mathcal{L}^{(0,1)} - 2f \, r \mathcal{E}^{(0,1)} \mathcal{L}^{(0,0)}$$

$$+ \mathcal{E}^{(0,0)} \mathcal{L}^{(0,0)} [f \, rH - 2m] \} [\partial_{\theta}^2 - \partial_{\phi}^2] Y_{\ell m}^* , \qquad (C.10)$$

$$\mathcal{G}_{\ell m}^{(1,1)} = \frac{\mathcal{L}^{(0,0)} \delta(r - r_p)}{2\sqrt{2}r^5 (\mathcal{E}^{(0,0)})^2} \{ 4f \, r \, \mathcal{E}^{(0,0)} \mathcal{L}^{(0,1)} - 2f \, r \, \mathcal{E}^{(0,1)} \mathcal{L}^{(0,0)} + \mathcal{E}^{(0,0)} \mathcal{L}^{(0,0)} [f \, rH - 2m] \} Y_{\ell m}^* , \tag{C.11}$$

where spherical harmonics are evaluated at $\theta = \theta_p$ and $\phi = \phi_p(t)$, while $\mathcal{E}^{(0,1)}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{(0,1)}$ are the non-vacuum corrections to the particle energy and angular momentum given by the $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$ terms in Eqs. (18)-(19).

537 References

538 [1] C. P. L. Berry, S. A. Hughes, C. F. Sopuerta, A. J. K. Chua, A. Heffernan, K. Holley-539 Bockelmann, D. P. Mihaylov, M. C. Miller and A. Sesana, *The unique potential of extreme* 540 mass-ratio inspirals for gravitational-wave astronomy (2019), 1903.03686.

- [2] P. Amaro-Seoane, H. Audley, S. Babak, J. Baker, E. Barausse, P. Bender, E. Berti, P. Binetruy, M. Born, D. Bortoluzzi, J. Camp, C. Caprini et al., Laser Interferometer Space Antenna, arXiv e-prints arXiv:1702.00786 (2017), doi:10.48550/arXiv.1702.00786, 1702.00786.
- [3] J. Luo et al., TianQin: a space-borne gravitational wave detector, Class. Quant. Grav. **33**(3), 035010 (2016), doi:10.1088/0264-9381/33/3/035010, 1512.02076.
- [4] S. Barsanti, V. De Luca, A. Maselli and P. Pani, *Detecting Subsolar-Mass Primordial Black Holes in Extreme Mass-Ratio Inspirals with LISA and Einstein Telescope*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **128**(11), 111104 (2022), doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.128.111104, 2109.02170.
- [5] A. L. Miller, S. Clesse, F. De Lillo, G. Bruno, A. Depasse and A. Tanasijczuk, *Probing planetary-mass primordial black holes with continuous gravitational waves*, Phys. Dark Univ. 32, 100836 (2021), doi:10.1016/j.dark.2021.100836, 2012.12983.
- [6] A. Klein et al., Science with the space-based interferometer eLISA: Supermassive black hole
 binaries, Phys. Rev. D 93(2), 024003 (2016), doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.93.024003, 1511.
 05581.
- 556 [7] M. Volonteri et al., Black hole mergers from dwarf to massive galaxies with the NewHori-557 zon and Horizon-AGN simulations, Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc. **498**(2), 2219 (2020), 558 doi:10.1093/mnras/staa2384, 2005.04902.
- [8] M. Arca-Sedda, P. Amaro-Seoane and X. Chen, Merging stellar and intermediate-mass black holes in dense clusters: implications for LIGO, LISA, and the next generation of gravitational wave detectors, Astron. Astrophys. **652**, A54 (2021), doi:10.1051/0004-6361/202037785, 2007.13746.
- ⁵⁶³ [9] M. Colpi et al., LISA Definition Study Report (2024), 2402.07571.
- [10] J. Luo, H. An, L. Bian, R.-G. Cai, Z. Cao, W. Han, J. He, M. A. Hendry, B. Hu, Y.-M. Hu, F. P. Huang, S.-J. Huang *et al.*, *Fundamental Physics and Cosmology with TianQin*, arXiv e-prints arXiv:2502.20138 (2025), doi:10.48550/arXiv.2502.20138, 2502.20138.
- ⁵⁶⁷ [11] P. Ajith et al., The Lunar Gravitational-wave Antenna: mission studies and science case, ⁵⁶⁸ JCAP **01**, 108 (2025), doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2025/01/108, 2404.09181.
- [12] A. Abac et al., The Science of the Einstein Telescope (2025), 2503.12263.
- [13] M. Evans, A. Corsi, C. Afle, A. Ananyeva, K. G. Arun, S. Ballmer, A. Bandopadhyay,
 L. Barsotti, M. Baryakhtar, E. Berger, E. Berti, S. Biscoveanu et al., Cosmic Explorer:
 A Submission to the NSF MPSAC ngGW Subcommittee, arXiv e-prints arXiv:2306.13745
 (2023), doi:10.48550/arXiv.2306.13745, 2306.13745.
- [14] L. Barack and A. Pound, *Self-force and radiation reaction in general relativity*, Rept. Prog. Phys. **82**(1), 016904 (2019), doi:10.1088/1361-6633/aae552, 1805.10385.
- [15] E. Barausse *et al.*, *Prospects for Fundamental Physics with LISA*, Gen. Rel. Grav. **52**(8), 81 (2020), doi:10.1007/s10714-020-02691-1, 2001.09793.

[16] K. G. Arun *et al.*, *New horizons for fundamental physics with LISA*, Living Rev. Rel. **25**(1), 4 (2022), doi:10.1007/s41114-022-00036-9, 2205.01597.

- [17] M. A. Sedda et al., The missing link in gravitational-wave astronomy: discoveries waiting in the decihertz range, Class. Quant. Grav. 37(21), 215011 (2020), doi:10.1088/1361-6382/abb5c1, 1908.11375.
- [18] A. Cárdenas-Avendaño and C. F. Sopuerta, *Testing gravity with Extreme-Mass-Ratio Inspi*rals (2024), 2401.08085.
- ⁵⁸⁵ [19] G. Bertone and M. P. Tait, Tim, *A new era in the search for dark matter*, Nature **562**(7725), 51 (2018), doi:10.1038/s41586-018-0542-z, 1810.01668.
- ⁵⁸⁷ [20] E. Barausse, V. Cardoso and P. Pani, *Can environmental effects spoil pre-*⁵⁸⁸ *cision gravitational-wave astrophysics?*, Phys. Rev. **D89**(10), 104059 (2014),
 ⁵⁸⁹ doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.89.104059, 1404.7149.
- ⁵⁹⁰ [21] V. Cardoso and A. Maselli, *Constraints on the astrophysical environment of bina-*⁵⁹¹ *ries with gravitational-wave observations*, Astron. Astrophys. **644**, A147 (2020),
 ⁵⁹² doi:10.1051/0004-6361/202037654, 1909.05870.
- [22] K. Eda, Y. Itoh, S. Kuroyanagi and J. Silk, New Probe of Dark-Matter Properties: Gravitational Waves from an Intermediate-Mass Black Hole Embedded in a Dark-Matter Minispike, Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**(22), 221101 (2013), doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.221101, 1301.5971.
- [23] K. Eda, Y. Itoh, S. Kuroyanagi and J. Silk, Gravitational waves as a probe of dark matter minispikes, Phys. Rev. D91(4), 044045 (2015), doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.91.044045, 1408.3534.
- [24] B. J. Kavanagh, D. A. Nichols, G. Bertone and D. Gaggero, Detecting dark matter around
 black holes with gravitational waves: Effects of dark-matter dynamics on the gravitational
 waveform, Phys. Rev. D 102(8), 083006 (2020), doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.102.083006,
 2002.12811.
- [25] V. Cardoso, K. Destounis, F. Duque, R. P. Macedo and A. Maselli, *Black holes in galaxies:*605 Environmental impact on gravitational-wave generation and propagation, Phys. Rev. D
 606 **105**(6), L061501 (2022), doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.105.L061501, 2109.00005.
- [26] N. Speeney, A. Antonelli, V. Baibhav and E. Berti, *Impact of relativistic corrections on the detectability of dark-matter spikes with gravitational waves*, Phys. Rev. D **106**(4), 044027 (2022), doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.106.044027, 2204.12508.
- 510 [27] S. Gliorio, E. Berti, A. Maselli and N. Speeney, *Extreme mass ratio inspirals in dark matter*511 halos: dynamics and distinguishability of halo models (2025), 2503.16649.
- [28] T. Kakehi, H. Omiya, T. Takahashi and T. Tanaka, Resonant DM scattering in the galactic center under the influence of EMRI (2025), 2505.10036.
- [29] C. Feng, Y. Tang and Y.-L. Wu, *Probing Dark Matter Spike with Gravitational Waves from Early EMRIs in the Milky Way Center* (2025), 2506.02937.
- [30] S. Mitra, N. Speeney, S. Chakraborty and E. Berti, *Extreme mass ratio inspirals in rotating* dark matter spikes (2025), 2505.04697.

618 [31] S. Chandrasekhar, Dynamical Friction. I. General Considerations: the Coefficient of Dynamical Friction, Astrophys. J. **97**, 255 (1943), doi:10.1086/144517.

- [32] E. C. Ostriker, Dynamical friction in a gaseous medium, Astrophys. J. 513, 252 (1999),
 doi:10.1086/306858, astro-ph/9810324.
- [33] B. Bonga, H. Yang and S. A. Hughes, *Tidal resonance in extreme mass-ratio inspirals*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **123**(10), 101103 (2019), doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.123.101103, 1905.00030.
- [34] M. Garg, A. Derdzinski, L. Zwick, P. R. Capelo and L. Mayer, *The imprint of gas on gravitational waves from LISA intermediate-mass black hole binaries*, Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc. **517**(1), 1339 (2022), doi:10.1093/mnras/stac2711, 2206.05292.
- [35] L. Speri, A. Antonelli, L. Sberna, S. Babak, E. Barausse, J. R. Gair and M. L. Katz, *Probing Accretion Physics with Gravitational Waves*, Phys. Rev. X **13**(2), 021035 (2023), doi:10.1103/PhysRevX.13.021035, 2207.10086.
- [36] S. Babak, H. Fang, J. R. Gair, K. Glampedakis and S. A. Hughes, 'Kludge' gravitational waveforms for a test-body orbiting a Kerr black hole, Phys. Rev. D 75, 024005 (2007), doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.75.024005, [Erratum: Phys.Rev.D 77, 04990 (2008)], gr-qc/0607007.
- [37] T. Hinderer and E. E. Flanagan, Two timescale analysis of extreme mass ratio inspirals in Kerr. I. Orbital Motion, Phys. Rev. D **78**, 064028 (2008), doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.78.064028, 0805.3337.
- [38] A. Pound, B. Wardell, N. Warburton and J. Miller, Second-Order Self-Force Calculation
 of Gravitational Binding Energy in Compact Binaries, Phys. Rev. Lett. 124(2), 021101
 (2020), doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.124.021101, 1908.07419.
- [39] B. Wardell, A. Pound, N. Warburton, J. Miller, L. Durkan and A. Le Tiec, Gravitational
 Waveforms for Compact Binaries from Second-Order Self-Force Theory, Phys. Rev. Lett.
 130(24), 241402 (2023), doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.130.241402, 2112.12265.
- [40] N. Warburton, A. Pound, B. Wardell, J. Miller and L. Durkan, *Gravitational-Wave Energy Flux for Compact Binaries through Second Order in the Mass Ratio*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **127**(15), 151102 (2021), doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.127.151102, 2107.01298.
- [41] B. Kocsis, N. Yunes and A. Loeb, Observable Signatures of EMRI Black Hole
 Binaries Embedded in Thin Accretion Disks, Phys. Rev. D 84, 024032 (2011),
 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.86.049907, 1104.2322.
- [42] A. Coogan, G. Bertone, D. Gaggero, B. J. Kavanagh and D. A. Nichols, *Measuring the dark matter environments of black hole binaries with gravitational waves*, Phys. Rev. D 105(4), 043009 (2022), doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.105.043009, 2108.04154.
- [43] P. S. Cole, G. Bertone, A. Coogan, D. Gaggero, T. Karydas, B. J. Kavanagh, T. F. M.
 Spieksma and G. M. Tomaselli, *Distinguishing environmental effects on binary black hole* gravitational waveforms, Nature Astron. 7(8), 943 (2023), doi:10.1038/s41550-023-01990-2, 2211.01362.
- 656 [44] G. M. Tomaselli, T. F. M. Spieksma and G. Bertone, *Dynamical friction in gravitational* 657 atoms, JCAP **07**, 070 (2023), doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2023/07/070, 2305.15460.

L. Berezhiani, G. Cintia, V. De Luca and J. Khoury, *Dynamical friction in dark matter superfluids: The evolution of black hole binaries*, JCAP **06**, 024 (2024), doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2024/06/024, 2311.07672.

- [46] C. F. B. Macedo, P. Pani, V. Cardoso and L. C. B. Crispino, Into the lair: gravitational-wave signatures of dark matter, Astrophys. J. 774, 48 (2013), doi:10.1088/0004-663
 637X/774/1/48, 1302.2646.
- [47] L. Annulli, V. Cardoso and R. Vicente, Response of ultralight dark matter to
 supermassive black holes and binaries, Phys. Rev. D 102(6), 063022 (2020),
 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.102.063022, 2009.00012.
- [48] D. Traykova, K. Clough, T. Helfer, E. Berti, P. G. Ferreira and L. Hui, *Dynamical friction from scalar dark matter in the relativistic regime*, Phys. Rev. D 104(10), 103014 (2021), doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.104.103014, 2106.08280.
- 670 [49] K. Destounis, A. G. Suvorov and K. D. Kokkotas, *Gravitational-wave glitches in chaotic extreme-mass-ratio inspirals*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **126**(14), 141102 (2021), doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.126.141102, 2103.05643.
- [50] R. Vicente and V. Cardoso, *Dynamical friction of black holes in ultralight dark matter*, Phys. Rev. D **105**(8), 083008 (2022), doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.105.083008, 2201.08854.
- [51] K. Destounis, A. Kulathingal, K. D. Kokkotas and G. O. Papadopoulos, *Gravitational-wave imprints of compact and galactic-scale environments in extreme-mass-ratio binaries*, Phys.
 Rev. D 107(8), 084027 (2023), doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.107.084027, 2210.09357.
- [52] H. Khalvati, A. Santini, F. Duque, L. Speri, J. Gair, H. Yang and R. Brito, *Impact of relativistic waveforms in LISA's science objectives with extreme-mass-ratio inspirals* (2024), 2410.17310.
- [53] R. Vicente, T. K. Karydas and G. Bertone, A fully relativistic treatment of EMRIs in collisionless environments (2025), 2505.09715.
- [54] C. Yuan, V. Cardoso, F. Duque and Z. Younsi, Gravitational waves from accretion disks: Turbulence, mode excitation, and prospects for future detectors, Phys. Rev. D 111(6), 063048 (2025), doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.111.063048, 2502.07871.
- [55] J. S. Santos, V. Cardoso, J. Natário and M. van de Meent, Gravitational waves from b EMRIs: Doppler shift and beaming, resonant excitation, helicity oscillations and self-lensing
 (2025), 2506.14868.
- [56] G. M. Tomaselli, Scattering of wave dark matter by supermassive black holes, Phys. Rev. D
 111(6), 063075 (2025), doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.111.063075, 2501.00090.
- [57] F. Duque, C. F. B. Macedo, R. Vicente and V. Cardoso, Extreme-Mass-Ratio Inspirals in Ultralight Dark Matter, Phys. Rev. Lett. 133(12), 121404 (2024), doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.133.121404, 2312.06767.
- [58] R. Brito and S. Shah, Extreme mass-ratio inspirals into black holes surrounded by scalar
 clouds, Phys. Rev. D 108(8), 084019 (2023), doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.108.084019, [Er ratum: Phys.Rev.D 110, 109902 (2024)], 2307.16093.
- [59] C. Dyson, T. F. M. Spieksma, R. Brito, M. van de Meent and S. Dolan, Environmental
 effects in extreme mass ratio inspirals: perturbations to the environment in Kerr (2025),
 2501.09806.

[60] D. Li, C. Weller, P. Bourg, M. LaHaye, N. Yunes and H. Yang, Extreme mass-ratio inspiral
 within an ultralight scalar cloud I. Scalar radiation (2025), 2507.02045.

- [61] V. Cardoso, K. Destounis, F. Duque, R. Panosso Macedo and A. Maselli, Gravitational
 Waves from Extreme-Mass-Ratio Systems in Astrophysical Environments, Phys. Rev. Lett.
 129(24), 241103 (2022), doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.129.241103, 2210.01133.
- [62] E. Figueiredo, A. Maselli and V. Cardoso, Black holes surrounded by generic dark matter
 profiles: Appearance and gravitational-wave emission, Phys. Rev. D 107(10), 104033
 (2023), doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.107.104033, 2303.08183.
- [63] M. Rahman, S. Kumar and A. Bhattacharyya, Probing astrophysical environment with
 eccentric extreme mass-ratio inspirals, JCAP 01, 035 (2024), doi:10.1088/1475 7516/2024/01/035, 2306.14971.
- 711 [64] N. Speeney, E. Berti, V. Cardoso and A. Maselli, *Black holes surrounded by generic matter*712 *distributions: Polar perturbations and energy flux*, Phys. Rev. D **109**(8), 084068 (2024),
 713 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.109.084068, 2401.00932.
- [65] T. Damour and G. Esposito-Farese, Tensor multiscalar theories of gravitation, Class. Quant.
 Grav. 9, 2093 (1992), doi:10.1088/0264-9381/9/9/015.
- Tio [66] T. Cadogan and E. Poisson, *Self-gravitating anisotropic fluid. II: Newtonian theory*, Gen. Rel. Grav. **56**(10), 119 (2024), doi:10.1007/s10714-024-03303-y, 2406.03191.
- T18 [67] T. Cadogan and E. Poisson, *Self-gravitating anisotropic fluids. I: context and overview*, Gen. Rel. Grav. **56**(10), 118 (2024), doi:10.1007/s10714-024-03289-7, 2406.03185.
- The Table 120 [68] T. Cadogan and E. Poisson, Self-gravitating anisotropic fluid. III: relativistic theory, Gen. Rel. Grav. **56**(10), 120 (2024), doi:10.1007/s10714-024-03305-w, 2406.03196.
- 722 [69] R. L. Bowers and E. P. T. Liang, *Anisotropic Spheres in General Relativity*, Astrophys. J. **188**, 657 (1974), doi:10.1086/152760.
- 724 [70] D. D. Doneva and S. S. Yazadjiev, Gravitational wave spectrum of anisotropic 725 neutron stars in Cowling approximation, Phys. Rev. **D85**, 124023 (2012), 726 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.85.124023, 1203.3963.
- [71] G. Raposo, P. Pani, M. Bezares, C. Palenzuela and V. Cardoso, *Anisotropic stars as ultra*compact objects in General Relativity (2018), 1811.07917.
- 729 [72] T. Regge and J. A. Wheeler, *Stability of a Schwarzschild singularity*, Phys. Rev. **108**, 1063 (1957), doi:10.1103/PhysRev.108.1063.
- [73] F. J. Zerilli, *Gravitational field of a particle falling in a schwarzschild geometry analyzed in tensor harmonics*, Phys. Rev. D **2**, 2141 (1970), doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.2.2141.
- F. J. Zerilli, Effective potential for even parity Regge-Wheeler gravitational perturbation equations, Phys. Rev. Lett. **24**, 737 (1970), doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.24.737.
- 735 [75] N. Sago, H. Nakano and M. Sasaki, Gauge problem in the gravitational selfforce. 1. Har-736 monic gauge approach in the Schwarzschild background, Phys. Rev. D **67**, 104017 (2003), 737 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.67.104017, gr-qc/0208060.
- 738 [76] S. Datta, Black holes immersed in dark matter: Energy condition and sound speed, Phys. Rev. D **109**(10), 104042 (2024), doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.109.104042, 2312.01277.

740 [77] Y. Kojima, Equations governing the nonradial oscillations of a slowly rotating relativistic star, Phys. Rev. D **46**, 4289 (1992), doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.46.4289.

- [78] sgrep repo, (github.com/masellia/SGREP/).
- [79] S. L. Detweiler and E. Poisson, *Low multipole contributions to the gravitational selfforce*, Phys. Rev. D **69**, 084019 (2004), doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.69.084019, gr-qc/0312010.
- [80] N. Sago and T. Tanaka, Oscillations in the extreme mass-ratio inspiral gravitational wave
 phase correction as a probe of a reflective boundary of the central black hole, Phys. Rev. D
 104(6), 064009 (2021), doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.104.064009, 2106.07123.
- 748 [81] K. Martel, Gravitational wave forms from a point particle orbiting a Schwarzschild 749 black hole, Phys. Rev. D **69**, 044025 (2004), doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.69.044025, 750 gr-qc/0311017.
- [82] K. Martel and E. Poisson, Gravitational perturbations of the Schwarzschild spacetime:
 A Practical covariant and gauge-invariant formalism, Phys. Rev. D71, 104003 (2005),
 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.71.104003, gr-qc/0502028.
- [83] C. W. Misner, K. S. Thorne and J. A. Wheeler, *Gravitation*, W. H. Freeman, San Francisco,
 ISBN 978-0-7167-0344-0, 978-0-691-17779-3 (1973).
- P. L. Chrzanowski, Vector Potential and Metric Perturbations of a Rotating Black Hole, Phys.
 Rev. D 11, 2042 (1975), doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.11.2042.
- [85] L. Pezzella, K. Destounis, A. Maselli and V. Cardoso, Quasinormal modes of black holes embedded in halos of matter, Phys. Rev. D 111(6), 064026 (2025), doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.111.064026, 2412.18651.
- [86] T. F. M. Spieksma, V. Cardoso, G. Carullo, M. Della Rocca and F. Duque, Black Hole
 Spectroscopy in Environments: Detectability Prospects, Phys. Rev. Lett. 134(8), 081402
 (2025), doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.134.081402, 2409.05950.
- [87] D. Li, P. Wagle, Y. Chen and N. Yunes, Perturbations of Spinning Black Holes beyond
 General Relativity: Modified Teukolsky Equation, Phys. Rev. X 13(2), 021029 (2023),
 doi:10.1103/PhysRevX.13.021029, 2206.10652.
- [88] A. Hussain and A. Zimmerman, Approach to computing spectral shifts for black holes
 beyond Kerr, Phys. Rev. D 106(10), 104018 (2022), doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.106.104018,
 2206.10653.
- 770 [89] P. A. Cano, K. Fransen, T. Hertog and S. Maenaut, *Universal Teukolsky equations and black hole perturbations in higher-derivative gravity*, Phys. Rev. D **108**(2), 024040 (2023), doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.108.024040, 2304.02663.
- [90] P. A. Cano, K. Fransen, T. Hertog and S. Maenaut, Quasinormal modes of rotating black holes in higher-derivative gravity, Phys. Rev. D 108(12), 124032 (2023), doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.108.124032, 2307.07431.
- J. B. Hartle and K. S. Thorne, *Slowly Rotating Relativistic Stars. II. Models for Neutron Stars and Supermassive Stars*, Astrophysical Journal **153**, 807 (1968), doi:10.1086/149707.
- 778 [92] V. Boyanov, V. Cardoso, K. D. Kokkotas and J. Redondo-Yuste, *The dynamical response of viscous objects to gravitational waves* (2024), 2411.16861.

[93] F. Duque, S. Kejriwal, L. Sberna, L. Speri and J. Gair, Constraining accretion physics
 with gravitational waves from eccentric extreme-mass-ratio inspirals, Phys. Rev. D 111(8),
 084006 (2025), doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.111.084006, 2411.03436.

- [94] M. L. Katz, A. J. K. Chua, L. Speri, N. Warburton and S. A. Hughes, Fast extreme-mass ratio-inspiral waveforms: New tools for millihertz gravitational-wave data analysis, Physical Review D 104(6), 064047 (2021), doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.104.064047, 2104.04582.
- [95] C. E. A. Chapman-Bird et al., The Fast and the Frame-Dragging: Efficient waveforms for
 asymmetric-mass eccentric equatorial inspirals into rapidly-spinning black holes (2025),
 2506.09470.
- ⁷⁸⁹ [96] P. S. Cole, J. Alvey, L. Speri, C. Weniger, U. Bhardwaj, D. Gerosa and G. Bertone, *Sequential simulation-based inference for extreme mass ratio inspirals* (2025), 2505.16795.
- 791 [97] Y. Hatsuda and M. Kimura, *Perturbative quasinormal mode frequencies*, Phys. Rev. D **109**(4), 044026 (2024), doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.109.044026, 2307.16626.
- [98] V. Cardoso, M. Kimura, A. Maselli, E. Berti, C. F. B. Macedo and R. McManus, *Parametrized black hole quasinormal ringdown: Decoupled equations for nonrotating black holes*, Phys.
 Rev. D 99(10), 104077 (2019), doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.99.104077, 1901.01265.